2	BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE
3	IN re: Docket #AO-F&V-991-A3 FV03-991-01
4	HOPS PRODUCERS FOR WASHINGTON, OREGON, IDAHO AND
5	CALIFORNIA
6	
7	Hearing held on the 24th day of October, 2003
8	at 8:30 a.m.
9 10 11	Doubletree Hotel Yakima Valley 1507 North 1st Street Yakima, Washington 98901
12 13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	
15	BEFORE: HONORABLE JILL S. CLIFTON
16	FOR PROPONENTS: BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE TO THE PROPONENTS: BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE
17	FOR PROPONENTS: BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE BRENDAN MONAHAN, ESQUIRE
18	FOR PROPONENTS: $\frac{m}{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}$
19	
20 21	FOR OPPONENTS:
22	JAMES MOODY, ESQUIRE

1		INDEX		
2				Page
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Leslie Roy Reggie Brul Dale Gamach Henry Tobin Stephen Car Duane Desse Aaron Gamac Kevin Riel Michael Hoo George Desn Daniel Newh	ne rpenter erault che gue marais		2185 2196 2215 2244 2263 2287 2307 2333 2360 2369 2444 2450
15		INDEX TO EXHIB	ITS	
16	Exhibit	Description	ID	In Evidence
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	36 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	Folwell CV Broadhead letter Handle description Brulotte statement Gamache statement Tobin statement List of growers Desserault stmnt. Gamache statement Riel statement Hogue statement Bar charts Projections Letter Newhouse statement	2177 2195 2196 2214 2244 2266 2386 2307 2332 2360 2368 2425 2439 2452	2458 2195 2213 2220 2260 2286 2303 2332 2359 2366 2435 2436 2437 2448
32	66	Gamache statement	2452	2452

l	PROCEEDINGS
2	October 24, 2003
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're on record at
4	8:33 a.m. It's Friday, October 24, 2003. We're in
5	Yakima, Washington. This is the final day of the
6	rulemaking hearing and this is day eight. The caption
7	of the case is In re: Hops produced in Washington,
8	Oregon, Idaho, and California. The Docket Number is
9	AO-F&V-991-A3 FV03-991-01. This is volume eight of the
.0	transcript and the transcript for this day should be
1	numbered sequentially to that of yesterday. Mr.
12	Monahan, you may proceed.
13	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, you may have heard
14	this before. We have good news and we have bad news.
15	The proponents committee and it's consultant, Mr.
16	Christensen, spent a long time working trying to refine
17	the definition of handle and we have made some changes
18	that are probably could probably be described as
19	fundamental changes, bringing us back closer to the very
20	first definition that we started the hearing with, but
21	we believe it is an improvement. Mr. Roy is going to
22	talk about it. The bad news is that the final revisions
23	were made this morning at breakfast and we will not have
24	a hard copy until the copy's e-mailed to Mr. Roy's ranch
25	and delivered in . We do have, for illustrative

1	purposes, the current verbiage as proposed. Mr. Roy
2	will take the stand to describe why the changes were
3	made and I'll just I will describe for the USDA and
4	for the opponents the revision that was made that brings
5	us back, sort of, to the point of beginning, is that it
6	will no longer be considered handling to simply process
7	one's hops. The reason, as Mr. Roy will describe, is
8	that there were too many conflicts with the concept of
9	reserve pool and it would've, we think, required too
.0	many substantive revisions and just practical concerns
1	and Mr. Roy will describe those when he takes the stand.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
13	Monahan. Other preliminary matters? I was reminded of
14	one by USDA representatives. The reason five o'clock is
15	our deadline tonight is this room is being used. We
16	must complete, hopefully, before 5:00 so that set-up can
17	be done in here for a 5:30 meeting, so we don't have any
18	leeway on that. If you want to have a very shortened
19	lunch, we could do that, but we'll have to move quickly
20	today. Other preliminary matters? Ms. Deskins?
21	MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, we also have Dr.
22	Hinman, who had some additional material that he had
23	requested to put on, so some whenever you would like,
24	he can go on and also, I'd just like to remind people if
25	they have any documents or evidence they want to put in,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ì	they need to put it in before the close of hearing
2	because once it closes we cannot accept any other
3	evidence because sometimes people will try to send us
4	e-mail or letters and we can't accept it after the close
5	of the hearing.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you very
7	much.
8	MR. MOODY: With that reminder, I would like
9	to present as evidence on behalf of the proponents
10	committee a letter prepared by Michael Broadhead, who is
11	the president of Central Valley Bank. We've heard some
12	testimony from both sides about how forward contracts
13	and other issues, perhaps even a marketing order might
14	affect banking decisions to the hop industry and Mr.
15	Broadhead, who is unfortunately not available for live
16	testimony, has prepared a letter to that effect. We do
17	understand on the proponents committee that Mr.
18	Broadhead's unavailability for cross-examination will go
19	the weight, or effect the weight of this letter, but we
20	would seek to introduce it as an exhibit, nevertheless.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: This is Central
22	Valley Bank in Toppenish, Washington. I'm going to mark
23	the letter as Exhibit 52, 5-2. I'd like to go off
24	record so that you have an opportunity to read it and
25	given the fact that there is no ability to cross-
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	24 North Coorge St., Vork, PA 17401 (717) 854 0077

1	examine, I would ask if the parties would stipulate to
2	its contents or something of the like. Mr. Moody?
3	MR. MOODY: Actually
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Can you
5	MR. MOODY: Oh, sorry.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:speak into
7	MR. MOODY: Sorry, Your Honor.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
9	MR. MOODY: I don't mind it being marked and
10	carried along with the record, but under the APA [ph],
11	this has no weight since it's not subject to cross-
12	examination and so it can't be admitted as a exhibit and
13	this is the sort of thing that, you know, belongs in the
14	pre-hearing comment file or the post-hearing brief file
15	or something like that, but it's just not evidence under
16	that the Secretary can rely on in making any kind of
17	a decision in this proceeding.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
19	Moody. We'll go off record while people have an
20	opportunity to consider it.
21	***
22	[Off the Record]
23	[On the Record]
24	***
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ı	record at 6.40. Are you absorately sure Mr. Broadhead
2	is not available to appear?
3	MR. MONAHAN: I am, Your Honor.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would this
5	document, by any chance, be stipulated for admission
6	into evidence? Mr. Moody?
7	MR. MOODY: You know, Your Honor, that's kind
8	of the problem with the structure of the hearings under
9	Section IV of the Act. That's why we have formal
10	hearings as opposed to notice and comment rulemaking.
11	But it provides a unique opportunity to test and
12	evaluate the evidence rare in the administering of law
13	today. The rules are quite strict and if this were the
14	it would just like Notice of Conduct Rulemaking and
15	both sides would be out, you know, getting piles of
16	letters and telegrams and stuff and it's no point in us
17	all getting together here for our learned exchange of
18	views on the subject. It's kind of, you know, a
19	threshold requirement. It just defeats the purpose
20	under Section IV of having a formal hearing.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
22	Moody. Mr. Carswell?
23	MR. CARSWELL: Your Honor, I would just note
24	that a couple of the points here, such as the
25	characterization of this as a voluntary control and also
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., Vork. PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	the fact that hop most commodities including hops are
2	being sold below the cost of production. Those are
3	important issues that require fleshing out and we I
4	don't believe we've had any direct testimony to that
5	effect with respect to that last point, and it's an
6	important point.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
8	you, Mr. Carswell. I take it then that you, Mr. Moody,
9	object to the admission into evidence of Exhibit 52?
10	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor, but I you
11	know, it's not that I don't like Mr. Broadhead. The
12	lenders are often witnesses at these hearings. I wish
13	he could be here.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
15	Moody. Mr. Carswell, do you also object to the
16	admission into evidence of Exhibit 52?
17	MR. CARSWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Exhibit
19	52 will remain part of the record, but I will not
20	receive it as evidence. It will be with the record, but
21	one that was rejected as an exhibit and if for any
22	reason Mr. Broadhead should be able to appear, that
23	would change.
24	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I would request that
25	I understand the points of Counsel and just request
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	that the letter, hand-delivered in Portland, apparently
2	drafted by Mr. Von Eyckel, who was not able to show up
3	and testify here in the Yakima proceedings be handled in
4	the same manner.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, I think
6	I already admitted it into evidence. Let me see.
7	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, just for the record,
8	I from the proponents committee perspective, whether
9	Mr. Von Eyckel's letter is an exhibit in evidence or not
10	is largely immaterial because of the way we believe it
11	should be afforded.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I know
13	that that is Exhibit 23. I did admit it, but we're now
14	on notice and I appreciate that, Mr. Monahan, that it
15	may not be entitled to weight. All right. Mr. Moody?
16	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I
17	wasn't you know, I wasn't trying to pull a fast one
18	with Mr. Von Eyckel's letter, it you know, I know the
19	law as well as everybody else, it's just that he sent an
20	employee to read the letter into the record and was
21	available for cross-examination, so whether you want to
22	call it in effect the statement of the employee, or
23	employee is agent for Mr. Von Eyckel, or whatever, or
24	say arguably it would be entitled to a little less
25	weight, that's fine, but weight is quite a different
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	concept in admissibility.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The only thing the
3	witness in that case was able to do was verify the
4	source of the letter. It's true that's a little more
5	than we have here in that it was testimony rather than
6	representation of counsel, but they're really quite
7	equivalent. I would like to point out, Mr. Moody's made
8	an important point that the type of rulemaking hearing
9	that we are enjoying here is rare in administrative law
10	and it's been a delight for me, working with the
11	Department of Agriculture, to see that the Secretary
12	does do rulemaking in this fashion, which many
13	departments do not and the Secretary does come to the
14	"grass roots" level and invite comment from all those
15	concerned. And it takes a long time and it's a very
16	expensive process and a very good one, I think. All
17	right, Mr. Monahan?
18	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. With
19	that we would present Mr. Roy as a witness to describe
20	the definition of handling.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Roy, would you
22	state oh, Mr. Moody?
23	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor, do they have just
24	one extra perhaps we could use for a while?
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Is there one?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, this really has been
2	an ultimate work-in-progress that we finished at the
3	Waffle House at about 8:10.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And you don't even
5	one?
6	MR. MONAHAN: I do not have one.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We can take a quick
8	break.
9	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, this definition has
10	been e-mailed to Roy Farms.
11	MR. ROY: Yeah, it should be on its way here
12	shortly.
13	MR. MOODY: Okay. I think we can make do for
14	a while. We've got an adept hieroglyphs specialist to
15	prepare a written copy.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
17	you, Mr. Moody. Mr. Roy, would you state your full name
18	for us and spell it for the record?
19	MR. ROY: My name is Leslie Roy, L-e-s-l-i-e
20	R-o-y is the last name. Middle initial A.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: A as in apple?
22	MR. ROY: Yes. As in Alan.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: As Alan oh,
24	that's correct.
25	MR. MONAHAN: As alpha.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: As alpha? A as in
2	alpha. And how is Alan spelled.
3	MR. ROY: A-1-a-n.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
5	you. Mr. Roy, you remain sworn and you may proceed.
6	MR. ROY: I think we have a definition for
7	handler that hopefully everybody will agree on. I can
8	read it into the record. I'll have to read it off the
9	screen here. This is in reference to 991.08 Handle.
10	"Handle means to acquire hops from a producer, sell hops
11	to an end-user or foreign purchaser or transfer hops
12	from the production area to a point outside the
13	production area provided that the transfer of hops to
14	another producer pursuant to 991.56 A, the preparation
15	of hops for market, or the purchase of hops by a brewery
16	shall not be considered to be handling. And further
17	provided that 1) preparation of hops for market means to
18	palletize raw hops or to extract alpha acids from hops.
19	2) acquire hops means to purchase hops from a producer
20	or to accept hops from a producer an a consignment
21	contract. 3) end user means a brewer or other person
22	who utilizes hops. Foreign purchase means any person
23	who purchased hops directly from a producer and who does
24	not maintain offices or licenses in the United States.
25	***

2	having been previously sworn, according to the law,
3	testified as follows:
4	BY MR. MONAHAN:
5	Q. Now, Mr. Roy, the big change from the
6	most recent effort at defining handle has been to remove
7	from the definition that process that happens when a
8	farmer when a producer palletizes his hops.
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Why has that been removed?
11	A. Well, in our discussions among ourselves
12	and other people, it was determined that many growers,
13	as was presented here in this testimony, can prepare
14	their hops for storage, which would be palletize or
15	extract, and if handle were to take place at that time,
16	then the allotment would have to be adjusted up or down.
17	Or down, I guess. And that really enhindered [ph] the
18	movement of hops, so in other words, if a grower had
19	variety A and variety B, if he was processing both those
20	hops, he would have to determine at that time of
21	handling which hops he was going to take off his
22	allotment sheet. So similar to the mint order, we
23	decided as a best it was in the best interest of the
24	industry and the flexibility needed to move the proper
25	hops into the demand channel, that we handling would
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

LESLIE ROY,

1	take place at the time of purchase. So handling and
2	it just processing the hops into the pellets or some
3	more stable form would not be considered to be handling.
4	At the grower level.
5	Q. Just as a follow-up, then sir, with this
6	current definition, when and what and in what
7	circumstances does a producer handle hops?
8	A. A producer would handle hops if were to
9	sell them directly to an end-user. If a producer were
10	to deliver them to a consignment operation, those are
11	both considered to be handling.
12	Q. Well, if the producer delivers them to a
13	consignment operation, who's the handler?
14	A. The producer. Or the consignment
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. Yeah.
17	Q. What I'd like you to describe for the
18	USDA, if you would, are those situations in which the
19	producer is the handler you've identified one, when
20	you sell to an end-user what other situations would a
21	producer be considered a handler?
22	A. Well, if the producer sells when he
23	sells the hops.
24	Q. So if a producer sells to a foreign
25	purchaser
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Α.	That would be he would be the handler.
2	Q.	Who's the handler?
3	Α.	The producer.
4	Q.	If a producer ships hops to a storage
5	facility in Mo	ntana or outside the production area
6	Α.	The producer is the handler.
7	Q.	And when have they been handled for that
8	purpose?	
9	Α.	At the time of movement.
10	Q.	If a producer sells to a dealer
11	Α.	Yes. Then the
12	Q.	who's the handler?
13	Α.	The dealer is the handler.
14	Q.	Okay. And when does handling occur?
15	Α.	At the time of movement or at
16	acceptance of	the hops.
17	Q.	If the producer decides that he or she
18	wants to carry	some reserve pool hops
19	Α.	Correct.
20	Q.	in extracted form
21	Α.	Correct. At the time of sale, it
22	would	
23	Q.	Let me finish. In this case the producer
24	has actually o	grown more than his or her allotted
25	percentage. W	With me so far?
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 No	orth George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Decides to process 100 percent of the
3	amount of hops	that have been grown, okay? Does the act
4	of just proces:	sing them constitute handling?
5	Α.	No.
6	Q.	Even if they take if the grower takes
7	it to a Steine	r or a John H. Haas to have them
8	processed, doe.	s that constitute handling?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	When do they become handled?
11	Α.	When they're actually sold.
12	Q.	And does the theory behind this new
13	concept or thi	s old concept enable to the producer to be
14	flexible in wh	at varieties he or she shows sells
15	throughout the	marketing season?
16	Α.	That's correct. We felt that with, you
17	know, with the	advent of ISO 9,000, HACCP, Euroquip,
18	many of these	of programs that requiring traceability
19	through the wh	ole process, there's going to be paper
20	trails of all	these products. So to we don't see
21	this as any ad	ditional on the process because
22	traceability's	becoming a big issue, so we'll be able to
23	track these ho	ps through the process very easily.
24		* * *
25	MR.	MONAHAN: Thank you, Mr. Roy.
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 No	rth George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Roy, when you
2	said Europquip and some of those things just before
3	that
4	MR. ROY: Yes.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What are those and
6	how do you spell them?
7	MR. ROY: ISO 9,000 is I-S-O then the number
8	9,000. HACCP is an acronym for I'm not sure what it
9	is, but it's H-A-A-C-P. And then Europquip is Euro,
10	E-u-r-o-q-u-i-p, I believe. And that is a protocol
11	coming out of the European Union. Basically, it has to
12	do with traceability all the way through the process.
13	Apples are as an example, apples are taken on some of
14	the traceability aspects of Europquip, especially for
15	export over there. They're all pretty similar. HAACP
16	more, probably a little more, towards leading towards
17	the agricultural side of it.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, just so
19	I'm clear on what the revision is comprised of, Mr. Roy,
20	would you look at the second paragraph
21	MR. ROY: Yes.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:and does it
23	identify three things that are not handling?
24	MR. ROY: Yes. In the first case, the
25	transfer of hops to another producer pursuant to 991.56
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A is basically the transferability among growers and
2	producers of has to do with the allotment, you know,
3	the allotment that might be available, a grower could
4	fill someone else's allotment or vice-versa or sell hops
5	to another one that has allotment. Number two, the
6	preparation of hops for market. That is the example I
7	used just recently about a grower that would palletize
8	or extract hops or somehow put them in form that would
9	be more stable, should not be considered handling. Or
10	the purchase of hops by a brewer. So in other words, if
11	a brewer
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Now, now this is
13	where I want to make sure I'm clear. So after the or,
14	this is a third way that
15	MR. ROY: Yes.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:is not handling?
17	MR. ROY: That's right.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So the or could be
19	an and. That those three things, all those three things
20	are not handling, is that correct?
21	MR. ROY: That's correct.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Now tell me
23	about that third one.
24	MR. ROY: The third one would be the example
25	of a brewer buying directly from a grower. In that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	case, the grower or producer would be the handler and so
2	the brewer would be exempt from the term or would not
3	be the handler.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So selling to a
5	brewer is handling
6	MR. ROY: That's correct.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:but the brewer
8	buying is not handling.
9	MR. ROY: The grower is the handler. Just so
10	I get it correct
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
12	MR. ROY:yeah. The grower would be the
13	handler in that particular case.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And it's to make
15	clear that a brewer is never the handler.
16	MR. ROY: That's correct.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
18	you. Mr. Monahan, additional questions for Mr. Roy on
19	this point?
20	MR. MONAHAN: No thanks, Your Honor.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I'd
22	like to invite others who have questions or who want to
23	bring out impact of these changes. First, any on the
24	proponents side? Those who are here in a position
25	favorable to the marketing order? There are none. Now
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	those who are here in a position against the marketing
2	order. Mr. Moody?
3	***
4	BY MR. MOODY:
5	Q. Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Getting
6	back to the consignment situation.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. I'm a grower.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. I have a hundred pounds of hops.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. 60 pounds of allotment, 40 pounds of
13	reserve pool.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. I deliver all of that to Yakima Chief.
16	A. Which would partly be would be part
17	of it would be handling, you know, the
18	Q. The 60 pounds would be handled
19	A. Right.
20	Qand the 40 pounds would be going
21	through reserve pool.
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Okay. So the it's the act that
24	triggers the handling occurs at the time of delivery
25	to Yakima Chief.

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. So the it's the physical movement of
3	hops, not the type of ownership change
4	A. That's correct.
5	Qthat governs whether there's been
6	A. In a consignment basis, yeah.
7	Qhandling?
8	A. Right.
9	***
10	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thank you.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
12	Moody. Are there additional questions on this
13	particular provision from those who are here in a
14	position against the marketing order? Ah, we seem to
15	have hard copies. How many do you have, Ms. Brulotte?
16	MS. BRULOTTE: A whole stack of them.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: A whole stack,
18	great.
19	DR. HINMAN: Your Honor, I think I have
20	possible one clarification on terminology. I'm not
21	terribly familiar with this, but it has to do food
22	manufacturing and other manufacturing, that's HACCP?
23	MR. ROY: Yes.
24	DR. HINMAN: I'm going to hazard a guess that
25	it's Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points or
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	something to that effect.
2	MR. ROY: I would accept that.
3	DR. HINMAN: It's close enough.
4	MR. ROY: Okay.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Thank
6	you, Dr. Hinman. Now, are there questions from USDA
7	representatives on this point?
8	MR. ROY: I get off that easy?
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I think this is an
10	indication that this is a very good change.
11	MR. ROY: Thank you.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Clarifies a lot.
13	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, what I would propose
14	is that the hard copy be designated as the next
15	sequential exhibit with one change and that is somehow
16	in the copying the definition of foreign purchaser did
17	not receive its own independent
18	MR. ROY: Oh.
19	MR. MONAHAN:subparagraph number.
20	MR. ROY: I think that's the way it was up
21	there, too, so
22	MR. MONAHAN: Yeah.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, so at
24	the bottom paragraph should be number four?
25	MR. MONAHAN: Correct, Your Honor.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I'm
2	going to, on the record copy, put four period before the
3	quotation foreign purchaser, end quotation. Is there
4	any objection to the admission I've marked as Exhibit
5	5-3, 53. Is there any objection to the admission into
6	evidence of Exhibit 53? There is none. Exhibit 53 is
7	hereby admitted into evidence. I'm going to hand 53 and
8	a copy of it to the court reporter. The copy is for the
9	typist and also, I'm going to hand to the court reporter
10	Exhibit 52, which was rejected. I don't keep in the
11	record exhibits that were withdrawn. I do keep in the
12	record exhibits that are rejected. All right. Mr. Roy?
13	MR. ROY: Thank you.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You're welcome.
15	Mr. Monahan?
16	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The
17	proponents committee would call grower Reggie Brulotte.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you state
19	your full name and spell it for the record?
20	MS. BRULOTTE: Reggie Brulotte, R-e-g-g-i-e
21	B-r-u-l-o-t-t-e.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. You
23	remain sworn. I've been handed a copy of your statement
24	and I'd like for you to sign the record copy and I'll
25	hand it to you at this time. I'm going to mark that as
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 Exhibit 5-4, Exhibit 54. Ms. Brulotte, you may proceed.

MS. BRULOTTE: Just for the record, I would be half-French and I did not attend WSU. I was a chemistry major at the University of Washington.

MR. ROY: I object.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BRULOTTE: "As a sixth-generation farmer in the Yakima Valley, I fully support the proposed hop marketing order. Because of the German crop failure, much of the inventory that has been held by growers has been sold in the marketplace. Had there not been a crop failure, our inventory would have been increase once again and driven the bad prices of 2002 even lower from .50 a pound to possibly .25 to .30 a pound. however, does not mean that the supply and demand balance we have been searching for has been achieved. This is only one point in time and if everything stays the same as this year, we will once again have too much alpha on the world market next year. We have taken out acreage over the last few years in response to dealers and brewers saying there are too many hops being grown. However, part of the reason we did so was because we knew that a marketing order was being proposed. wanted to work with the hop industry, but it's been frustrating to know that while you're taking acreage out, others are exploiting that and adding additional York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	acreage. Even though we reduced our acreage, we still
2	might need to purchase base depending where the saleable
3	is set. This is a cost we are willing to incur because
4	the marketing order would add value to the industry that
5	offsets the cost of purchasing base. Barrier to new
6	entries is also a concern that has been expressed.
7	There frankly aren't a lot of people clamoring to get
8	into the hop industry. The only bona-fide new grower
9	bought an existing ranch that already had production on
10	the farm. Having to buy a base is the least of the
11	worries for a new farmer if he wanted to start a hop
12	ranch. Establishing trellis, planting hops, purchasing
13	specialized field equipment, not to mention the million
14	dollars that you need to purchase the harvesting
15	facilities would provide a much greater barrier to new
16	entry. The market for aroma varieties keeps coming up
17	in testimony that it is balanced currently. Some people
18	have inferred that if the marketing order comes into
19	effect, that it would prompt people to switch to those
20	lower alpha producing varieties because you could grow
21	more acres. This people would just plant the aromas
22	now because they're at higher price. But in truth, most
23	growers don't just go out and plant aroma varieties
24	without them being contracted because of the high degree
25	of risk associated with those types of hops and a lack
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	of alternative markets for them. They have such a
2	limited demand that even a few bales of overproduction
3	drastically reduces the market price. The order is also
4	designed to protect the balance that currently exists in
5	the aroma market. By inflating the base on those
6	varieties it was intended to protect the aroma growers
7	from having to cut that acreage. This is also why it's
8	felt important to include the years back to 1997 in base
9	allocation. Many Oregon growers who primarily produce
10	aroma varieties took cuts back then and should not have
11	to take another cut. Most growers agree that it's been
12	on the alpha side of the industry that has been out of
13	balance and felt that's where the cuts need to be taken.
14	World market share has been brought up at several
15	points. The feeling of a couple of economists seems to
16	be that we should keep our prices low to preserve that
17	market share. If we keep share market share if the
18	prices is below our cost of production, it would seem
19	logical that we should maybe give up some of that market
20	share and make a return on our investments. There seems
21	to be an idea being presented that there are several
22	places to market your hop production. I'd like to know
23	where all these dealer/handlers are. Of all the
24	handlers that have been named by the opposition, two
25	will only market hops from their members, primarily, the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Yakima Chief and Hop Union, two large brewers, Coors and
2	Anheuser-Busch buy direct from the growers, and two
3	dealers, Haas and Steiner buy most of the rest of the
4	hops. Of all the rest of the dealers that have been
5	mentioned, few are familiar to me and only one has ever
6	bought hops from our ranch. I feel that a marketing
7	order will be an important factor in keeping the US hop
8	industry alive and viable due to the stabilization that
9	it can impart into our industry."
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
11	Brulotte. Is there anything else you'd like to add
12	before I invite questioning first from Mr. Monahan?
13	MS. BRULOTTE: No.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Mr.
15	Monahan?
16	MR. MONAHAN: I have no questions, Your Honor.
17	Thank you.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Are
19	there other people here in a position in favor of the
20	marketing order that have questions for Ms. Brulotte?
21	Are there any who are here in a position against the
22	marketing order that have questions for Ms. Brulotte?
23	Mr. Carswell?
24	***
25	BY MR. CARSWELL:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Yes, Your Honor. Hi, Reggie. How are
2	you?
3	A. Just dandy, thanks.
4	Q. Okay. Forgive me, I just don't know all
5	the family relationships. You was that your dad that
6	testified yesterday?
7	A. Yes, it was.
8	Q. And is that are you the same operation
9	or do you have separate operations?
10	A. It would be one entity.
11	Q. One
12	A. So hopefully, this isn't one grower, one
13	testimony.
14	Q. No, it's not. No, not at all. I was
15	looking at what you had said about the aroma varieties
16	and I just wanted to ask you about that a little bit.
17	The you say that most people or most growers don't
18	just go out and plant aroma varieties without them being
19	contracted because of the high degree of risk associated
20	with those types of hops. Isn't it risky to grow any
21	hops that you don't have a contract for?
22	A. Yes, but people seem to be willing to
23	grow alpha hops because there are more alternate markets
24	for them. You don't go out and plant a whole lot of
25	Willamettes just because you feel like growing
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Willamettes, if they're not contracted. There generally
2	is one buyer for them.
3	Q. Isn't it the problem, though, that folks
4	have gone out and grown hops that they don't have
5	contracts for, because that's created the excess supply?
6	A. Yeah, and that's what we're trying to
7	prevent from happening in the future.
8	Q. Right. So if every grower just grew or -
9	- you knew, grew for contract maybe plus a little bit,
10	you wouldn't have a problem, right?
11	A. So you're saying out of the goodness of
12	our heart everyone's supposed to not grow hops unless
13	they're contracted?
14	Q. No, I'm saying that if individual
15	businessmen don't want to incur risk by growing
16	something that they don't know they have a market for,
17	that they would either grow or not grow based on the
18	level of risk that they'd be willing to accept.
19	A. But that hasn't happened.
20	Q. As far as this year's production, do you
21	have any idea what that is?
22	A. No, I do not.
23	Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that
24	and I think your testimony reflects this, that some
25	growers have gone out and grown more this year than
	Vork Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	as others have contracted?
2	A. I believe that has happened over the last
3	couple of years.
4	Q. Do you ascribe a bad motive for that? Do
5	you think it's related to the marketing order?
6	A. I'm not going to speak as to the actual
7	motivations of anybody, but that's what it feels like to
8	me.
9	Q. Could it be that they just had contracts
10	for those hops?
11	A. Like I said, I don't know everybody's
12	individual operation.
13	Q. Okay. The hops that you cut back on, did
14	you have contracts I would presume you wouldn't have
15	a contract for the hops that you cut back or you
16	wouldn't have or you would've grown them, is that
17	accurate?
18	A. We cut back on the hops and do not
19	pursues contracts for that acreage.
20	Q. And wouldn't you pursue contracts before
21	you planted the acreage? You would have a you would
22	normally have a contract on the acreage before you plant
23	it, right?
24	A. We didn't plant. We took some acreage
25	out and then we have the additional capacity to produce,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	but we decided not to go ahead and try and contract that
2	acreage out and just keep it out of production.
3	Q. Okay. You mention that many Oregon
4	growers who primarily produce aroma took cuts back in
5	'97 and that seems to be a justification for going back
6	to '97 to include that in the base allocation. I don't
7	understand that. Could you explain that?
8	A. When we were putting the order together,
9	the Oregon growers felt that it was important that
10	they'd be able to go back at least to '97 because they
11	took their cuts either in '97 or before then
12	Q. Um-hum.
13	Aand they were concerned that they
14	would have to take since they had already taken out a
15	portion of their acreage, that they would have to take
16	out more acreage once again.
17	Q. But if the cut was in '97, that's not a
18	good year for them. If it was '97 or before, you know,
19	that cut acreage would be not a good for them because
20	they would have a lower base to work with by using '97,
21	so I don't see how the fact that Oregon growers took a
22	cut in '97 would be a reason to include '97 in the
23	allocation.
24	A. The Oregon growers felt that is was that

25

we spoke to.

1	Q. Okay. On the market share point, you
2	know, that we you should keep your prices low to
3	preserve that market share, I guess I agree with you
4	because, you know, if again, if people only grew what
5	they had contracts for, you presumably grow less than
6	you are growing now, correct?
7	A. Presumably.
8	Q. And you'd probably lose some market share
9	by doing that, correct?
10	A. Possibly.
4.1	Q. But you probably overall get a better
12	price for your hops, correct?
13	A. Possibly.
14	* * *
15	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Thanks very much.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
17	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
18	***
19	BY MR. MOODY:
20	Q. Thank you. Reggie, you're a good
21	businesswoman, right?
22	A. I try to be.
23	Q. Okay. You make the planting decision,
24	harvesting decisions with the expectation of making
25	money?

1	A. That's the general idea.
2	Q. Great. And do you have both alpha and
3	aroma hops?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And you have other have you
6	diversified across other agricultural commodities, as
7	well?
8	A. We have a small amount of alfalfa.
9	Q. But most of your farm's livelihood
10	depends on hops?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. But you diversified at least
13	across aromas and alphas.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Do you have contracts for your
16	aromas this year?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And would you generally agree with the
19	testimony that the aroma market has remained in balance
20	during the past several years?
21	· A. I think in general, but I think that
22	there are a couple of varieties that are probably out of
23	balance as of this point in time.
24	Q. And are those growers making decisions
25	appropriate, meaning cutting production?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. I'm not going to say that they're
2	appropriate or not, it's been their choice.
3	Q. And is the do you agree with the
4	primary reason for the overproduction on the alpha side
5	is a combination of declining brewer demand and
6	dramatically increased productivity of the super high
7	acid varieties?
8	A. Those are probably two factors.
9	Q. Could you add any other significant
10	factors?
11	A. Not off the top of my head.
12	Q. Okay. You mentioned that some people
13	were I gather this year declining, you know,
14	reducing their production and some people are increasing
15	their production? Is that correct?
16	A. I believe so.
17	Q. And isn't that, I guess, to be expected
18	in a well-functioning market that like restaurants or
19	gas stations or convenience stores, that some people
20	will being going out and other people will be entering
21	the market?
22	A. Usually, though, the gas stations and
23	restaurants don't have, you know, a group saying that
24	yes, we are trying to reduce what the product that
25	we're purchasing and then the other people can take
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	advantage of that.
2	Q. Well, wouldn't you expect that the people
3	entering the market and investing their valuable
4	resources and time have an expectation that they'll make
5	money from that decision?
6	A. How many people are entering the hop
7	industry?
8	Q. Well, I was referring to your testimony.
9	Entering or expanding.
10	A. What's the question?
11	Q. The question is wouldn't the people
12	entering or expanding, in your view, would it be their
13	expectation that they'll be making money from investing
14	those resources?
15	A. I think everyone's trying to make money.
16	Q. Right. Well, are they is it sort of
17	but your you and this hypothetical expanding
18	grower both looking at more or less the same information
19	and you're making a reduction and they're making an
20	increase, both of you expecting to make money from those
21	decisions. How can this be?
22	A. Well, I'd like to increase my production
23	as much as everybody else, but we've been trying to work
24	together as an industry to curb some of the oversupply.
25	Q. And did you offer to participate in the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	'01 and '02 alliance in the Washington set-aside
2	programs?
3	A. We did participate in the set-aside
4	program.
5	Q. Okay. And has, generally speaking, the
6	supply been adjusting in response to the market trends?
7	A. I think that the supply was adjusted more
8	due to the fact of the German crop failure than anything
9	else.
10	Q. Would you have any objection to using
11	more recent years for the base period, like '01, '02,
12	and '03, instead of going all the way back to '97?
13	A. Yes, I would.
14	Q. Are there producers who produced in '97
15	through '01 that are no longer commercially producing a
16	crop?
17	A. There could be.
18	Q. And is it your view that it's fair if
19	they get base?
20	A. No, I believe that we the '97 to '02
21	period is what's been proposed for quite a while. There
22	may need to be looked at some individual cases, however.
23	***
24	MR. CARSWELL: Do you think you need to be a
25	commercial producer in '03 to be able to earn initial
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	base?
2	MS. BRULOTTE: I think that depends on how
3	you're defining a commercial producer.
4	MR. CARSWELL: Well what do you think's the
5	right definition?
6	MS. BRULOTTE: I think that somebody that has
7	a hop farm, maybe not just produced or that has plants,
8	the facility and just decided to not harvest their crop
9	in '03 would probably still be a grower.
10	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Thank you very much,
11	Reggie.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
13	Moody. Are there any other questions for Ms. Brulotte?
14	Yes, Dr. Tweeten?
15	* * *
16	BY OR. TWEETEN:
17	Q. I would like to ask about the value
18	allotments that would be given out. Won't this
19	constitute another significant barrier to entry into the
20	hop industry? The value that these allotments acquire
21	and the cost of purchasing them to expand or get into
22	production?
23	A. I believe that's been covered by several
24	people already, actually, and that it depending on
25	what base actually costs, it could be a very small
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

barrier. But when you look at all the other barriers to
new entry, that's probably pretty insignificant compared
to some other costs.
Q. Isn't it possible that with significant
barriers to entry that in the nature of the industry,
sometimes expanding demand, contracting, supply changing
and so forth, that there might be periods of very
significant undersupply in the market in the future
because of these large barriers to entry?

MR. MONAHAN: I'll object to that, Your Honor.
I think it mischaracterizes the testimony.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. The
objection is noted, but I'll allow Ms. Brulotte to
answer. Do you want him to repeat that?
MS. BRULOTTE: Yes. What was the actual
question?
MR. TWEETEN: Well, apparently, one of the
purposes of the marketing order is to align supply and
demand. Currently, there is an oversupply of some types
of hops, is that correct?
MS. BRULOTTE: Correct.
MR. BARTON: Can we take a break?
MR. BARTON: Can we take a break? ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, we need to

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	***
2	[Off the Record]
3	[On the Record]
4	***
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
6	record at 9:20. Dr. Hinman, you may continue with
7	your
8	DR. TWEETEN: Tweeten.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:Dr. Tweeten.
10	***
11	BY MR. TWEETEN:
12	Q. Currently, there is an oversupply of
13	certain types of hops so there this effort at
14	balancing is important, but oversupply isn't necessarily
15	a permanent phenomenon. Would you agree with that?
16	A. I know that ever since I got back onto
17	the hop ranch in '98 it seems to be pretty permanent to
18	me.
19	Q. Isn't it possible that with depreciation
20	of assets, with the significant barriers to entry into
21	the industry that there could be a situation where there
22	would be an undersupply of hops available?
23	A. How would barrier to new entry affect
24	that? I don't understand.
25	Q. As demand expands and the costs of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	entering the industry become large and perhaps with a
2	marketing order in effect limiting what can be produced,
3	there might be an under-investment in the industry and
4	one might end up with shortfalls to meet the demand.
5	A. I don't see how the marketing order
6	really affects that in any additional way that already
7	exists with just the current harvesting and the current
8	cultural practices of the US hop industry.
9	Q. You don't feel that the cost of acquiring
10	allotments would be a significant factor in entry
11	into the industry.
12	A. Not when you compare it with all the
13	other significant costs.
14	Q. You indicated that there is this
15	imbalance among verities with the aroma varieties not
16	significant in oversupply, the high alpha varieties more
17	in oversupply. Will a marketing board be able to
18	correct these kinds of imbalances within the industry?
19	A. The whole reason that we've set up the
20	marketing order the way it is, is to allow growers to
21	choose which varieties they would like to most
22	growers will probably, in my opinion, grow the high-
23	priced aroma varieties that they have contracted for
24	first and use the allotment towards that.
25	Q. So was that answer a yes or a no to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	whether the marketing board will be able to correct the
2	imbalances within the industry?
3	A. That is the hope, yes.
4	***
5	DR. TWEETEN: Thank you.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
7	Tweeten. Any other questions for Ms. Brulotte from
8	those who are here in a position against the marketing
9	order? There are none. I would invite questions from
10	neutral entities began with representatives from the
11	USDA.
12	MS. BRULOTTE: I even get gypped out of the
13	large and small question because Dad answered it.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Any other questions
15	for Ms. Brulotte from anyone? Is there any objection to
16	the admission into evidence of Exhibit 54, which is Ms.
17	Brulotte's statement? There is none. Exhibit 54 is
18	hereby admitted into evidence. Ms. Brulotte, do you
19	have an extra one for the typist?
20	MS. BRULOTTE: Yes, I do.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: If you could give
22	that to the court reporter and would you present him,
23	also, with this record copy which bears your signature?
24	Thank you, Ms. Brulotte. Is there anything you'd like
25	to add before you step down?
•	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

i	MS. BRULOTTE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.
3	Monahan?
4	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, the proponents call
5	Dale Gamache.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dale Gamache, would
7	you please come forward, please, to the witness stand
8	and you may be seated.
9	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I believe Mr.
10	Gamache has brought with him a number of exhibits that I
11	can distribute for him.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Let's
13	go off record while we distribute those. I'm going to
14	mark his statement as Exhibit 55.
15	***
16	[Off the Record]
17	[On the Record]
18	***
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
20	record at 9:25. Mr. Gamache, would you state and spell
21	your name, please?
22	MR. GAMACHE: Yes. My name is Dale Gamache.
23	First name D-a-l-e, last name G-a-m-a-c-h-e.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And your statement
25	is on the letterhead of Geoff Gamache Farms, Inc.?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. GAMACHE: Yes, it is.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I'd
3	like to swear you in. Would you raise your right hand,
4	please?
5	***
6	[Witness sworn]
7	* * *
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
9	Gamache. Do you want to begin with the reading of your
10	statement?
11	MR. GAMACHE: Yes, please.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, you may
13	proceed.
14	MR. GAMACHE: "My name is Dale Gamache. My
15	reason for being here my reasons for being here are
16	because the family members of our farm believe that a
17	hop marketing order is good not only for our farm, but
18	the hop industry, as well, because we believe that an
19	HMO board will collectively read and understand the
20	market better than each of us individually and also
21	because we are a small family farm and would like our
22	voice to be heard, as well. Our family began farming in
23	the Moxee Valley in the late 1800s, beginning with my
24	great-grandfather, Charles Gamache, in 1897. My
25	grandfather, George Gamache, purchased his first York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
property in 1910. That property was a planting of hops
1
       and is still being farmed in hops today -- is being
2
3
       farmed by B&T Gamache Farms. My father, Geoff,
       beginning -- began his farming with -- my father, Geoff,
4
5
       began farming with his father in 1933 at the age of 17.
       In 1934 my recently married parents, Geoffrey and
6
       Vivian, purchased their first tract of land and home.
7
       This first purchase of 39.25 acres is where they began
8
       raising cattle, chickens, pigs, and cultivating
9
10
       potatoes, carrots, sugar beets, and of course, hops.
       This was the first of many small purchases over the
11
       years. This 101 year old main structure with as many
12
       additions is what my wife and myself now call home.
13
       Today, six generations and 106 years later, with my
14
       brothers Lee and Gene, and my nephew, James Edward St.
15
       Mary and his son, Benjamin, are now farming 900 acres.
16
       Our acreage is down about a hundred acres from the high
17
        of '97-'98 crop years. Over the years our farm has
18
19
        diversified into apple production, a hauling business,
        and very recently, into alfalfa production. Our hop
20
        acreage and production over the years had increased
21
        incrementally from -- until 1997 with only three small
22
        declines in production. Those small declines were in
23
        1983 and '84 with the failure of a handler that left us
24
        100 percent uncontracted for that year, again in 1989,
25
                          York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

with the failure of a second handler that left us 45
percent uncontracted. We were able to recontract with
different handlers most of the acreage for those years.
In 1995, we cancelled a contract because of poor
yielding Tettnanger variety. And some of you growers
know my brother, Lee. He did not put up with low-
yielding varieties at all. During those last years with
the last during those years with the last HMO, our
farm had a steady growth with fair contracting. In '97,
our hop acreage and production made a large jump. This
was based upon two events. December 31, 1996, if
everybody remembers the snow load we had. It caused our
kiln to collapse. To justify a major reconstruction, we
knew we'd have to expand our acreage. It just so
happened, a hop producer approached us with his intent
to retire. We were able to agree and come to terms
very, very quickly. During the last HMO, we enjoyed the
ability to expand our acres with steady, increasing
demand along with increase of pricing on forward
contracting. Since 1998, our farm's forward contracting
has diminished to a level of 1965. On my hop acreage
history that I have presented, you will note that our
hop production in 1965 was from 141 acres. You will
also note our highest production from the years 1997 and
1998 was from 467 acres. Would you please also note
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc.

34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	that our hop production for 2003 was at the same level
2	as it was in 1965 140 acres. These acres of
3	production every year from 1965 through 2003 were
4	entirely contracted with the exception of the 2001 crop,
5	which only 55 percent was contracted. We will not do
6	that again. The returns are not what we had hoped they
7	would be when we made the decision to grow those spot
8	hops. Any spot hops in our farm produced any other year
9	were from higher than anticipated production only. We
10	have enjoyed all of our acreage fully contracted until
11	1998. New pricing of alpha varieties has also
12	diminished to a point below our growing costs and offers
13	from handlers have been few. I feel this is a strong
14	indication alpha is overproduced and that a grower has a
15	very poor understanding of the soft alpha market. The
16	grower's understanding of the alpha market is so poor
17	they will keep producing alpha until the industry, as a
18	whole, dies. Pricing from brewer direct future
19	contracts still remain strong for us with good pricing.
20	I only wish brewer direct contracting were more of our
21	farm's total production. It is becoming increasingly
22	more difficult to prepare budgets, for securing
23	operational financing with the many of the unknowns of
24	what our farm will grow in hops or remove from
25	production temporarily or permanently and to plant or
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	not to plant an alternate crop. Since the year 2000, we
2	on our farm have removed from production 90 acres
3	permanently. We have also not renewed leases for an
4	additional 50 acres and half of that trellis has been
5	removed permanently. We feel that we have done enough
6	and it's now time for those who have not removed alpha
7	from production to do so until the balance of supply and
8	demand is met. Let the record show that we on our farm
9	want one vote for common ownership of multiple entities
10	and that we strongly believe the representative base
11	period should be the production years from 1997 through
12	2001." Thank you very much.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Gamache, would
14	you walk us through the attachments?
15	MR. GAMACHE: We have a table and then a graph
16	that matches the table. And pretty much what the graph
17	shows is a steady increase in our acreage and production
18	with those small, little glitches that you have to
19	really throw out because that was not indicative of a
20	market. The market was steady, the prices were steadily
21	increasing, we had good times through the marketing
22	period. And the marketing period with those
23	
23	contracts we had leaving the last HMO extended out for
24	contracts we had leaving the last HMO extended out for five and six years. It was very good for our farm.
	·

1	the market has drastically reduced our production.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: On the chart some
3	of the letters are in numbers are in black and some
4	are in green and some are in red. What are the
5	significance what is the significance of the colors?
6	MR. GAMACHE: The numbers in green are an
7	increase in acreage and the numbers in red are decrease
8	in acreage.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: It's very
10	thoughtfully prepared. Thank you. I'm going to ask you
11	to sign the first page of your statement, which is
12	Exhibit 55. Is there any objection to the admission
13	into evidence of Exhibit 55? There is none. Exhibit 55
14	is hereby admitted into evidence. I would now invite
15	questions for Mr. Gamache from those who are here in a
16	position in favor of the marketing order.
17	MR. MONAHAN: I have a couple of questions.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan.
19	***
20	DALE GAMACHE,
21	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
22	testified as follows:
23	BY MR. MONAHAN:
24	Q. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Gamache. Do I
25	understand your testimony that even in years, say 1999
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	and 2000 where you were well, let's say 1999 at or
2	near your peak of acreage that your farms your family
3	farms were primarily contracted for full production?
4	A. Yes, they were.
5	Q. Okay. And so even though you were at
6	presumable record highs for acreage and alpha, the
7	purchasers on the market were able to offer contracts
8	that were acceptable to your family?
9	A. Up until that time in 1999, I believe, or
10	no the year 2000, we turned down a contract that was
11	below the cost of our production.
12	Q. Okay. Is it has it been your
13	observation that the amount of acceptable contracts
14	offered to your family farm has decreased since then?
15	A. Yes, they have.
16	Q. What is your opinion, experience, and
17	observation as to why the availability of contracts has
18	diminished in the last four years?
19	A. Well, we strongly believe the
20	overproduction of alpha has made the offers of alpha
21	because we were growing the Nugget variety, which is
22	only a high alpha hop and very few acres of the super
23	alpha variety, which would've been more profitable.
24	Which would've been profitable at those prices. We
25	could not afford to do that. The overall effect to our
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	farm was we couldn't accept contracts at that dollar
2	amount unless we changed varieties. But it was only a
3	break-even point, so we could not afford to change
4	varieties into the Columbus variety or the Zeus.
5	Q. Has the amount of inventory held not only
6	by growers, but by dealers and the amount of alpha hops
7	on the spot market, in your opinion, affected both the
8	quantity and amount of contracts that are made available
9	to your family farm?
10	A. I'm not sure about that. I believe so,
11	but than again, I'm not sure if that's why.
12	***
13	MR. MONAHAN: That's all I have, Your Honor.
14	Thank you.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
16	Monahan. Mr. Roy?
17	***
18	BY MR. ROY:
19	Q. Sorry. First question, you are French,
20	aren't you?
21	A. Very French.
22	Q. Okay. Did you, Mr. Gamache, participate
23	in any of the set-aside programs in '01 or '02?
24	A. Yes, we did. We had already reduced our
25	acreage and I think some discussions I did have with the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	panel at that time told them that we had already given
2	quite and we would still give more.
3	Q. So you had already some in 2000 and then
4	you added some more in 2001 and 2002 or
5	A. It
6	***
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: By added more, it's
8	confusing.
9	* * *
10	BY MR. ROY:
11	Q. Okay, yeah. Subtracted more because you
12	reduced the acreage more.
13	A. Yes, we did.
14	Q. Would did you have a how did you
15	feel about those programs? I guess the question would
16	be did you feel those programs were successful or were
17	there problems with those programs?
18	A. I felt that they would have been
19	successful if they would've gotten off the ground and
20	worked like they were supposed to, but unfortunately,
21	that when we were taking out, there was [sic] growers
22	that were putting in.
23	***
24	MR. ROY: Thank you, Mr. Gamache.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Roy.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Are there other questions from those who are in a
2	position favorable to a marketing order? No. I would
3	now invite questions from those who are in a position
4	against the marketing order. Mr. Carswell?
5	***
6	BY MR. CARSWELL:
7	Q. Good morning, Mr. Gamache.
8	A. Good morning.
9	Q. Matt Carswell, Anheuser-Busch. I don't
10	think you've been here the whole time, so I'll go ahead
11	and introduce myself, if I could. I notice in your
12	comments, or actually in the chart on page two, that you
13	had from 1965 to 1986, your farm had expanded by less
14	than a hundred acres. Right at a hundred acres, about
15	97 acres. Is that accurate?
16	A. Yes, that is.
17	Q. And then from '86 until '98, it pretty
18	much doubled almost doubled from 237 to 467. So you
19	had a lot expansion during that period, correct?
20	A. That is correct. We expanded by buying
21	out hop farms that wanted to go out of business or
22	retire.
23	Q. And part of that's explained by the fact
24	that you had to reinvest because of the kiln, where the
25	roof collapsed. Is that right?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. I noticed in 2001, you mentioned that
3	your that you engaged in the set-aside program that
4	year and I also noticed in your comments that you were
5	only 55 percent contracted for that year. Does the
6	were you 55 percent contracted with what was remaining
7	after you set aside, or were you 55 percent contracted
8	taking into account the acreage that you set aside?
9	A. For what year? 2001?
10	Q. For 2001.
11	A. For 2001 we were only about 50 percent
12	contracted and that was because we turned down an offer
13	to grow Nuggets below our growing cost.
14	Q. Right, and but you were with
15	respect to the do you count the set-aside acres in
16	the percentages there, or do you not? Were you 55
17	percent plus the acreage you set aside or were you just
18	50 percent contracted?
19	A. These are actual acres of production.
20	Q. Okay. So
21	A. Set-aside would've been the any acres
22	that were not grown.
23	Q. Okay. And they would be included in the
24	50 percent that was not contracted?
25	A. That is correct.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q. Okay. If you had if you could've
2	gotten a good contract that year, would you have
3	participated in the set-aside program? If you had
4	gotten a contract where you would've made money?
5	A. Not if they're contracted, no.
6	Q. I'm sorry?
7	A. If they were contracted, we probably
8	would not have participated to that degree.
9	Q. In the set-aside program?
10	A. If they were profitable contracts, yes.
11	Q. Yes, sir. It makes sense for somebody,
12	if they've got a profitable contract to grow hops,
13	correct?
14	A. But if there's a profitable contract,
15	chances are you have an equal and stable supply and
16	demand.
17	Q. And it would be somebody expanding that
18	
19	would be proper, correct?
20	A. I don't know. I would guess so.
21	Q. Okay. You say at the bottom that "it is
22	now time for those who have not removed alpha from
23	production to do so until the balance in supply and
24	demand is met." Do you think somebody should have to
25	remove alpha if they're fully contracted with profitable
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	contracts?
2	A. I would say no, but why are they signing
3	contracts the year they're growing the hops for .50 a
4	pound?
5	Q. And I agree with you, but I'm giving you
6	the an example of somebody who's fully contracted
7	with profitable contracts. Is it fair that they should
8	have to reduce production?
9	A. On which program? An HMO?
10	Q. Any program. Would it be fair?
11	A. As long as it's fair for everybody.
12	Q. If someone okay, thank you. At the
13	beginning, you said that the reason for you being here
14	was because "the family members of our farm believe that
15	a hop marketing order is good, not only for our farm,
16	but the hop industry as well because we believe that an
17	HMO board will collectively read and understand the
18	market better than each of us individually." Are you
19	aware that there could be a marketing order passed that
20	would do just that, that would research and you know,
21	conduct market research and provide the industry with
22	more information?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Yes. Would you support such an order
25	without the production limitations?

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. No.
2	Q. So when you say here that you believe
3	that the HMO board will collectively read and understand
4	the market better, you would also agree that they will
5	make decisions, better decisions, than individual
6	growers would make within the industry, is that
7	accurate?
8	A. Yes. Because collectively, they would
9	have more knowledge at their hands than each one of us
10	individually. I'm not an I'm not very good at
11	reading markets and I know there are people in this
12	industry that are much better than I am.
13	Q. Yes, sir. So they'll make better
14	decisions than you would make?
15	A. Especially when there are sitting on a
16	panel of members where they can discuss the knowledge
17	they have at hand at the time.
18	Q. Do you think it also would be helpful
19	because they would have more power, they would be able
20	to regulate production as compared to you being able to
21	regulate the production of your fellow growers?
22	* * *
23	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I must object to the
24	term regulate production.
25	***

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	BY MR. CARSWELL:
2	Q. Regulate I'm sorry. Good point,
3	Brendan. Regulate the amount that can be sold in the
4	market. Would you do you think that that is a reason
5	why they would be more effective than you would be
6	because you can't regulate what your neighbor will sell?
7	A. No, I don't agree with that. I think
8	that this industry is so unique and so small that we
9	need somebody that can read a panel, a board that can
10	advise us all as growers. Because each of us each or
11	our farms are going to make decisions on varieties, what
12	we're going to grow and how many acres of those
13	varieties that we can process profitable on each farm.
14	Q. But you do realize that somebody could
15	process profitably a certain amount of acreage. They
16	may not be able to do so because the board will set a
17	limit
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. So they can they will have decision
20	making over the amount of hops that somebody can sell.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And you believe that's a good thing?
23	A. That is a good thing.
24	***
25	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Thank you very much.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
2	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
3	***
4	BY MR. MOODY:
5	Q. Geoff [sic], this is a really nice graph.
6	Thank you. It doesn't distinguish between alpha and
7	aromas, but I gather you had both types of hops during
8	this whole period?
9	A. No, we did not. The only year we had
10	alphas was the year 1995, I believe it was. '94 and '95
11	and we took them out in '95 after one year.
12	Q. Okay. So you've traditionally been and
13	plan to continue an aroma grower?
14	A. No, not aroma, in alpha. '95, when we
15	took out an aroma variety
16	Q. Oh, you've been traditionally been an
17	alpha grower?
18	A. Alpha grower.
19	Q. Oh, I see. And right now everything you
20	grow is alpha?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Oh, okay. And up until '98 did you have
23	contracts for your alpha?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And do you agree with a lot of the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	testimony that's been here that the world oversupply of
2	alpha has been in part due to brewer declining demand
3	and increasing productivity of super high alpha
4	varieties?
5	A. Mostly increased productivity of super
6	alpha varieties.
7	Q. Okay, and is that just happening in the
8	US or is that happening in other grower regions, as
9	well?
10	A. That's mostly started here in the US and
11	now has moved into Germany.
12	Q. So it's kind of spreading?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Is one of your hopes for the
15	marketing order that it will force a return to
16	traditional forward contracting?
17	A. Yes, it is.
18	Q. Well and what about the problem that
19	since the marketing order can't control the oversupply
20	and the increasing shift to higher yielding varieties is
21	other world producing areas that by putting a cap on, is
22	effect, 25 percent or 30 percent of the world's alpha
23	production, you're still not going to achieve that goal
24	of forcing a return to forward contracts?
25	A. I'm not sure I quite understand how you
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	put that question. I think what you're saying is how
2	can you have a price or how can you control the
3	levels of production?
4	Q. Well, your point
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You did use the
7	word production, Mr. Moody, but what you're really
8	talking about is the global market?
9	MR. MOODY: Yes.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you explain
11	that?
12	***
13	BY MR. MOODY:
14	Q. Sure. Geoff, your point was that the
15	marketing order needs to respond to overproduction in
16	alpha hops, right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And if I asked you where is that
19	overproduction occurring, is like Washington or Oregon
20	or Germany, I guess you'd probably agree with me that
21	it's overproduction is worldwide?
22	A. Yes. The overproduction is worldwide.
23	Q. Okay. So if you get your neighbors to
24	share in this noble effort to reduce production here,
25	you're still leaving yourself vulnerable to all of the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	overproduction occurring elsewhere in the world.
2	***
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, do you
4	want to reword that getting your neighbors to correct
5	the oversupply to the market, or
6	MR. MOODY: Right. Well, while a marketing
7	order might get your neighbors in Washington, Oregon,
8	Idaho, and California to share in a plan to reduce
9	production of alpha acid, it's not going to do anything
10	about correcting the oversupply problem worldwide,
11	correct?
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm still having a
13	problem with production as opposed to sale.
14	MR. MOODY: How about if we just use supply?
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
16	MR. MOODY: Okay.
17	MR. GAMACHE: Will it decrease supply? I
18	believe it would with the HMO board managing the surplus
19	to take care of problems that happen like this year with
20	the crop failure in Germany. There should always be a
21	supply on hand to take care of those crop failures.
22	This will help stabilize prices, help stabilize demand.
23	***
24	BY MR. MOODY:
25	Q. Right, but isn't wouldn't you expect
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	your colleagues in places like Germany and China to read
2	the bulletin and say ooh, those nice guys in the US are
3	cutting their production capacity just like you
4	complained that some people here had perhaps unfairly
5	increased their production in the last years. Would you
6	also your colleagues abroad to increase their production
7	as you reduce increase their supply as you reduce
8	yours?
9	A. I don't believe so because I feel that we
10	are much more resilient at bouncing back, we are more
11	efficient, and we can grow the hops a better hop
12	probably cheaper than anywhere else. The growing
13	districts are very unique to this hop.
14	Q. Right. And so alpha is we've had a
15	lot of testimony that alpha's pretty much alpha with
16	some preference for specific varieties. But there is a
17	true global market in alpha. Would you agree with that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. How can your effort to reduce the
20	supply here expect to succeed in the long run when you
21	can't control the supply produced in 60 or 70 percent of
22	the rest of the world.
23	A. We will continue to put a cheaper product
24	on the market, but hopefully it'll be more profitable
25	for us to do so. We'll always be able to grow the hop
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	cheaper than Germany or France.
2	Q. Okay. Why are well then, why isn't
3	your share of the world market gradually climbing over
4	time?
5	A. I can't answer that. I don't know.
6	***
7	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thanks very much.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
9	Moody. Are there questions from Mr. Carswell? Well,
10	let me go first, if I may, to Dr. Tweeten. I'll come
11	back to you, Mr. Carswell.
12	* * *
13	BY DR. TWEETEN:
14	Q. Mr. Gamache, Luther Tweeten. It seems to
15	me you've been making some desirable adjustments to the
16	market based on your record here. Don't you feel that
17	the individual hop grower is in a better position to
18	decide whether he or she wishes to compete in markets
19	than to have an eight-member committee decide what the
20	allotment is the saleable is going to be and make
21	that decision for all hop growers?
22	A. I really, truly believe an eight-member
23	committee would be much better from our farm and I think
24	as an industry as a whole.
25	Q. Are you aware that that's a rare case in
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the world? That is, there aren't very many enterprises
2	that are allocated by committees. Most of them are
3	allocated by individual farmers?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	Q. But you feel that this is a unique case?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. And why, exactly, do you feel that it is
8	a unique case?
9	A. Because of the location. Hops can only
10	be grown in certain areas of the world efficiently.
11	Germany the areas of Germany and France where they're
12	growing them, Washington and Idaho and Oregon. And even
13	Oregon and Washington can't grow the same varieties
14	efficiently.
15	***
16	DR. TWEETEN: All right. Thank you.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
18	Tweeten. Mr. Carswell?
19	***
20	BY MR. CARSWELL:
21	Q. Just one more question, Mr. Gamache. You
22	mentioned that cost of production is lower in the US
23	than elsewhere in the world. Do you have any evidence
24	on that one, especially with respect to Germany?
25	A. I don't have any in my hand, no, but all
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	the testimony from the Germans, when they come to visit
2	us, have given testimony that their subsidy programs
3	keep them in balance with our trade.
4	***
5	MR. CARSWELL: All right. Thank you.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
7	Carswell. Other questions for those from those who
8	are here in a position against the marketing order?
9	There are none. I would invite questions from
10	representatives of USDA. I'll start with Dr. Hinman.
11	DR. HINMAN: I'll let Ms. Finn start.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ms. Finn?
13	***
14	BY MS. FINN:
15	Q. Good morning. You said in your statement
16	that you do some direct contracting with brewers, is
17	that correct?
18	A. With one brewer, yes.
19	Q. And based on some of the things we've
20	heard here, you understand that in those situations you
21	would be classified as a handler?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. What impact do you think that will have
24	on you?
25	A. I believe, with the way it's set up now, York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the what I've read into the marketing order when it
2	was proposed, first proposed, if there's been no change
3	to that, that we would survive fine as we've already
4	taken enough acres out of production that if it did come
5	in and they use those years, we would be able to
6	increase our saleable and would still be fine even
7	though we're selling a few hops to a brewer.
8	Q. But as far as the reporting requirements
9	and paying of assessments on those hops and the added
10	responsibility of being a handler, would that be a
11	problem for you?
12	A. No, it would not.
13	* * *
14	MS. FINN: Thank you.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
16	Finn. Dr. Hinman?
17	***
18	BY DR. HINMAN:
19	Q. Yes, I'm going to ask you the small farm
20	question you've heard this discussion before?
21	A. Yes, I have.
22	Q. I gather from your acreage that at the
23	moment, you may be considered a farm in terms of hop
24	sales of hop your hop acreage alone?
25	A. Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l	Q. And
2	A. We are well below the 750,000.
3	Q. When you were at your high in previous
4	years
5	A. We were well above it.
6	Q. And even currently, with your hop acreage
7	income being below that the small farm level, is
8	are other agricultural crops would that put you
9	above, in total agricultural sales, above 750,000?
10	A. Yes, they would.
11	Q. Okay. Getting back to the you
12	characterize yourself only as a sort of small hop grower
13	at the moment. An issue we want to look at is in terms
14	of the compliance, you know, that would be required of
15	various members of the hops industry. Do you feel that,
16	as a in your viewpoint currently, as a small grower,
17	that the compliance costs would be just proportionately
18	more difficult for a small grower than a large grower,
19	or would they be proportionately equal, in your view?
20	There's no undue burden on just being a small grower.
21	A. I think they would be equal. I think
22	that we've handled quite a few of the business affairs
23	on our farm in a very efficient manner.
24	***
25	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
2	Hinman. Other questions from USDA representatives? Ms.
3	Deskins?
4	***
5	BY MS. DESKINS:
6	Q. I'm not sure if you've been here the
7	whole time. My name is Sharlene Deskins. I'm an
8	attorney with the Office of the General Counsel, USDA.
9	I have some questions for you about common ownership of
10	multiple entities?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What type of entities are you referring
13	to? Are there partnerships or how is it set up?
14	A. I believe that I'm talking about
15	partnerships, LLCs that may have been formed in the last
16	few years. As for ourselves, we have two entities.
17	Geoff Gamache Farms, Inc. was the one that was set up in
18	the '60s, or '70s, excuse me. And then we just
19	recently, in fact, a little over a year ago, set up
20	another one. It's called Blackstar Ranch, LLC, which is
21	now our operating company. And Geoff Gamache Farms,
22	Inc. is only our holding company that holds all the
23	assets.
24	Q. Okay, so Geoff Gamache, Inc. is a
25	corporation established under the laws of Washington
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	state?
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	Q. Okay. And then also, how would you
4	define common ownership?
5	A. I would define common ownership as any
6	two, three, or four or five or how many entities that
7	have the same ownership, the same names on ownership
8	papers. The same that sign all the documents that go to
9	the bank.
10	Q. Would owning property jointly constitute
11	common ownership?
12	A. Yes, I believe so.
13	Q. What about working together on the same
14	property well, on property even though it's owned by
15	different entities?
16	A. I don't know how to answer that. I would
17	I believe that if the two property owners, if they
18	are sharing, let's say, a picking facility, then I would
19	say you would have two different ownerships.
20	***
21	MS. DESKINS: Okay. Thank you.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Other questions
23	from neutral parties? Mr. Gamache, I'd like to inquire
24	further along the line Ms. Deskins was inquiring about.
25	How many different growers, separate independent growers
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	are there that have the name Gamache or a part of your
2	family?
3	MR. GAMACHE: Probably about the industry in
4	the state of Washington is a Gamache.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is that right?
6	MR. GAMACHE: There's the several Gamache
7	families down in the lower valley we're all related,
8	but we're all farming separately. And then you have the
9	two Gamache families in the Moxee Valley. My dad's
10	family and then my uncle Don's family.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: What would be an
12	easy way, if there is one, for it to be determined what
13	is a separate grower and therefore entitled to a vote?
14	MR. GAMACHE: You would have to look at
15	ownership. Who are the common owners of each parcel, or
16	each business.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
18	you. Anything any other questions for Mr. Gamache?
19	Mr. Carswell?
20	***
21	BY MR. CARSWELL:
22	Q. When you talk about ownership, are you
23	think along the lines of ownership in the crop or the
24	land or what, exactly?
25	A. I would say ownership as a producer.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Of the crop?
2	A. Yes.
3	***
4	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Thanks.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there questions
6	for Mr. Gamache from anyone else? Mr. Gamache, is there
7	anything you'd like to add before you step down?
8	MR. GAMACHE: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Let's
10	go off record for just a moment at 10:01.
11	***
12	[Off the Record]
13	[On the Record]
14	***
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, I was just
16	going to go back on the record. Is this a good time to
17	do that? Let's go back on record at 10:02. Mr.
18	Monahan, you let me know when it's time for a break, but
19	you're welcome to call another witness, if you'd like.
20	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Why
21	don't we take a break after Mr. Tobin's testimony?
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
23	MR. MONAHAN: Henry Tobin.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Tobin, if you
25	would come forward, please? Thank you. We are in the

1	process of distributing Exhibit 5-6, 56, Henry J. Tobin.
2	Mr. Tobin, would you state and spell your name for the
3	record?
4	MR. TOBIN: My name is Henry J. Tobin,
5	H-e-n-r-y J. T-o-b-i-n.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. That
7	microphone is a bit far. Can you even if it's to the
8	side. Yes, that's going to be better, I think. Mr.
9	Tobin, if you'd raise your right hand, I'll swear you
10	in.
11	***
12	[Witness sworn]
13	***
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.
15	Tobin, do you want to begin by reading your statement?
16	MR. TOBIN: If I could, I'd just as soon do it
17	that way.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. You may
19	proceed.
20	MR. TOBIN: "My name is Henry Tobin. I farm
21	in the Mabton area, which is in the lower valley, the
22	lower Yakima Valley. I am a fairly new hop grower, if
23	you consider the fact that I'm only a second-generation
24	grower."
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let me make sure
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

the people in the back can hear you. Raise your hand if 1 2 you can hear him loud and clear. All right, good. 3 Thank you. You may proceed. MR. TOBIN: "I have been involved in hop 4 production all my life and I've also been a producer 5 6 which operated both with and without the regulations of 7 the prior marketing order. I am in support of the 8 oversupply hop marketing order being proposed and am convinced that these proposals will put stability and 9 10 profitability back in our marketing equation. It was also -- I was also in support of the last marketing 11 12 order and served as an alternate for two terms. 13 would be classified as a small grower in this industry 14 with production of high alpha varieties. The last two 15 years have left -- I have left a hundred percent of our yards idle and taken down some trellis with supplies 16 17 being saved to be used at a later date when marketing conditions improve. I would also like to confirm 18 19 testimony presented earlier that both separate entities 20 of which I am involved in acquired one bale of hops each

21

22

23

24

25

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

injustice if I were denied that right. The '01 year, in

my judgment, should be the year used for the criteria

for voting rights. It is my opinion this is the last

to try and preserve my voting rights. My voting right

is very important to me and I feel it would be an

l	year that you could say very lew games were played by
2	growers to improve their personal situation regarding
3	the marketing order. With this point in mind, I'd also
4	recommend and prefer the Department use the years '97
5	through 2002 in allocating allotment base for the
6	marketing order. In conclusion, I'd like to say that
7	I'm very disappointed in the industry that the
8	industry could not control their own destiny through
9	voluntary excuse the spelling on that methods, but
10	by making mandatory regulations, everyone would be
11	placed on a more even playing field and maybe we could
12	all get back to farming. Collectively, I feel we have
13	we will have a say in our own destiny, independently
14	or likely to be part of the endangered species."
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
16	Tobin. Is there anything you'd like to add before I
17	open for questions?
18	MR. TOBIN: No.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right,
20	questions. Mr. Monahan?
21	MR. MONAHAN: I have no questions, Your Honor.
22	Thank you.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Any
24	questions from anyone else? Mr. Roy?
25	***
	York Stenographic Services Inc.

2	BY MR. ROY: I guess I could start with a
3	standard question, but you are French, right?
4	MR. TOBIN: Yes.
5	MR. ROY: Okay. Then could you describe your
6	participation in the 2001 and then the 2002 set-aside?
7	MR. TOBIN: I was on board on the alliance
8	group participation. I participated in that. And in
9	2002 on the set-aside program, or I don't remember
10	the years, but I was also in the set-aside program.
11	MR. ROY: Did you have actual stringing
12	hops in 2001, then?
13	MR. TOBIN: Yes.
14	MR. ROY: So in 2002 you were did you
15	string hops in 2002?
16	MR. TOBIN: No.
17	MR. ROY: So you were 100 percent unstrung in
18	2002?
19	MR. TOBIN: That's correct.
20	MR. ROY: Okay, thank you.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Roy.
22	Any other questions from those in a position in favor of
23	a marketing order? No? Questions from those who are in
24	a position against a marketing order? Mr. Carswell?
25	* * *

1	HENRY J. TOBIN,
2	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
3	testified as follows:
4	BY MR. CARSWELL:
5	Q. Good morning, Mr. Tobin.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. The last two years you left your yards
8	idled and was that part of participating in the set-
9	aside, sir?
10	A. Early on, I would have to say that it
11	kind of fell into that category.
12	Q. And did you have any of that any of
13	your potential crop under contract at that time?
14	A. In 2001 I did.
15	Q. So you didn't grow some hops even though
16	you had a contract for them?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Why did you do that?
19	A. Because I felt the industry needed
20	everybody to participate that period of time in 2001
21	was a voluntary type of agreement, that growers agreed
22	to that they would try and eliminate I believe it was
23	around eight percent of the production on the alpha
24	side
25	Q. Yes, sir.

1	Ato alleviate some of the oversupply
2	situation and that's what I tried to do at that time and
3	I didn't necessarily leave blocks of hops out. As being
4	a small grower, 20 acres would be 25 percent of my
5	production. They were only after eight percent. So in
6	the process of doing that, we twined in a different way,
7	eliminated anchors, eliminated extra rows, to come up
8	with that reduction.
9	Q. But so you had a contract for hops in
10	2001, but you didn't grow any hops?
11	A. 2001 I did grow hops.
12	Q. Oh, you did grow hops? I'm sorry. But
13	so it was 2002, 2003 that you left your
14	A. That is correct.
15	Q. I'm very sorry. I was thinking 2001,
16	2002. So in 2001 you had some contracts and you grew
17	hops. Did you have any contracts in 2002 and 2003?
18	A. No, I did not.
19	Q. And so when you talk about the voluntary
20	effort of 2002, if you had had a contract to grow hops,
21	would you have grown hops in 2002?
22	A. I probably would've grown some of my
23	hops, but not all of them.
24	Q. If you had been able to grow a hundred
25	percent of your hops, if you had a good contract
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	profitable contract, would you have grown those hops?
2	A. Not all of them.
3	Q. Okay. If somebody did have contracts for
4	those for the full amount of their production, would
5	it be reasonable for them to grow those hops if they had
6	a contractual obligation to meet?
7	A. Well, we're kind of in a Catch-22
8	situation here. I do not believe in cutting across
9	contracts, but in turn, I also believe that you have to
10	be responsible in your marketing as an industry, to try
11	and hold it together and without responsible marketing
12	on the supply side, my feeling is that the industry is
13	doomed.
14	***
15	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you, Mr. Tobin.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
17	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
18	* * *
19	BY MR. MOODY:
20	Q. Mr. Tobin, thank you. Were the hops you
21	were growing aroma or alpha?
22	A. I stated in my testimony that I'm mainly
23	alpha producer.
24	Q. Okay, and before this oversupply period,
25	did you generally have contracts for your alpha?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. I didn't produce any aromas.
2	Q. No, did you have contracts for your alpha
3	hops before the 2000-2001 period?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. Do you think the marketing order will
6	help bring a return for contracts for alpha?
7	A. In the long haul I do believe that, yes.
8	Q. For the production you idled and well,
9	generally are you a diversified farmer? Do you have
10	other crops you grow?
11	A. Yes, I do.
12	Q. What kinds of crops?
13	A. Grapes and alfalfa.
14	Q. Are hops about what percent are hops
15	of your farm?
16	A. Well, up until the last two years it was
17	one-third, one-third.
18	Q. Okay, and of the acreage you've idled,
19	have you shifted it to another crop?
20	A. No, we really haven't. And matter of
21	fact, part of the acreage that we have idled has been
22	sold.
23	Q. Okay. And did you plan on leaving the
24	remaining idled acreage idle until you can get back into
25	hops or do you plan on switching to another commodity?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. The trellises are still up and we're
2	still maintaining the root stock on the anticipation of
3	contracting a percentage of it down the road.
4	***
5	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thanks very much, Mr.
6	Tobin.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
8	Moody. Are there any other questions from those who are
9	here in a position against a marketing order? There are
10	none. Questions from neutral parties? Let's begin with
11	USDA. Ms. Finn?
12	* * *
13	BY MS. FINN:
14	Q. Thank you. Did you say that you were
15	planning to grow hops in 2004?
16	A. I haven't decided that yet.
17	Q. So you don't know exactly when you're
18	going to start actual production again?
19	A. It depends on the supply side as far as
20	the alpha production and I think the situation that
21	happened in Europe this year, in my opinion, was just a
22	blurp in the market, you might say. It's not a not
23	something that's going to happen year after year, and so
24	what I see with US production, if it stayed constant,
25	and European production comes back into alignment with
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	what they normally produce, we are not going to improve
2	our situation as far as the world market unless we send
3	a message to Europe that we are going to try and do a
4	little better responsible job in marketing or in
5	controlling our surpluses, because you can't expect
6	Europe, in my opinion, to change their marketing
7	policies if we're not going to change ours. And
8	therefore, I feel that the marketing order has some
9	definite advantages, not only for us, but for the world
10	hop industry.
11	Q. Is there a possibility that you may
12	decide not to grow hops again or do you plan to in the
13	future?
14	A. I'd like to say at this point in time
15	that I'd like to continue growing hops. To say that I
16	will, I really can't at this time.
17	***
18	MS. FINN: Thank you.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
20	Finn. Go ahead. Mr. Tobin, did you want to say
21	something else?
22	MR. TOBIN: No, I'm just waiting for his
23	question.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Hinman?
25	***
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	BI DR. HINMAN:
2	Q. I appreciate the fact in your statement
3	you did classify yourself and you anticipated this
4	question for which I am now become infamous at this
5	hearing, but I'm not going let you off quite yet. I do
6	want to ask, are you you say you're a small grower.
7	I assume you're just in terms of hops, that would be
8	the years leading up to the few years leading up to
9	when you idled them altogether, even in the years just
10	prior when you did have production, that total
11	production sales value in those years was below
12	\$750,000?
13	A. On the hop side.
14	Q. Only on hops?
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. Okay. And from all agricultural products
17	sold from your farm would be above or below that figure?
18	A. I'd say it was below.
19	Q. Below in terms of all farmed products?
20	A. Um-hum.
21	***
22	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
24	Hinman. Other questions from USDA representatives? Ms.
25	Deskins?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	***
2	BY MS. DESKINS:
3	Q. Mr. Tobin, I'm looking at your statement.
4	You also refer to having separate entities. I'm just
5	trying to find out, are those corporations or
6	partnerships or business names?
7	A. It's more of can you hear me?
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. It's more of a situation of taking over
10	and managing my mother's farm.
I 1	Q. Okay.
12	A. And in I started doing that in 1971
13	and that is also farmed by the way, we tore the
14	trellis down and sold the other entity is a personal
15	entity of my wife and I and our family and they were
16	farmed the last 30 to 40 years as separate entities.
17	And if that presents a problem within the industry as
18	far as the voting right, I am clearly flexible in that
19	respect.
20	Q. Well, if you said your mother owns one
21	farm and you own the other, would you see your mother as
22	having a vote and then you and your wife as having a
23	vote?
24	A. Well no, I don't see my wife my wife
25	and I are one.

1	Q. I mean the partnership. You and your
2	wife having one vote and then you said your mother has a
3	farm, she would have one vote?
4	A. Well, not necessarily because I was under
5	the impression from what I've been hearing that people
6	are having a problem with all these entities and I can
7	understand and appreciate their feelings in this and my
8	feeling is that I've actually farmed both entities
9	separately with separate ID numbers and tax numbers and
10	checking accounts, bank accounts. Everything was held
11	separate. My mother should be entitled to a vote, but I
12	have been making all the decisions on that property for
13	the last 30 years and if it comes down to the fact that
14	we've got to say yay or nay on it, I'm going to be the
15	one that's going to be voting for both parties because I
16	do have power of attorney for my mother and if that's
17	going to present a problem, let me have one.
18	Q. Okay. And also, you said that I think
19	I understood this you've idled acreage now for 2002
20	and 2003?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And in learning about the hop industry,
23	when you said you've idled acreage, you still have hop
24	plants on the ground? They're just not strung?
25	A. In the entity of my mother and myself,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	those hops have been taken out, trellis taken down, and
2	property sold. And my own situation, those trellises
3	are still intact, we're still irrigating them,
4	minimizing the inputs as far as spraying and maintaining
5	the root stock for future production.
6	Q. Okay. So in terms of voting, you think
7	if someone has maintained the hop plant and the acreage,
8	they're entitled to a vote? Even if they haven't
9	produced any hops that year?
10	A. I definitely feel that way and am very
l 1	much using the 2003 production year alone as the voting
12	right year. There's I'm not alone in this. There's
13	[sic] other growers that have left a hundred percent of
14	their acreage out and their still bona-fide growers,
15	larger growers than myself, but if they lost that right
16	to vote, it's an injustice. There's no question.
17	***
18	MS. DESKINS: Thank you.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Tobin, I'd like
20	to follow up. You believe the ownership of your
21	mother's farm is separate from your ownership of yours
22	and your wife's property, is that correct?
23	MR. TOBIN: That is correct. It definitely
24	is.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right So if

1	the decision is made based on ownership, then your
2	mother's property would be entitled to one vote and your
3	property would be entitled to a separate vote.
4	MR. TOBIN: That is correct. But
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: But what you're
6	saying is you're willing to sacrifice, if necessary, to
7	get to a one grower, one vote position?
8	MR. TOBIN: Yeah, because what it is, is the
9	two operations were farmed with common equipment, common
10	employers they were more or less farmed together, but
11	everything was kept track separately and granted, there
12	was [sic] two separate entities, but there was still one
13	management side of it and what was normally done on one
14	piece of property was also done on the other piece of
15	property and the only thing that has changed in the last
16	year well, a year ago at this date we, as a family,
17	not a personal family, but siblings, we decided that we
18	had to do something to minimize the cost that my
19	mother's side of the entities was having to incur for
20	maintenance and needless to say, she's 92 years old and
21	requiring an awful lot of care and so with that in mind,
22	we decided to sell the property.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And it was sold in
24	2002?
25	MR. TOBIN: Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Was the entire farm
2	sold in 2002? Your mother's entire farm?
3	MR. TOBIN: Yes. It was a 40-acre parcel.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
5	you. Anything further, Mr. Tobin? Oh, Dr. Hinman?
6	***
7	BY DR. HINMAN:
8	Q. Yes. There was a second part of the
9	question I neglected to ask that I've asked of Mr.
10	Gamache and others. If you would assume a marketing
11	order was in place and if you would consider yourself
12	likely to be perhaps a small grower at the outset, would
13	you feel that the compliance cost would in any way
14	disproportionately fall on a small grower versus a large
15	grower, would they be would you feel any undue burden
16	as a small grower in terms of complying with the order?
17	A. I think farmers as a whole are pretty
18	well used to regulations and paperwork. I, myself,
19	became a farmer to farm and it's kind of turned into
20	more of an accounting-type business to where I spend
21	more time in the office than I do in the field and I
22	will agree that I am not looking forward to the
23	additional paperwork, but I don't think it's an unduly
24	problem.
25	***

1	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Broadbent?
3	* * *
4	BY MR. BROADBENT:
5	Q. I have a bad track record, but hopefully
6	I won't screw this up. To further complicate the entity
7	one grower, one vote, how many grower numbers do you
8	deliver hops under?
9	A. Two.
10	* * *
11	MR. BROADBENT: Thank you.
12	MR. TOBIN: Can I
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr
14	yes, you may elaborate, Mr. Tobin.
15	MR. TOBIN: I said two numbers. One for each
16	entity. Did I okay.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there any other
18	questions from USDA representatives? No. Are there any
19	other questions from anyone? Mr. Tobin, I'd like you to
20	sign your statement that I've marked as Exhibit 56. Is
21	there any objection to the admission into evidence of
22	Exhibit 56? There is none. Exhibit 56 is hereby
23	admitted into evidence. Thank you, Mr. Tobin. Let's
24	take a 15 minute break
25	MR. TOBIN: Can I say one more thing?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, yes, Mr. Tobin.
2	Go ahead.
3	MR. TOBIN: Something that was brought out in
4	earlier testimony that, you know, of growers that worked
5	under the other marketing order and there was some
6	questions that were asked about the barriers to entry.
7	Under the other marketing order I actually entered the
8	industry twice. Purchased farms with base and proceeded
9	from that point on. In 1971 we entered, as a moderate-
10	sized grower at that time and bought an individual's
11	property and base and made it work. It was a
12	partnership arrangement. The partnership was split. It
13	was also accomplished again in 1978. Am I right on
14	that? Yeah. So not to say that there wasn't added
15	expense, but it is achievable. And there's testimony
16	that people have grown through the hop or, the old
17	marketing order and that is exactly what happened. We
18	grew throughout the marketing order and it was from the
19	stable conditions I mean, we had our ups and downs
20	throughout the marketing order, but it was still a lot
21	more stable than what we're seeing now. And that's
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
23	Tobin. Are there any questions on that point? All
24	right, there are none. Please be back and ready to go
25	at 10:45.

1	***
2	[Off the Record]
3	[On the Record]
4	***
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
6	back record now at 10:46. Mr. Monahan, you may resume.
7	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Of the
8	four farmers in favor of the marketing order that we
9	have yet to call, only one is here so far and with a
10	if Your Honor would indulge a slight deviation from our
11	proposed schedule, I would like to call Mr. Carpenter
12	offer some testimony regarding the progress the parties
13	have made in how the referendum should be handled.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Good, Thank you.
15	Mr. Carpenter? Mr. Carpenter, if you'll pull that mike
16	a little closer to you and state your full name and
17	spell it for us.
18	MR. CARPENTER: My name is Stephen Carpenter,
19	S-t-e-p-h-e-n C-a-r-p-e-n-t-e-r.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. The day
21	you were actually sworn was the first day of our
22	hearing, 15 October, 2003. You remain sworn.
23	MR. CARPENTER: It seems like about a month
24	ago.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I understand. You
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

may proceed, Mr. Carpenter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you, Your Honor. You know, this issue of referendum and who should vote and who shouldn't vote has come up several times, starting the first day and probably with a certain degree of consternation from our attorneys, a couple of us got together on both sides of this particular issue and thought it might be helpful to the Department if we could put together a list of growers utilizing the one grower, one vote theme that's been prevalent on both sides throughout these proceedings. So we've done that and we've also taken some time to go back to the code of federal regulations that speak to the issue of voting for marketing order referenda. And under 900.402, it says that in Section A, each person who is a producer as defined in this sub-part at the time of the referendum and who also was a producer during the representative period shall be entitled to only one vote in the referendum. And so our thought was it would be pretty tough for either side to certify that a grower is a producer at the time of the referendum when first of all, we're not sure whether we're going to be granted a referendum and second, we won't know the date of that until that decision's been made. So to be as helpful as possible, we have put together a list of, I believe, 33 York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	growers from Oregon, 54 growers from Washington, and
2	five growers from Idaho with the caveat that the
3	opposition was going to check with Idaho. They thought
4	there was a potential there might be one more grower
5	down there. And this, at least, gives the Department a
6	list of the universe, if you will, of potential
7	producers on a one grower, one vote basis. And
8	hopefully, it'll be helpful to the Department in terms
9	of at least sending out ballots.
10	* * *
11	STEPHEN CARPENTER,
12	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
13	testified as follows:
14	BY MR. MONAHAN:
15	Q. Mr. Carpenter, I have an advantage over
16	the USDA at the moment because I've taken a sneak peek
17	at the document we will asked to be marked as an
18	exhibit. When that is passed around, there are a half a
19	dozen names that bear an X in the column to the right.
20	Can you please describe what that indicates?
21	A. That's correct. There are obviously,
22	it goes back to the definitions of a producer. There
23	are some growers who, one side or the other, would have
24	a question as to whether or not they are a producers and
25	we gave this some thought and some discussion between
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	7 4 3 7 4 4 7 4 4 7 1 7 4 4 7 4 4 7

both sides of the issue and we felt it would be unfair 1 2 to -- for the proponents committee or the opposition 3 group to be able to certify one way or another whether 4 or not a grower was a producer. They're going to have to do that on their own and they're going to have to do 5 it according to the regulation at the time of the 6 7 referendum. So for us to say a grower, for example, who 8 has been maintaining his hop yard and keeping the hop 9 plants alive for a couple years is not going to be stringing hops and growing them at the time of the 10 11 referendum. We really can't say that, but the X's on the right would indicate growers that one side or the 12 13 other feels are probably not a producer at this point. 14 Again, the idea is to be as helpful as we can to the 15 Department. I think both sides feel -- and I don't want 16 to represent, you know, official position of the 17 opposition. Perhaps they could do that later, but I think both sides feels this represents the universe of 18 19 growers on a one vote, one grower basis and also 20 represents the universe of production. I think we have to remember that the vote will be done on a production 21 22 basis and a grower basis, as well, and I'm pretty sure we've done a good job of identifying total harvested 23 24 production. 25

Q. Mr. Carpenter, I didn't write down the York Stenographic Services, Inc.34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	numbers, but does that sound like about 93 or 94 total
2	growers?
3	A. Yeah, 92 or 93 depending on what the
4	oppositions finds out from the Idaho folks.
5	Q. And of the 92 or 93 growers that the
6	parties have collectively identified, how many is there
7	a question about in terms of whether they should or
8	should not have a vote in any prospective referendum?
9	A. I six. Half a dozen.
10	Q. Thank you, Mr. Carpenter. Do we have
11	copies of the list that you prepared, sir?
12	A. Yes, we do.
13	***
14	MR. MONAHAN: Okay. I would ask, Your Honor,
15	that we mark the document that Mr. Carpenter's prepared
16	as the next sequentially numbered exhibit and I will
17	distribute it.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. This
19	will be $#5-7$, 57.
20	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I guess I have one
21	more question for you. We have three sheets of paper on
22	Exhibit #
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 5-7.
24	MR. MONAHAN:57 with no grower names from
25	California, is that right?

1	MR. CARPENTER: That's correct. It's the
2	general belief, I think, of the industry that there
3	might be a couple of small breweries that are growing
4	some hops, Sierra Nevada I think Anchor Steam [ph]
5	might be growing a small acreage, but
6	MR. MONAHAN: You don't have enough
7	information to put their names on the list?
8	MR. CARPENTER: That's correct.
9	MR. MONAHAN: Okay. Thank you.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan, do you
i 1	have any extra copies of the list? I'd take one to be
12	the record copy. Thank you. And is this something that
13	can also be distributed to people who are in the
14	audience?
15	MR. MONAHAN: Sure.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: If you distribute
17	them as far as they'll go, please. And we'll go off
18	record as 10:56.
19	***
20	[Off the Record]
21	[On the Record]
22	* * *
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on the
24	record at 10:56. Mr. Monahan?
25	***

1	DI MR. MONARAN.
2	Q. I guess the last question I would ask is
3	whether the parties conferred with representatives from
4	Oregon in their efforts to create the list?
5	A. Yes, we did. We've had a couple of
6	growers up from Oregon over the past couple days and
7	believe both sides conferred with John Annen, Paul
8	Sears, and it's interesting that in I believe in John
9	Annen's testimony that was written testimony entered
10	yesterday from Michele Polasios [ph] from the Oregon Hop
I 1	Commission, their number of growers matches with our
12	list, as well.
13	***
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
15	Anything further, Mr. Monahan, before I turn to other
16	counsel who are here to determine their position?
17	MR. MONAHAN: No thank you, Your Honor.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Who
19	else would like to acquaint me with their position on
20	the list. What's been marked as Exhibit 5-7, 57. Mr.
21	Carswell?
22	MR. CARSWELL: I was wanting maybe I should
23	wait. I can't tell you until I ask some questions about
24	the list, if I could, Your Honor. Is that okay, or
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	***
2	BY MR. CARSWELL:
3	Q. Okay, thank you. Mr. Carpenter, I'm
4	just going to go over the ones where there seem to be
5	some question and just ask you what your knowledge is of
6	the issue with respect to those growers, if that's
7	okay?
8	A. That's fine.
9	Q. With respect to Mr. Terry Kirk, or Ms.
10	Terry Kirk [ph], what is the issue on that one?
11	A. We talked to a couple of the Oregon
12	growers and they were not sure whether or not he
13	produced hops last year. Evidently, Mr. Kirk is working
14	for a fertilizer company and there was some question as
15	to whether he actually grew hops in last year. Again,
16	we feel I think both sides feel he deserves a ballot
17	because he certainly meets the second criteria in that
18	he was a producer during the representative period, but
19	it'll be up to him whether or not certify to whether
20	he's a producer at the time of the referendum.
21	Q. And is the same issue for Mr. Brulotte,
22	Lyle Brulotte?
23	A. I think it's the same general issue for
24	each of the growers that have an X beside their name.
25	One side or the other had a question about whether they
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	produced hops in 2003 and thought it best that we leave
2	it up to the individual growers to determine whether or
3	not they were are producers at the time of a
4	potential referendum.
5	Q. So there's that's the issue, you said,
6	generally with all of them. Is that the issue with all
7	of them?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So there's no issue with respect to
10	common ownership or all those issues have been resolved,
11	do you think?
12	A. I think they've been resolved to the
13	extent that the people from our side and the people from
14	the opposing side of the issue could resolve them. We
15	have a small industry, we know everybody, we know most
16	of the common ownership issues and we felt this was the
17	best reflection of a [sic] impromptu group effort to
18	identify the one grower, one vote scenario.
19	Q. What is your understanding of what this
20	list is being submitted for? Would this be the
21	definitive list or
22	A. We believe it's a list of people who
23	potentially would fit the criteria as established in the
24	code of federal regulations for voting. It represents
25	the voting universe, if you will, of people that could,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	in fact, certify as to being a producer and who was a
2	producer during the representative period.
3	Q. Is it meant to be definitive?
4	A. Definitive in terms of who gets a ballot
5	Q. Okay, because I think that until we have
6	the Idaho growers, you know, have a chance to input on
7	this, I wouldn't
8	A. Yes.
9	Qmake it final.
10	A. Yeah, I appreciate that comment.
11	Certainly that's the understanding from our part that
12	the opposition is Mr. Reel [ph] is going to check
13	with the Idaho growers to make sure that they're
14	comfortable with this. So with that qualification that
15	I made on the front end, I guess it's not quite
16	definitive, but hopefully will be by the time we leave.
17	***
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Pardon me. That's
19	mine.
20	***
21	BY MR. CARSWELL:
22	Q. And with respect to the California
23	growers as well, we need to we'll need to determine
24	who the California growers are, if there are any, and
25	get them added, as well.

1	A. We can accept that, sure.
2	Q. And finally, I guess it really comes down
3	to a determination by USDA as to who meets the criteria,
4	if I understand how it works. Is that correct, Ms.
5	Deskins?
6	***
7	MS. DESKINS: Yes, that's correct.
8	MR. CARSWELL: Okay, sorry. Thank you.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
10	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
11	***
12	BY MR. MOODY:
13	Q. Yes, Steve, so you're as I understand
14	correctly, you're agreeing with the principle that in
15	order to be a qualified voter, you'd need to have
16	commercially produced hops during the year most recently
17	available immediately prior to the referendum?
18	A. Well, I read the exact verbiage out of
19	the Section 900.402. I you know, I don't think
20	anybody on either side can represent that a person is a
21	producer other than ourselves and again, we wanted to
22	put together a list that identified the universe and
23	of potential voters and we don't even know when the
24	referendum is going to take place, but certainly for
25	example, it you know, takes place next week, growers are
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	going to have to determine and certify that they are
2	meet both criteria. If it takes place in April, it
3	probably would affect who considers themselves a
4	producer and who doesn't, but I don't think either side
5	can really make that type of determination of who's
6	eligible and who isn't.

Q. All right. But not that USDA regulations are always clear, so I think this is a real good exercise to go through -- at least come close to getting a registered voter list. I think it's a very -- I very much want to be a cheerleader for the openness and cooperativeness of this process because you -- in some programs you can't even find out who's a voter. They keep it a state secret. So this is a welcome breath of fresh air. But -- so -- but I want to try to -- I want to ask you to distinguish the case from having production resources available to you and actually producing a crop and tell me if you think that difference matters?

A. The difference between having production resources available to me and producing a crop?

Q. Right. Like unstrung hops you had no commercial sales from in the voting year, but -- compared to a grower who actually had commercial sales from his crop.

Ī	A. Well, I think it's really going to be up
2	to the individual producer to when he certifies that
3	ballot on whether or not he considers himself a
4	producer. In my opinion, a guy who has been spending
5	money, incurring costs, keeping plants alive in
6	anticipation that the industry is going to eventually
7	make a decision on whether or not to implement a
8	marketing order, is a producer.
9	***
10	MR. BARTON: Take a break?
11	MR. MOODY: Even though in a
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go off record
13	to change the tape at 11:06.
14	***
15	[Off the Record]
16	[On the Record]
17	***
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
19	record at 11:06. Mr. Moody, you may ask your next
20	question.
21	* * *
22	BY MR. MOODY:
23	Q. Oh. Okay, but you think a I'm
24	it's USDA rule has the word commercial in front of
25	production and that's kind of where I'm hung up. And
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	this is somewhat of a unique industry, of course, but
2	it's the USDA rule seems to suggest you need to not
3	just be able to produce, but actually commercially
4	produce the crop to be an eligible voter.
5	A. Is that language you're taking from the
6	code of federal regulations on voting?
7	Q. Yes, sir.
8	A. Can you show me where that's at?
9	Q. It was the one you were referring to.
10	That 900.402. And it's I'm not saying it's clear or
11	anything it's got its own problems.
12	***
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you read it,
14	Mr. Moody?
15	MR. MOODY: If Your Honor will give me a few
16	minutes to find it.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Surely. Let's go
18	off record for just a moment.
19	***
20	[Off the Record]
21	[On the Record]
22	***
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
24	record at 11:07. We'll come back to that issue. May I
25	ask if there are others who have questions for Mr.

1	Carpenter about the list? Ms. Deskins?
2	***
3	BY MS. DESKINS:
4	Q. Mr. Carpenter, my first question is what
5	time period is this list meant to represent?
6	A. I'm not sure if it's meant to represent a
7	particular time period.
8	Q. Okay, then I'm wondering is this a
9	storable record of people who have had hop production
10	through the last decade?
11	A. Well, I think it's and I you know,
12	I don't misrepresent what the opposition thinks the list
13	is, because we did try to cooperate to put it together,
14	but in my opinion, it is a list of people who
15	potentially would qualify under the two criteria listed
16	in the 900.402. They were a producer during the
17	representative period and potentially could certify
18	themselves as a producer in the event a referendum is
19	held in the near future.
20	Q. Okay. So this the time period, then,
21	on this would be approximately from 1997 to the present?
22	A. I think that's probably fair.
23	Q. Okay. My next question is this doesn't
24	include any brewers that have breweries that have
25	acreage?

1	A. The only brewery that I am aware of is in
2	northern Idaho and that would be the Elk Mountain Farms
3	that I believe is owned by Busch-Ag Resources [ph].
4	Q. OKay. Because my other my last
5	question was shouldn't Anheuser-Busch's name be on here?
6	A. That would be the Elk Mountain Ranch, to
7	my understanding.
8	Q. Okay. And that's how it appears on this
9	list, then?
10	A. Correct.
11	***
12	MS. DESKINS: Okay. I have no more questions.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
14	Deskins. Are there other questions before we return to
15	Mr. Moody? There are none. Mr oh, yes. Mr. Roy?
16	***
17	BY MR. ROY:
18	Q. I might ask Mr. Carpenter how a grower
19	that may have raised hops in 2000-2001 and had inventory
20	and moved it in. Is that taken into account here, or
21	I mean, if a person had inventory and was commercially
22	selling it into future years or something, is that?
23	Would that be a grower?
24	A. Somebody who had
25	Q. Well, if I think a grower was to be a
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

2	produced hops in 2001 and had inventory and sold it in
3	those years. Was I guess the question would be did
4	you take that into account when you created this list?
5	A. I don't think I did. I think it was just
6	an attempt, again, to make a list of the potential
7	universe of voters that might be eligible to vote at the
8	time of a referendum and I'd also like to add for the
9	record that no, I'm not French.
10	Q. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
11	Thank you, Mr. Carpenter. Mr. Gasseling?
12	***
13	BY MR. GASSELING:
14	Q. Steve, I just a point of clarification
15	for me so I understand it. I think the argument has
16	been that if you didn't commercially produce hops in a
17	given year, you're not considered a commercial producer
18	and the clarification I think I would ask you is that
19	there could be a situation where and this is a
20	hypothetical where a grower grew his hops
21	uncontracted in crop year '01 or in 2000 and did not
22	grow in the growing period time, left the hops on the
23	ground and used that inventory to fill contracts and
24	fill his situation in the crop year that's being
25	considered as a grower being eligible. In that case, York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

hundred percent idle in one year, let's say 2002, but

1	would you consider that that grower is a bona-fide
2	grower even though forget the fact that he didn't
3	grow commercially, but would you consider that a
4	commercial grower?
5	A. Well, I think he fits certainly one part
6	of the criteria for voting in that he did produce hops
7	and he was a producer during the representative period
8	and in that case if he's in my opinion, if I were a
9	grower who was producing hops and at the time of the
10	referendum I would sign the thing certifying myself as a
11	producer.
12	Q. And one other question for clarification
13	It's my understanding that this list, representative
14	list, is basically an attempt to identify people that
15	would have been producers in 2001 and 2002 for
16	eligibility of base and the '97 to 2002 period is
17	actually just a period for the ability to calculate
18	the base, is that correct?
19	A. Well, what it's what the regulations
20	say is essentially, there's two criteria. If they're a
21	producer during the representative period and they're
22	willing to certify that they're a producer now, they're
23	eligible to vote.
24	***
25	MR. GASSELING: Okay. Thank you.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
2	Gasseling. Mr. Carswell?
3	***
4	BY MR. CARSWELL:
5	Q. Yes, Mr. Carpenter, I was just going to
6	ask now, we've got these the check marks is it
7	correct that these are the folks who were not have
8	not produced in the last just last year or two? Is
9	that accurate? Is that and the ones where we had the
10	question mark
11	A. No, that's not accurate.
12	Q. Okay. What is what does the X
13	indicate?
14	A. The X indicates that there might be a
15	question from one side or the other whether or not they
16	are a producer.
17	Q. Right. But I thought that was based on -
18	- I thought you said for each of those it was based on
19	the fact that there's a question of whether they
20	produced in the past season or perhaps the past two
21	seasons, is that that's not accurate?
22	A. I don't believe so. No.
23	Q. Okay. Well, what is what are the
24	issues, then, with each of these?
25	A. Tere is a question from one side or the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	other on whether or not they would be able to certify
2	themselves as a producer.
3	A. I I'm sorry. I thought we went over
4	that before and I thought for each of these so let me
5	start again. With
6	***
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Carswell, let
8	me interrupt you. Mr. Moody, did you find the language
9	in the regulation? Let's come back to the reasons one
10	side or the other might have called a name into question
11	in a moment, Mr. Carswell and go back to the language in
12	the regulation.
13	MR. MOODY: Okay, Your Honor, this is
14	7CFR900401H producer. It means any person defined as a
15	producer in the order who there's some subsections
16	here. "1) owns and farms the land resulting in his
17	ownership of the commodity produced thereon, 2) rents
18	and farms land resulting in his ownership of all or a
19	portion of the commodity produced thereon or 3) owns
20	land which he does not farm and as rental for such land
21	obtains the ownership of a portion of the commodity
22	produced thereon. Ownership or leasehold interest in
23	land and the acquisition in manner other than as
24	heretofore set forth of legal title to the commodity
25	grown thereon shall not be deemed to result in such

1	owners or lessees becoming producers." Not that that's
2	all very helpful, but that's what
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: So the key is the
4	ownership of the crop. Is that what's common to the
5	three ways you can become eligible?
6	MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I've been over and
7	over and over and over this thing and to try see how
8	it's consistent with the one entity, one vote kind of
9	principle I think everyone agrees in concept on and I
10	think this was written in the '30s, so I think it
11	focuses on ownership of land or focuses on farming,
12	whether it's through ownership or lease, and ownership
13	of the commodity resulting from that farming operation.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That's a very good
15	summary. Good. Okay. All right, back to Mr. Carswell
16	* * *
17	
18	BY MR. CARSWELL: Mr. Carpenter, besides the
19	names that we have here and the list of growers, are
20	there any other Washington growers that you're aware of
21	that might be added to this list in the future?
22	MR. CARPENTER: None that we could think of.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Okay. Thank you.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
25	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. MOODY: Steve, would you continue the
2	openness I'm so proud of you all for doing here by at
3	the time of the actual referendum, which is usually
4	go for a 30-day period, making sure that all of the
5	people who are who certify themselves as producers
6	are made available on a public list, just so you
7	know, everybody can kind of keep track of who actually
8	shows up to vote as opposed to the people you think are
9	supposed to vote.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Carpenter,
11	before you answer. I'm not sure you are the right one
12	to answer that question. I think
13	MR. CARPENTER: That's what I was going to
14	say, Your Honor.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I think
16	it's the USDA process that you do not control.
17	***
18	BY MR. MOODY:
19	Q. All right. I just wanted to see if the
20	group is going to support the concept.
21	A. I think we support the concept of the
22	USDA conducting the election in a way that's prescribed
23	by the code of federal regulations and I think really
24	both sides have to leave it up to individual producers
25	to certify as to their eligibility at the time of the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	referendum. That's what the code says.
2	Q. Right. But you wouldn't you be
3	concerned, for example, if there's 96 voters on your
4	list and at the time of referendum, 142 votes are cast?
5	A. Well yeah, that would concern me but I'm
6	not sure what I can do to solve the problem or really,
7	anybody on the proponents committee or the opposition,
8	as far as that goes. Again, this is just an attempt to
9	be helpful to the Department from both sides of the
10	issues. This was a joint effort to put this together.
. 1	There's been many questions asked. I think both sides
12	have agreed upon a one grower, one vote scenario and we
13	would like the Department to consider our attempt to put
14	together this list as just an attempt to be helpful in
15	the process.
16	***
17	MR. MOODY: Thank you.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Other questions for
19	Mr. Carpenter with regard to the list? Ms. Deskins?
20	***
21	BY MS. DESKINS:
22	Q. Mr. Carpenter, I just want to clarify
23	these X's. Just because someone's name has an X by it
24	doesn't necessarily mean there's anything wrong. It
25	could be they are a producer.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. All this saying is there's a question in
3	peoples' minds.
4	A. That's right.
5	***
6	MS. DESKIN: Okay. I have no further
7	questions.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Other
9	questions for Mr. Carpenter from anyone? Yes.
10	MR. PUTERBAUGH: Does my name has an X by it?
11	MR. CARPENTER: No, but it will shortly.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just for the
13	record, would you identify yourself at a microphone?
14	MR. PUTERBAUGH: I hate a mike. This is
15	Stacey Puterbaugh.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
17	Puterbaugh. All right. Other questions for Mr.
18	Carpenter on this issue? I think this was an enormous
19	effort and I thank the participants who put it together.
20	I think it's a very big help. Mr. Carpenter, anything
21	further you'd like to say before you step down?
22	MR. CARPENTER: No. You know, one quick
23	you know, I've sat through these hearings for it
24	seems like a month. I guess it's been eight days. We
25	are a small industry and I think we, you know we know
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	who the growers are, we know how a one grower, one vote
2	effort will help the process and I think it's just a
3	[sic] exhibit of how the industry can work together,
4	both from from both sides of this issue to make this
5	thing a little bit easier and so thank you, Your
6	Honor.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
8	Carpenter. Is there any objection to the admission into
9	evidence of Exhibit 57? There is none. Exhibit 57 is
10	admitted into evidence. Mr. Monahan?
11	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The
12	proponents would call Mr. Duane Desserault.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: While copies of Mr.
14	Desserault's statement are being distributed, I have
15	marked it as Exhibit 58 and I'm going to hand the record
16	copy to Mr. Desserault so that he might sign it. Thank
17	you. Mr. Desserault, would you please state and spell
18	your full name for the record?
19	MR. DESSERAULT: Duane Desserault, D-u-a-n-e
20	D-e-s-s-e-r-a-u-l-t.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would
22	you raise your right hand, please?
23	***
24	[Witness sworn]
25	***

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JODGE: Thank you. Would
you like to begin by reading your statement?
MR. DESSERAULT: Yes, I would.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may proceed.
MR. DESSERAULT: "My family has grown hops in
the Yakima Valley for over 40 years. I'm a third-
generation grower and yes, I may be French. To
address Dr. Hinman's inevitable question, yes, we would
be considered a small operation in the current year.
That covers both hops and other crops. A short time
ago, I would not have been able to say this. Just a
couple of years ago we would not have qualified as a
small business. We have reduced our acres substantially
during the last couple of years. This, on the surface,
seems to be a reasonable approach to take when there's
excess surplus on the market. A very short time ago my
family grew about 300 acres of hops. This year we grew
about a hundred acres of hops. We have been growing
super alpha varieties since 1994. We were fortunate to
be associated with a merchant that gave us access to the
variety earlier than some in the industry. At the time
this seemed to be advantageous. Looking back, I now
realize that the efficiencies gained by this new
technology were beneficial. The problem is these
efficiencies were not captured by our farm. I've
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	thought about this as the years have gone by. My family
2	has gained no long-term benefit from this. I would like
3	to think that the merchant received the benefit, but
4	that merchant's no longer in business. This new
5	technology must have been must not have been very
6	beneficial to them, either. I thought that possibly
7	consumers received some of the benefit from this
8	technology, but the cost of beer has seen no decrease
9	during this time. I'm not sure who we gave the benefits
10	to. Our reduction of acres has not gone unnoticed. In
11	fact, as we reduced acres, others with a different
12	farming strategy have increased acres. This I don't
13	mind too much, other than the fact that some of the same
14	growers that have been complaining about the long
15	stretch of low prices due to oversupply are some of the
16	very people that are expanding their own farms. Yes,
17	I'm sure they feel efficient when they do this. I'm
18	sure of this because I've seen how the efficiency of our
19	farm has decreased as we've reduced acres. We've
20	removed trellis from some of our acreage. I don't
21	suppose it'll likely go back in any time soon. I do
22	support a marketing order similar to what has been
23	proposed. I do agree with the testimony of those that
24	have said a) the proposed order will not be an instant
25	cure-all and b) it will allow for orderly expansion when York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	YORK SICHOPIADRIC SERVICES, INC.

1	needed. I've had discussions with many growers during
2	the past couple of years. I do believe there's a
3	general consensus among growers that overreaction to
4	perceived demand by many individuals may be the greatest
5	downfall of our industry. Much testimony has been
6	presented regarding our collective strengths as American
7	growers. Let's all take advantage of this collectively.
8	I sincerely thank everyone involved for all of the
9	effort that's been put into this nearly overwhelming
10	chore."
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
12	Desserault. Mr. Monahan, any questions?
13	MR. MONAHAN: There are no questions from the
14	proponents committee.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
16	MR. DESSERAULT: Thank you. You and the
17	proponents committee.
18	MR. ROY: I have just one question, very
19	simple.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, Mr. Roy.
21	MR. ROY: Okay. No, how you may be French.
22	MR. DESSERAULT: I may be.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Roy.
24	Questions from those who are in a position against the
25	marketing order? Mr. Carswell?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	* * *
2	BY MR. CARSWELL:
3	Q. Sir, I'd like an answer on that question.
4	Yes or no?
5	A. Yes, I may be.
6	Q. All right. You mentioned that your
7	family grew 300 acres of hops a very short time ago.
8	When was that? When's the last year that your grew that
9	many acres?
10	A. Probably '99, possibly '98.
11	Q. Thank you. So you've gone from about 300
12	to 100 between '98, '99 and today. If you had had
13	profitable contracts to continue to grow the 300 acres,
14	would you have continued to grow those 300 acres?
15	A. The question is about profitable
16	contracts.
17	Q. Yes, sir.
18	A. I am certain I would have.
19	Q. And presuming that there are growers out
20	there who have had who have been fully contracted or
21	almost fully contracted, it would make economic sense
22	for them to continue to grow or even expand. Is
23	would you agree with that?
24	A. Is this question related to the first
25	question?
	Vork Stanographic Convices Inc

1	Q. Yes, sir. If they were fully contracted
2	with let me put the proviso in with profitable
3	contracts, would it be that would make economic
4	sense, would it not?
5	A. I would think it would, yes.
6	Q. Yes, sir. If these expanding is it
7	your understanding, based on the testimony that's been
8	presented during the hearings, that an expanding grower
9	may be required to acquire base to continue to maintain
10	the level of supply that they've been providing to date?
11	A. My understanding is that is possible,
12	yes.
13	Q. And if not, they would perhaps
14	depending on the cut in the saleable quantity they
15	would perhaps have to reduce acreage. Is that your
16	understanding? If they don't acquire the base?
17	A. No, that's not my understanding.
18	Q. Okay. If a stable or expanding producer
19	does not have the wherewithal or just chooses not to
20	acquire base that would be needed because of a cut in
21	the saleable quantity, that person wouldn't be able to
22	sell the same amount, perhaps. Is that correct?
23	A. That's my understanding, yes.
24	Q. But he could produce it?
25	A. Yes, he could.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. You've noted that you've seen how the
2	efficiency of your farm decreased as you reduced acres.
3	Is that accurate? If a current grower had to reduce
4	what he sells because he didn't have enough to produce,
5	wouldn't you see increased inefficiencies with that
6	producer, as well?
7	A. In most cases that's a possibility. I
8	believe there could be a very case made in some cases
9	that a reduction in acres would increase the efficiency
10	of the operation. So depending the status of the
11	operation at whatever point we might be talking about.
12	It could go either direction. We were not large to
13	start with now we're really not large.
14	Q. If someone fully contracted profitable
15	either had to cut acreage or produce hops that he can't
16	sell, give me some examples of how he would be or
17	some scenario where he would be more efficient by
18	cutting production and having presumably the same fixed
19	costs over the same acres. Over reduced acres, I'm
20	sorry.
21	A. If a producer is in a situation where
22	they've got a given capacity to harvest, you have I
23	was going to assume I should I guess I'm not here
24	to ask questions, but there are different harvests when
25	there's available for different varieties of hops. If a
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	producer is not able to fit his acreage in an orderly
2	manner into the given harvest when those there's some
3	definite lack of efficiency there. If you're picking a
4	crop that's substantially overripe or under ripe or
5	you're paying somebody else more than it would cost you
6	to do it yourself, that would be one example.
7	Q. As a general well, because in 1999,
8	. I believe you said was your last year of maximum
9	reduction. You're down to 100 acres now.
10	A. Um-hum.
11	Q. You'll receive a base under the base
12	allotment set-up of approximately should be 300
13	acres. Is that correct?
14	A. I don't know. I don't think I can answer
15	that. I don't think it is. Acreage doesn't have a lot
16	to do with it.
17	Q. Actually, I think you're right, Mr.
18	Desserault. You're going to have an increase base
19	allotment based on your production back in '99 as
20	compared to what you're currently growing, is that
21	accurate?
22	A. That's what I understand, yes.
23	Q. And so you would would you you
24	think you'll expand your operation now or hold the base
25	or sell it? I'll give you several choices there.

1	A. My expectation would be if I had the base
2	available and I had a market available to me at a level
3	that I was interested in participating in, I would like
4	to think that I would increase acreage, but that's a
5	couple of pretty large ifs.
6	Q. But you might increase production?
7	A. I may.
8	Q. Would that take the place of someone else
9	who's producing now and may have to decrease because
10	they don't have the base?
11	A. I think that could be a possibility, yes
12	Q. Do you think that's fair?
13	A. That's a good question and I'm not sure
14	I'm going to have an answer for you on that. I'll just
15	leave it at that. I don't think I have an answer for
16	that.
17	***
18	MR. CARSWELL: That's all I have, Mr.
19	Desserault. Thank you.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
21	Carswell. Mr. Moody?
22	* * *
23	BY MR. MOODY:
24	Q. Yes, Mr. Desserault. Thank you. Your
25	farming operation is diversified among other
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	commodities?	
2	Α.	Slightly.
3	Q.	But hops is your main farming operation?
4	Α.	Correct.
5	Q.	Alpha or aroma?
6	Α.	Both.
7	Q.	And for this year do you have contracts?
8	Α.	For the '03 year?
9	Q.	Yes.
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	In your statement you said that you
12	support a mark	eting order similar to what has been
13	proposed and I	wondered if you thought that there needed
14	to be some cha	nges to it?
15	Α.	Yeah. It's more than I anticipated.
16	Q.	Okay, could you go through those?
17	Α.	What day would you like me start on it?
18	Q.	I don't know. You're the expert.
19	Α.	That's quite kind. As I see it being
20	currently prop	osed, as of right now I find that very
21	acceptable. T	he way it sits today.
22	Q.	Okay. So you think it's similar to what
23	was 10 days ag	· · ·
24	Α.	The proposed order, yes.
25	Q.	Okay. So you're with the assorted
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	changes in language that've been made, you're not
2	suggesting there needs to be further changes?
3	A. Not today.
4	Q. Oh, okay. I mean, did
5	A. No. I mean, I'm being a little
6	facetious. I think there's been substantial at least
7	in my interchanges made and as far as I'm concerned, I
8	am currently satisfied with the way it's currently being
9	proposed.
10	Q. Okay. Now you're the you mentioned
11	that your when brought in that super high alpha acid
12	that you didn't obtain any benefit from that? Is that
13	correct?
14	A. No long-term benefit.
15	Q. Was there a short-term benefit?
16	A. For our own operation, I feel there was,
17	yes.
18	Q. Didn't it give you a competitive
19	advantage over other growers of alpha that weren't using
20	as productive a variety?
21	A. I think it did, to some extent. I think
22	more importantly, it gave us an advantage, as US growers
23	in comparison to the rest of the world, which I would
24	like to think that's what we should be looking at.
25	Q. Looking at, meaning continuing to get
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	more productive?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. But do I understand you to or would
4	you agree with me that the benefits you obtained over
5	the short term by planting the super high alpha acid
6	varieties will be eroded over the long term as other
7	producing regions switch to them?
8	A. No. What I'm saying is when they first
9	came into existence there was more or, I shouldn't
10	say more there was profit to be made on each acre
11	that was planted. The bulk of that's gone today, even
12	though we have varieties and technology that should give
13	us substantial advantage compared to the rest of the
14	world. I don't feel our industry's taking advantage of
15	that collectively.
16	Q. Okay. So even though your cost of
17	production is lower than your competitors, the
18	oversupply problem is so bad that well, doesn't that
19	still if your cost of production's lower than your
20	competitors even though prices may seem relatively low,
21	doesn't that still give you an advantage over them?
22	A. Not if I'm not here tomorrow. The cost
23	being lower than a competitor is one thing, but if
24	something more than that cost isn't being returned to
25	me, it doesn't do me a lot of good.

1	Q. Other than the marketing order here for
2	the four states, what prospect do you see for
3	controlling the world oversupply of alpha?
4	A. None, whatsoever.
5	***
6	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Desserault. I
7	appreciate it.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
9	Moody. Are there other questions from those who are in
10	a position against a marketing order? There are not.
11	would invite questions from neutral parties, starting
12	with the United States Department of Agriculture. Ms.
13	Finn?
14	***
15	BY MS. FINN:
16	Q. Do you have direct contracts with
17	brewers?
18	A. No.
19	***
20	MS. FINN: Thank you.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Dr. Hinman?
22	* * *
23	BY DR. HINMAN:
24	Q. You hear all about trends at this
25	hearing. I think I observed one more trend and that is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	the increasing anticipation of my question, which you
2	have done. Thank you for that. So you've anticipated
3	the one inevitable question, but that leads to another
4	inevitable question. And that is if you could
5	hypothesize that you were continued to be a small
6	grower in a possible future marketing order?
7	A. That's a possibility.
8	Q. And I'm asking you as I have asked
9	previous grower witnesses to think of yourself in terms
10	of the, you know, compliance cost the burdens that
11	might be associated with that, as a grower, to be part
12	of the marketing order and to distinguish whether that
13	impact will be different in your view or more burdensome
14	than it would be to a large grower. This distinction is
15	important to us.
16	A. I would assume it would not be more
17	burdensome.
18	***
19	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
21	Hinman. Other questions from USDA representatives?
22	There are none. Are there any other questions from
23	anyone? Mr. Carswell?
24	***
25	BY MR. CARSWELL:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Mr. Desserault, just one more question.
2	If you were a small grower who had to acquire base as
3	opposed to a small grower who has excess base, such as
4	yourself, would you think it would be the HMO would
5	be more burdensome on that small grower who has to
6	acquire base?
7	***
8	MR. MONAHAN: For the purposes of the question
9	are you assuming that the base would have to be acquired
10	at a cost?
11	***
12	BY MR. CARSWELL:
13	Q. Yes.
14	A. I assume if you're going to pay for
15	something, that would be considered a burden. I think
16	the amount that you would have to pay in comparison to
17	the potential benefits would have to be looked at by
18	each individual. I if you call an expense a burden,
19	it's a burden. I would like to think that expenses in a
20	business transaction would be looked at as something
21	other than a burden. Something that would have a
22	potential benefit.
23	Q. Let me ask you one more question, then.
24	If you both if you and that other grower had to
25	had a contract for the same price for the same amount of York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	alpha, which would constitute 300 acres of production
2	A. Um-hum.
3	Qand you've got 300 you've got the
4	base for that and the other buyer needs to buy half of
5	the amount needed for to be able to sell that because
6	he doesn't have enough base, would you see that as a
7	burden on him as compared to yourself?
8	A. This may not answer your question, but I
9	would like to amortize that over the last three to five
10	years and put some of my costs of not growing some of
11	those hops through those years in comparison to what a
12	potential expense for base might be and I think if we
13	take a look at it that way, you have a whole different
14	outlook on it.
15	Q. So you would say that he had that
16	grower should take some of the responsibility for the
17	acreage you haven't been selling. Is that what I
18	understand?
19	A. It's pretty blunt, but I suppose so.
20	* * *
21	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you, sir.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
23	Carswell. Any other questions for Mr. Desserault? Mr.
24	Monahan?
25	***

1	BY MR. MONAHAN:
2	Q. Thank you. Mr. Desserault, you were
3	asked by Mr. Carswell whether your '03 crop was
4	contracted. The '03 crop is in the barn, so to speak.
5	Right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. If you've already harvested it, it would
8	be hard to call those forward contracts, wouldn't it?
9	A. Correct. And maybe I should ask maybe
10	I should've asked before I answered it was I asked if
11	all of my crops were contracted or if I had contracts?
12	The question I answered was if I had contracts
13	Q. Let me phrase it a different way. What
14	percentage if you assume that in '04 you produce the
15	same volume that you produced in '03
16	A. Um-hum.
17	Qwhat percentage of your anticipated
18	'04 harvest is contracted as we sit here today?
19	A. Does a consignment agreement constitute a
20	contract? Okay. Of our comparison between the two
21	years
22	***
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'd like you to
24	start not counting the consignment. Start just with
25	MR. DESSERAULT: You're getting quite personal
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	there.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well
3	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor. Your Honor, if
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan?
5	MR. MONAHAN: If he if it's a proprietary
6	issue, I withdraw the question.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Yes.
8	Don't answer anything that would put you at a
9	competitive disadvantage.
10	MR. DESSERAULT: Appreciate that.
11	MR. BARTON: Can we take a break now?
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. We'll
13	change the tape at 11:50.
14	* * *
15	[Off the Record]
16	[On the Record]
17	***
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
19	record at 11:50. Mr. Monahan, do you have any further
20	questions for Mr. Desserault?
21	MR. MONAHAN: I don't, Your Honor.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Are
23	there any other questions for Mr. Desserault? Is there
24	any objection to the admission into evidence of Exhibit
25	58? There being none, Exhibit 58 is hereby admitted
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	into evidence. Mr. Desserault, thank you. You may step
2	down. Mr. Monahan, do you wish to call an additional
3	witness now?
4	MR. MONAHAN: I think the proponents committee
5	is fresh out of farmers for the moment and we are
6	anticipating three more producers in favor of the
7	marketing order this afternoon, as well as the
8	hopefully, a last rebuttal by Mr. Smith. It probably
9	makes sense to turn it over to the opponents.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Mr.
11	Moody?
12	MR. MOODY: How many did he say he had new
13	growers this afternoon?
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: He said he had
15	three more.
16	MR. MOODY: Three more, Brendan?
17	MR. MONAHAN: Three more.
18	MR. MOODY: New ones?
19	MR. MONAHAN: I don't think they fit the
20	definition of new producer.
21	MR. MOODY: No, but new people who aren't
22	hanging around? All right. Can we have a short break?
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Let's take a
24	10 minute one. Please come back at one minute after
25	12:00 noon-

1	MR. MOODY: Also, could I ask Your Honor about
2	since checkout time is coming up, what the what
3	peoples' what Your Honor's intentions are as far as
4	lunch goes?
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'll let you
6	choose. Do you want to break for lunch now?
7	MR. MOODY: Yes, now is fine.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
9	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, I do have one
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ms. Deskins?
11	MS. DESKINS: Since we've got to end by 5:00
12	today, if they have witnesses that need to go on, I
13	think we need to take that into consideration because
14	when the hearing's over with, they won't be able to
15	testify.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you want an
17	abbreviated lunch, Mr. Moody, that would allow people to
18	check out? Or if they have to both check out and get
19	something to eat, maybe an hour is needed, but it's your
20	choice, Mr. Moody. Check with your client back on the
21	corner there.
22	MR. MOODY: An hour, Your Honor.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: An hour, all right.
24	Please be back and ready to go at 12:55, so it's a
25	minute to 1:00.

1	***
2	[Off the Record]
3	[On the Record]
4	***
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
6	record at 1:01. Before we begin, I just want to report
7	the way time was consumed yesterday, which I have
8	calculated. I still haven't gotten back to what was
9	left over from the day before, which I will do. But
10	yesterday, three hours were devoted to the proponents
11	and three and three quarter hours to those against a
12	marketing order. And I'll have some other times for you
13	as we go. I've got them in progress for what we've done
14	so far today and what we had done the day before
15	yesterday that I haven't told you yet. All right, Mr.
16	Monahan. Are you ready to go forward with another
17	grower?
18	MR. MONAHAN: Actually, Your Honor, we are
19	turning the floor over to the opponents.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And Mr.
21	Moody, you may call a witness or whatever you wish.
22	MR. MOODY: We could play poker, Your Honor.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You know, that
24	wouldn't be as much fun as hearing what these people
25	have to say.
	V

1	MR. MOODY: Okay. In that case, I'll ask
2	Aaron Gamache to come up and testify. That apparently
3	would be of the famous Gamache.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You know, I already
5	know how to spell the name. Thank you, Mr. Gamache.
6	I'm marking Mr. Gamache's statement as Exhibit 5-9, 59.
7	Mr. Gamache, I'd like you to state and spell your name,
8	please.
9	MR. GAMACHE: Aaron M. Gamache. A-a-r-o-n,
10	middle initial M., G-a-m-a-c-h-e.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
12	Gamache. I marked your statement as Exhibit 59. I'm
13	going to swear you in now. Would you raise your right
14	hand?
15	***
16	[Witness sworn]
17	* * *
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Would
19	you like to begin by reading your statement?
20	MR. GAMACHE: Sure. "To whom it may concern.
21	My name is Aaron M. Gamache. I'm here representing Amos
22	Gamache Farms, Inc., a grower of hops, grapes, and
23	apples. Our farm has taken a position against the
24	federal marketing order, primarily because of the
25	production restrictions" and I guess I need to change
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ì	production to sales restrictions, or I'm sorry.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
3	MR. GAMACHE: "It is our opinion that US
4	growers do not control enough of the worldwide
5	production to significantly alter its price except
6	possibly in the very short term. German growers being
7	our primary export competition, have the will,
8	knowledge, and means to be very competitive in the world
9	alpha market, though they can't respond to market
10	situations as rapidly as US growers because of their
11	difficulty producing a significant baby crop. In the
12	medium to long term they will fill any US reduction with
13	their own product. For these reasons we see very little
14	financial advantage to implementing a federal marketing
15	order with production controls" and again, the
16	production I guess needs to be changed to sales "and
17	possibly a significant expense."
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. And
19	then it's signed and you have signed it with your own
20	name and also Amos Gamache Farms. Inc.
21	MR. GAMACHE: Yes. I'm here representing our
22	organization.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
24	Amos is spelled A-m-o-s. Would you like me to actually
25	change on the Exhibit 59 or hand it to you so you could
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	change the two places where production restrictions
2	well, one place where production restrictions is found
3	and one place production controls. Do you want to
4	change that on the document itself?
5	MR. GAMACHE: I'd be happy to or it you'd like
6	to. Either way.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And in
8	those two instances you would like it to sales?
9	MR. GAMACHE: Just put sales to keep it
10	simple.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. I have
12	made those changes in the fourth line of the letter. I
13	have stricken production and written sales. And in the
14	last line of the letter I have stricken production and
15	written sales. Thank you, Mr. Gamache. Is there
16	anything in addition that you'd like to say before I
17	invite Mr. Moody to ask you questions?
18	MR. GAMACHE: I'm half French.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.
20	Moody?
21	***
22	AARON GAMACHE,
23	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
24	testified as follows:
25	BY MR. MOODY:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Now, you've had some personal experience with hop growers in other regions in the world? A. I do. I try and maintain regular contact
regions in the world?
•
A I do I try and maintain regular contact
ii. I do. I dry and maritain regular contact
with several German growers and I in the past few
years have made a couple trips a year to Germany. To
the hop growing regions of Germany.
Q. And do you do that for reasons of tourism
or visiting family or because it's useful information
gathering intelligence for you in planning your hops
activities?
A. A little of each.
Q. Okay. And what have you learned in
particular about the German hops industry?
A. Well, they I would say that they're in
a similar position to US growers in so far as they've
been overproducing certain varieties and converting to
higher production varieties. They've got all the
infrastructure they need to handle the hops. I would
say they're just as efficient as US growers at the
handling aspects. In my opinion, they're just ready to
pick up any slack we might weigh out.
Q. Did you observe some of their producing
resources that were being put in place?
A. Well, over the last couple of years
York Stenographic Services, Inc.
34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	you've seen the bottom sort of falling out of the Perle
2	market over there and you've seen in my opinion,
3	you've seen a lot of that acreage being converted to
4	higher alpha producing varieties such as Magnum and
5	Taurus. It seems like some of the smaller growers over
6	there that there's many more growers than in the
7	United States. I think in the Hallertau alone, it's
8	something like 1800 growers and a lot of them the
9	smaller growers have they don't have their own
10	picking facilities and such, so they've got
11	consolidation going on that we had going on 15 years
12	ago, I guess, or over the last 20 years, where some of
13	the smaller growers are getting up and that land and
14	trellis is being absorbed by the larger growers, and
15	some are getting out altogether, but it's not really all
16	that different than the things you see going on over
17	here.
18	Q. Did you observe any new trellis being put
19	up?
20	A. Over the last years I actually have seen
21	new fields being planted. This year and last and the
22	year before.
23	Q. And do you agree with some of the
24	previous testimony that the market for alpha is a global
25	competitive market?

1	A. There are there's some demand for
2	specialty alpha, but there is also a juderic [ph] alpha
3	market.
4	Q. So what would you expect to happen to the
5	is Germany kind of doing catching up, if you will,
6	to the super high alpha acid varieties to what
7	Washington was doing six, seven years ago?
8	A. Well, they don't have the alpha yields
9	that we have yet, but for some reason you seem it
10	seems to me that there currently exists more forward
11	contracting in Germany than there is here and for what
12	reason, I don't know. It's almost as if it the
13	brewers, or the dealers fill their expected demand with
14	the German crop and then kind of have been using the US
15	growers as a buffer. They buy what they need from us
16	when they need it for current year crop only and kind of
17	the rest of it they forward contract in Europe and I
18	guess I don't know how to say it real well, but we're
19	we've been kind of used as a balancing part of the
20	industry, that they fill the demand they know that they
21	need to fill, they fill in Germany and then they've kind
22	of used us just as a buffer. It seems to me.
23	Q. To kind of top off the tank?
24	A. Exactly.
25	Q. Are they do you know if they're
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	planning a marketing order in Germany?
2	A. Not that I've heard.
3	Q. What would you expect would happen if the
4	marketing order were set up here and it is does
5	achieve its intended goal of reducing the oversupply of
6	US hops resources?
7	A. Could you repeat that?
8	Q. Yes. What would you expect to happen
9	just kind of think in your mind, look out ahead a few
10	years what would happen to the US industry, European,
1	Chinese industry if the US marketing order goes into
12	effect and it does do what they say they want it to do,
13	which is to get producing resources out of the industry?
14	A. I think there'd be a lot of happy German
15	growers. In my opinion, it the best thing that could
16	happen to Germany would be for us to implement sales
17	controls on our alpha because it gives them more places
18	to sell theirs.
19	Q. Okay, I'm going to ask you kind of one of
20	those one to ten questions about how likely it is that
21	Germany and China and other areas will make up for alpha
22	acid, whatever the US chooses not to supply, one being
23	not very likely and ten being as certain as gravity.
24	A. Well, in the German case, as far as in
25	the short term, their I would say they're I would
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	give them a score of ten. They're ready to go. China,
2	I think they're still having problems filling local
3	demand to be much of an export player yet. But then
4	again, what do I know?
5	Q. Somebody, I think, testified that China's
6	the biggest beer consuming market in the world now?
7	A. It could be true. I don't know.
8	Q. I guess they've got a lot of local demand
9	to fill. What's been your experience in recent years,
10	since 1995 or so, when the higher alpha acid variety
11	started coming in, in your own personal production
12	trends?
13	A. Well, I've been farming full-time since
14	'98, which is when I finished school. I was involved in
15	the farm before then. Personally, we struggled with the
16	first few years with Symphony and then on to Columbus
17	and Tomahawk with the powdery mildew issues and such, so
18	we didn't have a dramatic production increase right off
19	the because we were converting from Cluster and then
20	Galenas to some degree. We didn't have the dramatic
21	production increase that maybe some farms that were a
22	little better at growing them did, but we definitely
23	since '97 our productions have been increasing.
24	Q. All right, then. Going forward to this
25	year, '03. Have you invested new resources in the hop
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	industry?
2	A. Our farm has we were a little slower
3	than some in converting from rill irrigation to drip
4	irrigation. We're now a little over half under drip.
5	We've got a fairly new baling room, I guess relative to
6	the industry. Things of that nature. New propane
7	burners. We've converted a lot of older equipments,
8	retrofitted it to make it more efficient and that sort
9	of thing. I guess we've also picked up some additional
10	trellis.
11	Q. All right, so some of these are capital
12	assets with the hope for long term positive return?
13	A. That's the idea, yeah.
14	Q. Okay. And is it just are you do
15	you are you doing this to take advantage of what you
16	perceive to be some marketing opportunities that you are
17	uniquely situated to exploit?
18	A. I don't know if we're I would say
19	we're uniquely situated to exploit, but we saw the
20	opportunity and felt that the risk was suitable for our
21	operation and went forward from there.
22	Q. Are you mostly alpha varieties?
23	A. Yes, mostly. We do grow some aromas, bu
24	a small percentage of our crop is aroma.
25	Q. And do you have alpha contracts for this
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	year?
2	A. Yes, we do. For the '03 year. Yes, we
3	do.
4	Q. Okay. Do you have a position on have
5	you done a calculation to see if you might need to buy
6	base if the order were to go into effect?
7	A. If we were to maintain our current
8	growing practices, yes, we would.
9	Q. Okay. And I gather you're against that,
10	then?
11	A. We are.
12	Q. Okay. And why is that?
13	A. We I have trouble finding the benefit
14	to the expense.
15	Q. And what would you what do you believe
16	should be the period if the order were to go into effect
17	for determining initial allotment bases?
18	A. Logically, to me, the more recent the
19	year would seem to more accurately reflect current
20	market situations, but I understand that a lot of farms,
21	there was a lot of effort made in the '01 and the '02
22	years and by belief people were doing things for what
23	they thought was the benefit of the industry, so I can
24	understand the dispute. Logically, to me, it would seem
25	the more recent years would be more appropriate and
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	personally, if our ranch the '02 year, for instance,
2	is was our second-best production year ever, so it
3	would benefit us more to use more recent years, but I
4	understand the argument.
5	Q. Okay, but the there's no set-aside
6	program in place none of the voluntary-type programs
7	for the '03 year.
8	A. This is true.
9	Q. And there's nothing strange or weird or
10	unique about the climate conditions in the growing
11	states this year?
12	A. Not that I was aware of, no.
13	Q. So this year would represent kind of a
14	fair representation of where the industry is today,
15	after going through the market adjustments to the
16	various factors that have been very well testified to
17	since the early '90s.
18	A. In my opinion, it would be consistent. I
19	don't know if a lot of people agree it would be fair,
20	but I would have no problem with it.
21	Q. Would the '03 season be a I'm sorry, I
22	didn't want to say fair. I didn't mean kind of a the
23	kind of moral meaning of fair, but I mean a fair
24	meaning accurate would this would the '03
25	production be kind of an accurate snapshot of where the

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	industry is today.
2	A. I believe so, yes.
3	***
4	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thank you very much, Aaron.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
6	Moody. I would invite questions from others who are
7	here in a position against a marketing order. Mr.
8	Carswell?
9	***
10	BY MR. CARSWELL:
11	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Gamache, you
12	mentioned that ostensibly presuming a reduction in
13	the amount of saleable, that you would be someone who
14	would be likely to have to acquire base, is that
15	correct?
16	A. I believe so, yes. We wouldn't have to
17	grow them if
18	Q. Right.
19	Abut if we wanted to grow
20	Q. To grow the hops. To grow the hops that
21	you would want to grow, you'd have to acquire base
22	***
23	MR. MONAHAN: You mean sell.
24	***
25	BY MR. CARSWELL:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Sell, I'm sorry. Sell. Presumably, you
2	would do that because it would you would go out
3	looking for base because you would think that you could
4	still, you know, make money on something even with the
5	acquisition of base, I guess, right?
6	A. Depending on what the base costs, yes.
7	We'd look at that one.
8	Q. And you would go to a you'd go to a
9	buyer I'm sorry, you'd got to another hop grower who
10	has base to sell and I guess that would be fairly well
11	known who would have base and who wouldn't, I guess.
12	A. To some degree, I'd imagine there'd be
13	some obvious candidates.
14	Q. And presumably, they're going to know
15	that you need it to sell hops, correct?
16	A. This is true.
17	Q. So would you thing that they're going to
18	get the best deal they can possibly get from you to
19	A. If the situation were reversed, I know
20	that's how we'd handle it, yeah.
21	Q. Imagine yourself in competition with
22	another grower for that same contract, but presumably
23	would have that you'd want to fulfill by buying base
24	and yet that grower doesn't have to acquire base, he has
25	base to burn. He has plenty of base and so he doesn't York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	have to go out and buy any. Do you think it's fair that
2	he has that competitive advantage over you and a reduced
3	cost presumed cost structure because you would have
4	acquire base?
5	A. I guess I wouldn't really look at it as
6	being fair or unfair, I'd it a better word would
7	be realistic or that's just the facts of the situation.
8	Q. Would the grower have a competitive
9	advantage?
10	A. He would in that instance, yes.
11	Q. He would have a competitive advantage
12	over you?
13	A. For that particular contract.
14	Q. Yes. You mentioned China as right now
15	not being a real competitive threat with respect to
16	exports from China. Is that accurate?
17	A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean,
18	generally you don't hear well, you're starting to
19	more and more, but traditionally, the major exporters
20	have been the US and the Germans.
21	Q. Right. Are there US hop exports going
22	into China?
23	A. I'm you know, I don't know that for
24	sure. I would imagine they probably buy some of our
25	hops, but I don't think a lot.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. If there were, would you imagine the
2	. Chinese hop producers being more of a threat to those
3	imports into China as compared to US exports to other
4	countries?
5	A. I believe they would be a threat, yes. I
6	mean, they'd be competition.
7	***
8	MR. CARSWELL: That's all I have. Thank you.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
10	Carswell. Dr. Tweeten.
11	* * *
12	BY DR. TWEETEN:
13	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Gamache,
14	economic text books describe a supply control hop
15	marketing order as a producer cartel model it as a
16	producer cartel that would produce large economic
17	benefits to producers although at the expense of
18	consumers, yet you're a member of the hop industry and
19	despite these presumed benefits to producers, you are
20	opposing it. Are you opposing it primarily from the
21	standpoint of you, individually, or of the industry as a
22	whole.
23	A. I would say both.
24	Q. And you have listed some of the reasons
25	why you are opposed to this marketing order. You
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	mentioned the competitiveness of other countries,
2	presumably, I guess, an elastic demand. What are some
3	of the other reasons that you oppose it?
4	A. Generally, I'm anti-regulation, there's
5	one reason. I don't really see how there can be an
6	equitable way of setting up a program like this. I just
7	don't believe it'll work for a number of reasons.
8	***
9	DR. TWEETEN: Thank you.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
11	Tweeten. Are there other questions on behalf of those
12	who are here in a position against a marketing order?
13	No. Are there questions on behalf of those who are here
14	in favor of a marketing order? Mr. Monahan?
15	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I do have some
16	questions, but before I do, I would like to make a quick
17	point for the record, at least with respect to the next
18	several witnesses we may hear and that is given the
19	inequitable consumption of time bringing us to where we
20	are in the last afternoon of the last day, I think it is
21	very important to hear from all of the growers who are
22	opposed to the hop marketing order or in favor of it. I
23	would ask that we have a modicum of restraint and
24	perhaps some limitation of argument in the guise of
25	direct examination, because I think we've heard those
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	arguments before.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
3	Monahan. If to some extent, brewers, for example,
4	need to push their economic theory and their philosophy
5	at this kind of a hearing rather than their individual
6	witnesses, so I want to allow them to do that and so
7	long as it's kept brief, I think an excellent way for
8	them to explore those economic theories, ideas,
9	philosophies, is with these growers. So I agree with
10	you. We don't have much time for the growers to express
11	themselves and that should be our emphasis.
12	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may proceed.
14	***
15	BY MR. MONAHAN:
16	Q. Mr. Gamache, first of all, I'm just glad
17	we've got some Toppenish growers here. Welcome to
18	A. Glad to be here, glad to be here.
19	Q. Welcome to the big city. I'm not an
20	expert in graphic design, but if I were to look at the
21	letterhead of the farm, does it look like you have more
22	than just hops?
23	A. Hops, grapes, and apples, yes.
24	Q. Okay. You're the diversified grower
25	we've heard about.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. One of them, I bet, yeah.
2	Q. And I don't want to get into the net
3	worth issues, but in this day of depressed prices below
4	the cost of production, is it fair to say that your
5	family farm is fairly well poised to last through some
6	of these dips in the market?
7	A. I'd like to think we are.
8	Q. You've been to Germany, you have a first-
9	hand familiarity with the
10	***
11	MR. MOODY: Your Honor
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody?
13	MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I think this kind
14	of objection that Mr. Monahan is, in effect, testifying
15	that costs are returns are below the cost of
16	production, that isn't if he wants to voir dire the
17	witness on his cost production, or his returns are, that
18	fine, but I don't know that it's kind of a general
19	statement, is it even true? You know, farming is tough
20	in some years and not tough in other years, so I'd just
21	I'm concerned about that. It's appropriate to ask
22	what his own personal experience is.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
24	Moody. Mr. Monahan, I think Mr. Moody's correct. Even
25	though there's been a lot of testimony that you have
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	summarized, if you would, relate it to this grower.
2	***
3	BY MR. MONAHAN:
4	Q. I was hoping to spare this grower, but
5	I'm happy to ask him. Let's talk about 2002.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. That was the largest production your
8	family farm had ever produced?
9	A. In terms of alpha production, yes.
10	Q. Okay. Do you as you sit here today,
11	can you recall at the start of the 2002 season, what
12	percentage of your crop had been sold ahead?
13	A. As far as pounds of alpha, it's hard to
14	say. Acres of hops, more than two-thirds.
15	Q. Okay. And for pounds of alpha, less than
16	half? Does that sound fair?
17	A. That's probably about right, yeah.
18	Somewhere in there. Our highest producing acreage was
19	the acreage that wasn't contracted, so
2 0	Q. Okay. Mr. Carswell has asked these
21	questions about the responsible grower not going into
22	the season in this day of oversupply that we've heard
23	testimony about, about perhaps not being responsible
24	going into the season unless you're contracted. Do you
25	think you were being responsible in '02, even though
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	less than half your alpha pounds had been contracted?
2	A. I don't know if I agree with the word
3	responsible. I don't really feel like I have an
4	obligation to be responsible to anybody other than our
5	own individual farm. I'd love to see my neighbor do
6	great, but my primary concern is my wallet, I guess is a
7	way of putting it.
8	Q. And to make your wallet as thick as
9	possible, you your family farm elected to produce as
10	much as possible in '02 and then to test the spot market
11	at the conclusion of the growing season.
12	A. We made the judgment at the beginning of
13	the season that we thought we felt we'd be able to move
14	a portion of the enough of the crop at a decent
15	enough price to make it worth our while.
16	Q. How did you do?
17	A. It wasn't a great year, but we got by.
18	Q. Sell some hops for less than the cost of
19	production?
20	A. We did. I will say we did.
21	Q. Okay. Of the 50 percent of your alpha
22	hop crop, excuse me, alpha production that was sold
23	on spot market, what percentage of that was less than
24	the cost of production?
25	A. Ooh, that would be hard to say.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Was it most of it?
2	A. I wouldn't say most.
3	Q. Okay. Significant percent?
4	A. A significant percent. But possibly not
5	at it might have cost us more to idle those acres
6	than to actually grow them and sell them for less than
7	the cost of production. It costs you money to not grow
8	them also is my point, I guess.
9	Q. Right. So you heard the theory espoused
10	that sometimes to lose less money, you grow more?
11	A. That would make sense, yeah.
12	Q. So you're the guy we've heard about. And
13	even if that means selling those hops for less than it
14	cost you to produce them, because you can spread the
15	loss over more
16	A. Well, you look at your costs and what
17	it's going to cost you to store them and process them
18	and sit on them, I mean, everything has a cost. You
19	figure out what where you come up ahead, or at least,
20	less behind.
21	Q. And when you've made that decision to
22	plant all those acres, you end up having a financial
23	incentive, if not requirement, to bring those to market
24	and sell them for whatever you can. Is that right?
25	A. Well, we don't have not for whatever
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	we can. The we looked at the prices being offered
2	and we made the judgment that it would be in our best
3	interest to move the hops as opposed to processing them
4	and sitting on them.
5	Q. Are you comfortable telling us what the
6	lowest amount you accepted for
7	A. I don't want to get too proprietary.
8	Q. Okay. What percentage of your
9	anticipated '04 alpha production is contracted right
10	now?
11	A. I'll say not much. I'll also say I have
12	no idea, as far as alpha, what we're going to be growing
13	next year.
14	Q. Okay. If you were to assume that you
15	produced the same in alpha next year as you did this
16	year, what percentage would be contracted for '04?
17	A. Oh, I estimate maybe half of it.
18	Q. Okay. We talk about '02 being the year
19	in which your family farm produced the most in terms of
20	alpha. Was that also the high water mark for your
21	acreage?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. Would it be safe to say that your
24	family farm elected not to participate in the voluntary
25	alpha reductions that we've heard about in the industry?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	A. It would be safe to say, yes.											
2	Q. In fact, you increased your alpha in '02?											
3	A. We had a better crop, but we didn't											
4	increase our acreage. We had a better producing crop.											
5	Our family farm has been stable throughout the '80s and											
6	the '90s. We hadn't grown much until just the last											
7	year, so											
8	Q. You indicated that the bottom fell out of											
9	the Perle market in Germany?											
10	A. Well, that was just an example. Yes, the											
11	Germans made the decision that .50 a pound just wasn't											
12	going to cut it for the Perles and you've seen a lot of											
13	them come out I don't know at it's peak, I bet it											
14	was near a third of their crop at some point in time and											
15	now I would say it's considerably less than that.											
16	Q. So there was a significant oversupply of											
17	the Perle crop on the world market?											
18	A. There was.											
19	Q. Is that an aroma or an alpha variety?											
20	A. An aroma.											
21	Q. Do you read the Barth report?											
22	A. Not the most current one, no.											
23	Q. Okay. Do you generally read it?											
24	A. I try to. And I've seen it floating											
25	around. I just haven't gotten my hands on it yet.											
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.											
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077											

1	Q. Are you aware of cause in Germany for											
2	there to be a reduction in alpha production from German											
3	farmers?											
4	A. Yeah, but I've heard that for the last											
5	three or four years running.											
6	Q. Same as you've heard in the United											
7	States?											
8	A. Same as I've heard in the United States.											
9	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any government-											
10	sponsored grubbing grants in Germany?											
11	A. I don't know the specifics. I know that											
12	they are subsidized to a greater degree than we are.											
13	Q. And there's an incentive for German											
14	farmers to reduce alpha production from a government											
15	perspective?											
16	A. I'm not aware of the nature of the											
17	program.											
18	* * *											
19	MR. MONAHAN: Okay. That's all I have, Your											
20	Honor.											
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there any other											
22	questions for Mr. Gamache from people who are here in a											
23	position in favor of a marketing order? Now I'd like to											
24	turn to neutrals. Are there any questions from											
25	representatives of USDA for Mr. Gamache? Dr. Hinman.											
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.											
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077											

1	MR. GAMACHE: Large grower.
2	***
3	BY DR. HINMAN:
4	Q. I didn't even get to ask. Okay, thank
5	you very much. Oh, there was a name of a variety that I
6	wasn't sure I heard correctly. Was it Symphony?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Could you spell that into the record?
9	A. Probably not, no. It's I believe it's
10	spelled like you would normally spell symphony.
11	Q. The music?
12	A. Yeah, the music.
13	Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
14	***
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And just to help
16	the typist, in case it's not the same typist that will
17	do the other days of the hearing, how is Perle spelled?
18	MR. GAMACHE: P-e-a-r-l-e, I believe. No?
19	MR. ROY: No a.
20	MR. GAMACHE: Oh, just p. You can't ask me
21	these sort of questions.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is it P-e-r-l-e?
23	MR. GAMACHE: Ask these gentlemen. I'll agree
24	with whatever they say.
25	MR. ROY: P-e-r-l-e.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. GAMACHE: Okay.												
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr. Roy.												
3	Are there any other questions for Mr. Gamache? Is there												
4	any objection to the admission into evidence of his												
5	statement which is on Exhibit 59, 5-9? There being												
6	none, Exhibit 59 is hereby admitted into evidence.												
7	Thank you, Mr. Gamache.												
8	MR. GAMACHE: My pleasure.												
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may step down.												
10	Would you hand this to the court reporter?												
11	MR. GAMACHE: Sure.												
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.												
13	Moody, you may call your next witness.												
14	MR. MOODY: Kevin Riel.												
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Let's												
16	go off record while the exhibits are distributed.												
17	* * *												
18	[Off the Record]												
19	[On the Record]												
20	***												
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go back on												
22	record. We're back on record at 1:36. I have marked												
23	Mr. Riel's statement as Exhibit 60, and Mr. Riel, would												
24	you please state and spell your name?												
25	MR. RIEL: My name is Kevin G. Riel.												
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.												
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077												

1	K-e-v-i-n, middle G., R-i-e-l.												
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Riel, would you												
3	raise your right hand?												
4	***												
5	[Witness sworn]												
6	***												
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would												
8	you like to begin by reading your statement?												
9	MR. RIEL: I'll begin just with a brief												
10	introduction. I'm the product of two hop-growing												
11	families in the Yakima Valley and for those keeping												
12	score, I'm a hundred percent French. I grew up on my												
13	paternal grandparents' farm in Harrah on the Yakima												
14	Indian Reservation. With the exception of years spent												
15	in college, I have been there the whole time. Since my												
16	full-time involvement with the industry, I've tried to												
17	be active in the industry. I've spent nine or ten years												
18	on the Washington Hop Commission. I'm a past chairman												
19	of the Washington Hop Commission. I'm the current vice												
20	president of the Hop Research Council, which is an												
21	organization for growers, handler, and brewer to conduct												
22	hop research. And I also have involvement with the												
23	local financial Ag Financial Institution board of												
24	directors.												
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. That's												
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.												
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077												

1	very helpful. While you're giving us the background
2	information, do you want to answer the questions that
3	Dr. Hinman will ask you?
4	MR. RIEL: Large.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And would that be
6	true even of just your hop production?
7	MR. RIEL: Correct.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. You may
9	proceed with your statement.
10	MR. RIEL: "The purpose of my testimony is to
11	inform the USDA of my opposition to the proposed hop
12	marketing order. My family has been growing hops for
13	over 55 years in Washington state and experienced its
14	greatest losses at the end of the last hop marketing
15	order. I do believe some growers did benefit from the
16	last order, however, all growers did not. In my
17	opinion, it was extremely inequitable. The result of
18	this was that my family was forced to idle all of our
19	hop acres for a term of three years, all the while
20	holding base allotment for these acres. We started our
21	recovery from this position in 1987 with only half of
22	our hop acreage in production. Since that time, under
23	the free market system, we slowly improved our situation
24	and in my opinion, have flourished. Initially, we were
25	able to purchase or lease land and replace our lost hop
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	acreage. In 1997 we purchased a neighboring hop
2	operation to expand our business. Since that time we
3	have continued to invest in the hop industry by adding
4	and improving large capital assets and increasing the
5	quality of the product that we deliver to our customers.
6	I do recognize the challenges that this industry is
7	facing, however, I feel that these are best dealt with
8	by individuals making decisions that are best for
9	themselves. I do not wish to have a committee of my
10	fellow growers deciding my family's fate in this
11	industry and I believe I am not qualified to make
12	decisions for them. Each grower must be allowed to find
13	his or her solution to the current and future issues in
14	the hop industry free and clear of involvement from
15	others. In closing, although opinions may vary about
16	the success or failure of the last hop marketing order,
17	I believe that human nature will lead any new marketing
18	order to the same consequences. No amount of
19	questioning or cross-examination will change my opinion
20	that the pain and scars suffered by my family are a
21	result of the failure of the last order." And I've
22	signed my statement Kevin Riel, President of Double R
23	Hop Ranches, 8491 Branch Road, Harrah, Washington,
24	98933.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. And
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Harrah is spelled H-a-r-r-a-h.												
2	MR. RIEL: That's correct.												
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.												
4	Riel. Is there anything you'd like to add before I open												
5	the floor to questions?												
6	MR. RIEL: No, I'm ready.												
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. First												
8	of all, Mr. Moody, do you have questions for Mr. Riel?												
9	* * *												
10	KEVIN G. RIEL,												
11	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,												
12	testified as follows:												
13	BY MR. MOODY:												
14	Q. Yes, thank you. What's happened to your												
15	production during the sort of period beginning with the												
16	introduction of the super high alpha varieties?												
17	A. Since the time that the super high alphas												
18	were introduced, we have slowly converted more and more												
19	of the acreage on our farm from the older traditional												
20	variety to super high alpha varieties, and our acreage												
21	has grown since that time.												
22	Q. And you're both aroma and hops now?												
23	A. That's correct.												
24	***												
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, both												
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.												
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077												

l	aroma and alpha?									
2	***									
3	BY MR. MOODY:									
4	Q. Oh, I'm sorry, aroma and alpha?									
5	A. That's correct, yes.									
6	Q. And do you have contracts for '03?									
7	A. Yes. I had contracts for '03.									
8	Q. If you had done a calculation to									
9	determine whether if the order goes into effect, you									
10	might need to buy base?									
11	A. It's my opinion that I will need to buy									
12	base, yes.									
13	Q. That you will?									
14	A. Yes, I will.									
15	Q. Okay. So do you have a I gather									
16	you're opposed to in part on that score, is that									
17	A. That is one of the reasons why I'm in									
18	opposition, yes.									
19	Q. Okay. Have you made recent capital									
20	investments in your hops operation?									
21	A. Yeah, we have been investing in our									
22	especially our production assets over the last several									
23	years, new and improved drying facilities, baling									
24	facilities, hop top cutters, improvement in our picking									
25	facilities. In the early part of the time period that									
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.									

1	we're talking about, we went through a major conversion
2	to drip irrigation from conventional rill irrigation,
3	also.
4	Q. And do you have an opinion on what the
5	period should be for determining initial allotment bases
6	if the order goes into effect?
7	A. If the order would go into effect I've
8	heard testimony from a lot of people about what would be
9	fair and equitable and I'd have to agree with the
10	statement that Mr. Gamache just made and that I feel
11	it's very hard to build any hop marketing order that is
12	going to be fair to everyone. I recognize that fact, I
13	do not fault the proponents committee. I think they
14	tried very hard to accomplish that. But in my view,
15	it's impossible to pick a time period that's fair to
16	everyone. I guess I would view that problem from the
17	perspective that I think a snapshot should be taken of
18	the industry at the current time and some sort of
19	approximation of what the industry is now should be made
20	and so I would tend to favor year's closer to the
21	current one versus clear back to 1997.
22	* * *
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, before
24	you ask your next question, let us change the tape at
25	1:43.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	***											
2	[Off the Record]											
3	[On the Record]											
4	***											
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. We're											
6	back on record at 1:43. Mr. Moody?											
7	***											
8	BY MR. MOODY:											
9	Q. Is there anything unique or unusual from											
10	a climate or production or whatever marketing standpoint											
11	that would make '03 an unsuitable year for to use as											
12	sort of the snapshot of where the industry is currently?											
13	A. I can't think of any reasons that you											
14	have mentioned that would make it any different than											
15	other years, no.											
16	Q. I think you'd probably agree that '01 and											
17	'02 might unsuitable because there were people who											
18	participated in those voluntary set-asides?											
19	A. I can understand the issues with the											
20	years 2001 and 2002, yes.											
21	Q. Or certainly they ought to maybe get some											
22	kind of if those years were to be included, ought to											
23	get some credit for, you know, trying to do the good											
24	neighbor thing.											
25	A. In theory, yes. I'd like to know exactly											
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.											
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077											

1	how	that	would	work	out,	but	in	theory,	yes,	I'd	agree
2	with	n that	t, too	-							

- Q. Okay. And as far as the year for
 determining voter eligibility, which I think we've
 gotten kind of finally resolved, so I'll just ask one
 question on that. Do you think that eligible voters
 should be limited to those who are commercial producers
 in the year for which most recent data is available
 prior to the referendum?
- A. I'm going to say that I would -- I'm

 going to honestly say that I would've answered yes to

 that, but after listening to Mr. Tobin's testimony, I am

 unclear as to how to answer that right now.

- Q. Okay, all right. And what -- in the event the marketing order were to go into place and a sales restriction go into place on the US production, is it your belief that the other producing areas in the world would -- or what do you believe would happen in the other producing areas of the world regarding alpha acids?
 - A. I've been sitting here over the last 10 days, so -- and we've talked about these issues from both sides. I think it's very hard to deny the fact that could happen. As to what extent, obviously, we've been arguing back and forth about that all week, but I York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	think it's extremely hard to deny the fact that that			
2	could happen. If we want to argue about how much it			
3	could happen or not, that's open to discussion, but yes,			
4	I believe it could happen.			
5	***			
6	MR. MOODY: Thank you very much.			
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.			
8	Moody. Other questions for Mr. Riel? Mr. Carswell?			
9	***			
10	BY MR. CARSWELL:			
11	Q. Just very brief, Mr. Riel. Have you done			
12	some have you traveled to China, did you have you			
13	said?			
14	A. Yes, I have traveled to China.			
15	Q. And kind of looked at the Chinese hop			
16	industry?			
17	A. Yes, I went to China in 1992. I didn't			
18	see the Chinese hop industry, but I was on an			
19	agricultural trip to China and got a general overview of			
20	Chinese agriculture and saw what was going on at that			
21	time.			
22	Q. Do you think that in terms of it being a			
23	potential competitive threat, do you see the Chinese hop			
24	industry, based on what you know to be a potential			
25	competitive threat?			
	York Stenographic Services Inc			

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	A. What's interesting, when I was there I			
2	thought I saw a snapshot of at least, what I've read in			
3	history books of what America was possibly in the '30s			
4	or '40s. Here was a country experiencing a tremendous			
5	boom in its conversion from essentially zero technology,			
6	by my definition, to emerging technology. I was able to			
7	view a lot of the apple acreage, particularly around			
8	Beijing area and field after field after field of apple			
9	varieties and at the time that I was there, exports to			
10	the US really weren't a factor. Since that time,			
11	they've become a fair factor in the apple market, in my			
12	opinion. And I can understand how that happened based			
13	on what I saw when I was there. This was a country			
14	they called it you know, everyone calls it the			
15	sleeping dragon. It was poised and ready to go and so I			
16	can understand how maybe right now at this particular			
17	point in time China can, you know, barely just satisfy			
18	its domestic demand, but I can easily picture a scenario			
19	in my mind how they could be a major player in the			
20	global alpha market in the future.			
21	Q. Are apples a fairly sophisticated fruit			
22	to grow?			
23	A. I would say there's some degree of			
24	sophistication there. I think the most sophistication			
25	in the apple producing apples occurs post-harvest in			

producing apples occurs post-harvest in York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the storage and packing of that crop.			
2	Q. Are you aware of any US exports to China?			
3	Hop exports?			
4	A. You know, I don't have an intimate			
5	knowledge of that. It's my opinion based on what I've			
6	heard that at times over the years that has occurred			
7	when they haven't been able to satisfy their domestic			
8	demand. At this time, this year, I honestly don't know			
9	if that's occurring or not.			
10	Q. I believe I saw in one of the reports			
11	and we can pull it out if we need to, but that a tenth			
12	of US exports were to Asia and presuming that just			
13	presuming that is correct, would you see the Chinese hop			
14	industry as more of a threat, perhaps, with respect to			
15	that Asian market as compared to other markets?			
16	A. Well, that would make sense to me since			
17	they're closer to those markets than we are. Their			
18	transportation costs should be lower than ours would be.			
19	***			
20	MR. CARSWELL: That's all I have. Thanks.			
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.			
22	Carswell. Yes, Dr. Tweeten.			
23	* * *			
24	BY DR. TWEETEN:			
25	Q. You indicated, Mr. Riel, that you have			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077			

1	made some economic progress over the years? Is that
2	correct?
3	A. I feel like we have, yes.
4	Q. Have you just been plain lucky or is this
5	luck what accounts for this what I'm really
6	getting at is, is this something unique to you or is
7	this something that a capable person, operator in the
8	hop industry could do?
9	A. I'm sure luck always has a certain amount
10	of it. If I was going to pick the most important
11	factor, I think it's just plain hard work, an extreme
12	amount of it. Hopefully, some sound management
13	decisions went into that picture, also.
14	Q. So you feel this is within reach of other
15	growers who are willing to put in hard work to make a
16	successful industry?
17	A. Well, obviously, access to capital would
18	have to enter into that equation, too. If we kept that
19	constant, I believe so, but access to capital and the
20	availability of capital would enter in, also, yes.
21	Q. But is this, too, available to others if
22	they're competent operators?
23	A. I suppose it's available to everyone.
24	Q. Just one other quick question. One of
25	the purposes of the marketing order that's proposed to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	is to deal with the risk in the industry. Isn't it true			
2	that after buying bases to keep your production going,			
3	the possibility that the marketing order would be			
4	terminated can't that actually increase your risk			
5	rather than decrease it?			
6	A. You know, I've heard a couple different			
7	times testimony throughout the last few days about			
8	growers have talked about buying base clear up through			
9	the end of the last order and still paying for base			
10	after the end of the last order and you know, when I			
11	heard that testimony, it occurred to me that I think			
12	the continuation referendums are very important to this			
13	order, but a grower would always have to evaluate the			
14	potential for the order to be terminated at the onset of			
15	the next termination referendum and so sure, that would			
16	increase it would add to the risk, because that would			
17	have to factored into each grower's equation as to			
18	whether or not he wants to purchase additional base.			
19	You would have to have a you know, whatever degree of			
20	belief that it's going to be there for the length of			
21	years that you want to amortize that cost out through.			
22	* * *			
23	DR. TWEETEN: Thank you.			
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.			
25	Tweeten. Are there other questions from those who are			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077			

1	here in a position against a marketing order? There are			
2	none. Now for from those who are here in a position			
3	in favor of the order? Yes, Mr. Monahan.			
4	***			
5	BY MR. MONAHAN:			
6	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Do you think, Mr.			
7	Riel, that the existence of the continuation referendum			
8	might have an effect on the price of base?			
9	A. You know, I think it's going to depend in			
10	the feeling of the industry at the time that it's			
11	approaching or being if growers feel that there is			
12	possibly a a little dissatisfaction with a potential			
13	order, I think it would definitely lower the value of			
14	the base. If growers feel, amongst themselves, that			
15	there's strong support for it, it I would think would			
16	raise the value of base.			
17	Q. Because if there's support for the			
18	program and if there's widespread industry consensus			
19	that the program is working, then base would actually			
20	have some value, wouldn't it?			
21	A. I would believe that to be true, yes.			
22	Q. I appreciate your written remarks as well			
23	as your testimony and it sounds like in your family's			
24	situation the end of the last marketing order was real			
25	tough.			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Α.	Ιt	was	very	tough
---	----	----	-----	------	-------

- Q. And I imagine -- I don't want to get too

 personal about it, but I imagine -- was this in the last

 -- the early '80s, the years where we heard testimony

 that the marketing order, perhaps, wasn't operating the

 way it should have?
 - A. It was in the mid-'80s.
 - Q. We've heard testimony about how it was greed of the industry that led to the demise -- again, without trying to get too personal, is it your belief that it was the greed of the industry that led to your family's situation?
 - A. My testimony during this time period would center around -- I was in college at that time, and it would center around listening to conversations that my father and my uncle and my grandfather had, so -- I don't know if you'd call this hearsay or what, but I can guarantee you that I would accurately represent their feelings and words like greed were constantly mentioned, the committee was mentioned a lot. As a grower, sitting there -- back up a little bit. I would characterize the ability to market hops at that time in our family's life as possibly being a little less sophisticated than it is right now. Maybe the decision-making process was a little less sophisticated, so if York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	you can picture a ramity in that scenario relying on the			
2	wisdom of the central committee to accurately set			
3	saleable and seeing yourself in a position where you're			
4	holding this base that is supposed to give you something			
5	and what it's supposed to give you, as I've heard			
6	before, it's your piece of the pie. This is what people			
7	are telling me I should be voting in the favor of this			
8	for, so I have my piece of the pie. So my family			
9	expects it's going to be able to use its piece of the			
10	pie. Well, what happens when the pie all of a sudden			
11	get way bigger than it's supposed to? My piece of the			
12	pie doesn't count anymore and this happened year after			
13	year after year. It leaves an extremely bitter taste in			
14	my family's memory. And so this piece of the pie that I			
15	should be voting for this for, so I can protect my piece			
16	of the pie, I'm not convinced that I will always have my			
17	piece of the pie, because we didn't last time.			
18	Q. The pie went away when the marketing			
19	order was terminated?			
20	A. It didn't go away. It got about two or			
21	three times bigger than it should have.			
22	Q. When your family was forced to idle all			
23	of their hop acres, they were still hop growers, weren't			
24	they?			
25	A. You know and once again you know,			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

1	I'll admit that Mr. Tobin's testimony raises some
2	questions in my mind. Yeah, we did feel that we were
3	still hop growers, but we felt extremely isolated from
4	the industry at that time. And I think we thought we
5	were the in our opinion, we were the only ones that
6	thought we were hop growers. The rest of the industry
7	had abandoned us. That's my personal opinion.

- Q. I'll bring you back up more to recent years, if you don't mind. The hop alliance and the setaside programs...
 - A. Um-hum.

- Q. Are those things that you voluntarily participated in?
- A. Our family was -- or were members of the hop alliance. We paid dues into the hop alliance. We wanted to support the industry in any way we could. We reported our acreage projections or our upcoming plans to the hop alliance. I would say that what we felt we needed to do or had to do probably didn't fit into the scope of what the alliance would've liked us to do, but we wanted to do what we felt we could at the time. And the set-aside program -- that's, I guess basically, year 2001 -- in 2002 during the time of the set-aside program, I have and had some real issues with the set-aside program and our family, at that time, in 2002 was York Stenographic Services, Inc.

faced with the decision that we knew we were going to 1 idle hop acres. We had 70 acres of Nuggets that we felt 2 were -- the chances of recovering the cost production on 3 those was very low and we knew we were going to idle 4 But I had -- I to this day still feel that the 5 them. Washington state set-aside program was morally wrong. 6 In my opinion, that was a taking from contracted growers 7 and giving to non-contracted growers. I stated my 8 opinion completely through that process and was open 9 10 about it all the way through. And when the forms came out for the set-aside, we filled out our acreage that we 11 had set aside -- that acreage would've been set aside 12 with the program or without the program. And it's just 13 14 like, for instance, the apple market loss programs that 15 the apple industry's had in the last couple of years. I think those are extremely, extremely, bad ideas. 16 17 not think it helps the apple industry at all. Did our 18 family get a check to pass to use the program? Yes, we 19 did. But I think we would all be much better off if we 20 hadn't. So our family filled out the 70 acres -- filled 21 out the form for the set-aside program, all the while saying that I felt that program was wrong and immoral. 22 23 So that was our position during that time. 24 Q. That was 2002? 25 Α. I believe so.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	Q. Would you agree, Mr. Riel, that in 2002			
2	there was an oversupply of alpha acid?			
3	A. I would agree with that.			
4	Q. Would you agree that it was in the best			
5	interest of the industry to reduce the alpha acid?			
6	A. I think I would answer that in saying it			
7	was in the best interest of the industry to reduce alpha			
8	acid, but I have no problems with any grower doing as			
9	they see fit, whether that be removing acres, planting			
10	acres each person needs to be able to do what's best			
11	for their family. Everyone in this room has			
12	responsibilities to people within their family structure			
13	and I respect that.			
14	Q. Is that do you mean that it was in the			
15	best interest of the industry to reduce alpha acid, but			
16	you weren't going to do so, because that was in the best			
17	interests of your family?			
18	A. I can say that that was probably correct,			
19	yes.			
20	Q. You indicated that in your opinion, you			
21	would have to buy base?			
22	A. That's my opinion, yes.			
23	Q. Can you tell me what year you used for			
24	your base allotment in order to get there?			
25	A. I've done that a couple of different ways York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

1	and I probably	need to go home and redo it after sitting
2	through the hea	rings, but I think the year that our
3	family would us	se would probably be 2001.
4	Q.	Okay. What was your acreage in 2001?
5	Α.	I'd prefer not to answer that.
6	Q.	I was hoping I could try to compute
7	whether you nee	eded some base
8	Α.	I need base, trust me.
9	Q.	You're not going to give me the numbers
10	to	
11	Α.	No, I'm not. Sorry.
12	Q.	What assumptions did you make in terms of
13	saleable quant:	ity in order to reach your conclusion that
14	you had to read	ch buy base?
15	Α.	We would need to buy base at a saleable
16	level anywhere	from 80 percent or below.
17	Q.	Okay. Can you tell me how many aroma
18	acres you had	in 2001?
19	Α.	I'm sorry, I will not.
20	Q.	I don't get to do my math, then. I'm not
21	going to make	this that easy on you.
22	Α.	Can you trust me that I need base?
23		***
24	MR.	MONAHAN: I would trust you. I have no
25	reason to heli	eve vou're that you believe that you

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	would need base. And Your Honor, I hesitate. I'm
2	trying I know we've respected all proprietary
3	objections to this point in time. I'm thinking out loud
4	here. I'm trying to determine how important it is to
5	the issue to determine whether and how much base would
6	have to be purchased and what assumptions have been
7	made. Could we have a minute off the record for me to
8	confer?
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, let's go off
10	record at 2:04.
11	* * *
12	[Off the Record]
13	[On the Record]
14	***
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
16	record at 2:04. Let's take a 10 minute break. Please
17	be back and ready to go at 2:15.
18	***
19	[Off the Record]
20	[On the Record]
21	***
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
23	record at 2:17. I just want to announce the time
24	consumed today so far. Those in a position in favor of
25	a marketing order have consumed an hour and a half, and
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	those against a marketing order have consumed two hours.
2	I still have a part of one day to report that I finished
3	adding up yet. All right, Mr. Monahan, you may ask your
4	next question.
5	***
6	BY MR. MONAHAN:
7	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. I don't need to
8	know about your varieties, Mr. Riel. Or your acreage.
9	But let me see if we can do a little bit of math. Your
10	indication, or your belief, based on your calculations
11	is that at any saleable of less than 80 percent, your
12	farming operation, you'd be required to buy some base.
13	Is that right?
14	A. That's my belief, yes.
15	Q. You were here for the testimony of Dr.
16	Jekanowski, were you not?
17	A. Yes, I was.
18	Q. And he had a couple of models or examples
19	where he tried to estimate the average or the potential
20	saleable quantities that might be set in the first year.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. One of those was 75 percent, is that
23	right?
24	A. That could be right. I don't remember
25	exactly. Too many numbers.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l		Q.	окау.
2		Α.	For too many days.
3		Q.	I'll represent to you one of the
4	indication	s whe	ere that it would be 75 percent. The
5	other 55 p	ercer	nt, depending on how the administrative
6	committee	dealt	with what he believed to be the carry-
7	over inver	ntory.	Okay? Let's assume that it were cut
8	back to 75	pero	cent.
9		A.	Okay.
10		Q.	And that do I understand, then, that
11	you would	have	to buy five percent additional base?
12		A.	I believe so.
13		Q.	Okay. And did you calculate what your
14	base would	d be	in terms of actual alpha? Pounds of
15	alpha?		
16		A.	Yes, I did.
17		Q.	Are you able to tell me that?
18		Α.	No, I would not.
19		Q.	So we're not going to be able to come up
20	with a val	lue o	f potential base, are we? That you might
21	have to pa	ay?	
22		Α.	You'd have to value it by the pound at
23	any rate,	anywa	ay.
24		Q.	And you're not going to tell me the
25	pounds?		
			37 1 04 1 1 0 1 7

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. No, I would not.
2	Q. Let's talk about some other values. You
3	heard Ms. Brulotte's testimony this morning, did you
4	not?
5	A. I was in and out of the room. I was
6	working on the grower list a little bit, so I heard bits
7	and pieces.
8	Q. I'll just check a couple of values with
9	you. What's a tractor cost?
10	A. What kind of a tractor?
11	Q. What kind of tractors do you use in your
12	farming operation?
13	A. We use anything from tractors that would
14	\$60,000 down to a used tractor that you get for \$3500.
15	Q. Okay. What would a you say you've
16	invested in a new baling machine?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. What does something like that cost?
19	A. Well, it would depend on the type of
20	baling room that you built. I'm sure you could probably
21	do it for as low as maybe 200,000 and as high as
22	600,000.
23	Q. If you you've heard some testimony
24	that base in the early years of a marketing order could
25	have some value, perhaps little value, it's just hard to

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	say, is that right?
2	A. Yeah, I've heard that.
3	Q. Would you agree that in the context of
4	all of the expenses that face hop farmers, that a five
5	percent increase in base could very well be
6	insignificant in the grand scheme of things?
7	A. I wouldn't call it insignificant and the
8	other issue I have with the way value of base has been
9	presented is that I can understand the scenario where if
10	a committee chose to essentially, set a saleable so high
11	that we it wasn't restricting anything, that base
12	would have a negligible value of maybe zero. The
13	problem with that is if we're under that scenario,
14	myself as a grower, knows that sooner or later, we have
15	to use the volume controls of this order to get
16	something out of it. So if I'm going to have to buy
17	base and I know this is coming in the future, it's
18	probably in my best interests to get plenty of base at
19	the start. Now, I know I've got some people sitting
20	back there in the room with me that all feel they need
21	base, too. So initially, we all start to go out and try
22	to acquire this base that we think that we may need
23	later on when, if possible, volume control has started
24	and we're bidding against one another for this base. So
25	I cannot see how we can have our cake and eat it, too. York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	How can we have base be worth zero and have a program
2	that works? We can't. So I find it hard for to
3	believe that base would have negligible value. I can't
4	get my mind of that concept, sorry.
5	Q. In terms of positioning to maximize
6	potential base, is that one of the reasons that you
7	expanded alpha acid production?
8	A. No, it's not.
9	* * *
10	MR. MONAHAN: I have nothing further, Your
11	Honor.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
13	Monahan. Other questions for Mr. Riel from those who
14	are here in a position in favor of a marketing order?
15	Now, the neutral parties. Those from USDA? No
16	questions. Any questions for Mr. Riel from anyone?
17	There are none. Mr. Riel, is there anything else you'd
18	like to add?
19	MR. RIEL: No, there's not.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
21	you. I don't recall Mr. Moody?
22	MR. MOODY: Yes, our next witness is here.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I don't
24	recall whether I admitted into evidence Exhibit 60. I'm
25	going to do it again just in case I didn't. Is there

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	any objection to the admission into evidence of Exhibit
2	60? There is none. Exhibit 60 is hereby admitted into
3	evidence. Thank you, Mr. Riel. You may step down.
4	MR. RIEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan?
6	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, Mike Hogue, who was
7	one is one of the growers who intends to provide
8	testimony in support of the marketing order has arrived
9	He's not able to stay until the end and if it were
10	possible to shuffle him in, the proponent's committee
11	would appreciate it.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
13	you. Mr. Moody, would you prefer to go ahead and call
14	your next witness before I call Mr. Hogue?
15	MR. MOODY: No, let's have Mr. Hogue. Thank
16	you.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Mr.
18	Hogue, if you'd come forward. And let's go off record
19	for just a moment at 2:25.
20	***
21	[Off the Record]
22	[On the Record]
23	***
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
25	back on record at 2:26. Mr. Hogue, I've marked your
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	statement as Exhibit 61. Would you state your full name
2	and spell your name for the record?
3	MR. HOGUE: Michael Hogue, M-i-c-h-a-e-l
4	H-o-g-u-e.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would
6	you raise your right hand, please?
7	***
8	[Witness sworn]
9	***
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
11	Hogue. Do you want to begin by reading your statement?
12	MR. HOGUE: Yes, I do.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, you may
14	proceed.
15	MR. HOGUE: "My family and I have grown hops
16	near Prosser since the 1940s. For 20 years I owned and
17	operated Hogue's Cellars Winery in Prosser, Washington.
18	Having sold Hogue's Cellars Winery in 2001, we are now
19	involved only in farming. We're diversified growers
20	farming hops, apples, wine grapes, and juice grapes. I
21	produced hops under the 1966 hop marketing order and
22	also produced mint under the mint marketing order. I
23	sold my mint base in 1990 and I found working with my
24	fellow hop growers under the hop marketing order to be a
25	more stable, profitable, and easier to bank situation
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	than an unstable environment we're experiencing without
2	the hop marketing order. Under the hop marketing order
3	I sold hops through four contracts and had little
4	inventory. Since the order was removed and I have
5	received fewer and fewer forward contracts and have been
6	forced to bear most the inventory burden in the hop
7	supply system. We have 225 acres of land in hop
8	trellis, but have only twined 375 acres in the last two
9	years. We have elected not to grow these acres as part
10	of our grass roots effort to balance supply and demand.
11	While we laid idle 150 acres in 2002 and 2003, other
12	growers in this room saw our acreage reduction as a
13	chance to grow more hops rather than a chance to balance
14	supply and demand. They received less than a dollar for
15	these hops. The instability in the balance of supply
16	and demand makes it harder and harder to conduct the
17	business of farming. Credit administrators at Key Bank,
18	US Bank, and Bank of the West have identified the hop
19	industry as a troubled industry and are in the process
20	of tightening credit terms and writing down the value of
21	inventory. They have realized that hop growers are not
22	capable of balancing supply and demand for their
23	product. Half of my career of growing and selling hops
24	has been with a marketing order in place and half has
25	been spent enduring unstable market conditions. I've
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

seen middlemen who are not satisfied with ordinary margins on the services they provide, augment their profit margins by overcharging brewers and underreturning proceeds to growers. I've seen end-users in our hops ignore the instability of the cost of our production because it is significant to their cost accounting structure -- insignificant to their cost accounting structure. I've seen the number of growers decrease drastically and on the average, industry savvy of the remaining increase exponentially. I hope to now see the growers unify their efforts and balance supply and demand through this proposed marketing order. know that growers have been pressured by various handlers and end-users to vote against the HMO and to convince others to do the same. I know this, because I felt the same pressure. I believe that in a hidden ballot, some growers will vote for the marketing order despite their indications to the contrary today. believe this because under a marketing order they will not have to fear the forms of retribution to which we've all been accustomed. I believe that some growers have come to support the HMO due to the content of these hearings. They have seen the demeanor of the proponents committee and that of the opposition. They have seen that the proponents are acting on behalf of the industry York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

l	and all growers equally, regardless of their current
2	avenue to the market. They have seen that there will be
3	true demand for their product that will be demonstrated
4	with profitable future contracts and competitive spot
5	pricing due to yield variances. They know that the
6	marketing order isn't about restricting supply, it's
7	about balancing supply and demand and they know that the
8	marketing order isn't about increasing prices. It's
9	about stable prices that cover the cost of growing and
10	reward the grower with an adequate profit margin for his
11	efforts. Please allow this hop marketing order to go to
12	referendum and allow the American hop growers to decide
13	their own fate." Thank you.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
15	Hogue. Questions for Mr. Hogue. Mr. Monahan?
16	MR. MONAHAN: No thank you, Your Honor.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Anyone
18	here in favor of the marketing order that would like to
19	question Mr. Hogue? Any here in a position against the
20	marketing order? Would you like to question Mr. Hogue?
21	Mr. Moody?
22	***
23	MICHAEL HOGUE,
24	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
25	testified as follows:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

•	20 1111/1130321
2	Q. Very quickly, Your Honor. Mr. Hogue,
3	you're an '03 producer, is that correct?
4	A. Yes. Yes, I am.
5	Q. And you said you're also a diversified
6	farmer?
7	A. Yes, I am.
8	Q. And you were able to obtain to financing
9	for your production this year?
10	A. I had to meet with the bank, yes.
11	Q. Okay. And you said you thought people
12	were pressured in connection with their vote? Could you
13	elaborate on that a little bit?
14	A. Well, just in the meeting where I heard
15	in Portland last week, I came away wondering whether the
16	brewery that spoke about a three-year contract was
17	trying to bribe me or trying to punish me if I voted for
18	or against this measure. That's the way it came across
19	to me. This is a very small business and it pits one
20	grower against another grower and it's very easy to
21	manipulate these growers and myself. It's the nature of
22	our business. It's unfortunate, but through a hop
23	marketing referendum like we have here, this marketing
24	order, I believe it will put back a little bit of power
25	in our grower structure and even the playing field.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., Vork. PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

I	Q. Are your hops contracted this year?
2	A. Some are and some aren't.
3	Q. Do you think the marketing order will
4	help to force the brewers and dealers to sign contracts
5	for more a greater percent of the crop?
6	A. I have no idea what a brewer may or may
7	not do, other than I think Anheuser-Busch told us what
8	they were thinking about doing.
9	Q. And I gather you think the industry's
10	pretty competitive, fiercely competitive?
11	A. It's very competitive worldwide, yes.
12	***
13	MR. MOODY: Good. Okay. Thanks very much,
14	Mr. Hogue.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, don't leave
16	yet. Thank you, Mr. Moody. Anyone else for have
17	questions for Mr. Hogue? Anyone from USDA have
18	questions for Mr. Hogue? Dr. Hinman?
19	* * *
20	BY DR. HINMAN:
21	Q. I have to inflict on you the same
22	question I have asked others. Since you, I guess,
23	recently arrived you may not have heard me ask this
24	question of others where I inquire as to a grower as
25	to their just their size in a very general sense, York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	first related to your hop sales in a the most recent
2	or typical recent year is it below or does it exceed
3	\$750,000 and that's a measure of small or large grower
4	versus by the Small Business Administration.
5	A. It is above that.
6	Q. It is above that.
7	A. I would
8	Q. And one point I just wanted to make
9	sure. I believe you may have read it's 225 acres of
10	land into the record. Do you mean 525, which is showing
11	on the paper?
12	A. Yes, 525.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. Of acres of hops.
15	Q. Of hops.
16	A. Yes.
17	***
18	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. HOGUE: Um-hum.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you for that,
21	Dr. Hinman. Are there any other questions from anyone
22	for Mr. Hogue? Is there any objection to the admission
23	into evidence of Exhibit 61? There is none. Exhibit 61
24	is hereby admitted into evidence. Thank you, Mr. Hogue.
25	MR. HOGUE: Thank you.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may step down.
2	MR. MONAHAN: And thanks for accommodating our
3	schedule, Mr. Moody.
4	MR. MOODY: Now we call Eric Desmarais.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.
6	Desmarais, you may step forward.
7	MR. DESMARAIS: I've got a couple of
8	documents.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Oh, good. Let's go
10	off record while we distribute Mr. Desmarais' documents.
11	***
12	[Off the Record]
13	[On the Record]
14	***
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we're
16	back on record at 2:38. I've been handed two documents
17	and we'll deal with those in just a moment. Mr.
18	Desmarais, would you please state and spell your full
19	name for us?
20	MR. DESMARAIS: It's George Eric Desmarais. I
21	go by Eric. First name's G-e-o-r-g-e E-r-i-c
22	D-e-s-m-a-r-a-i-s.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That last name is
24	D-e-s-m-a-r-a-i-s.
25	MR. DESMARAIS: Correct.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would
2	you raise your right hand, please?
3	***
4	[Witness sworn]
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
7	Desmarais. Mr. Desmarais, I've marked the colorful
8	MR. DESMARAIS: Okay.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:bar charts, a
10	multi-page document as Exhibit 6-2, 62.
11	MR. DESMARAIS: Okay.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And I have marked
13	the single sheet document entitled "Hop Marketing Order
14	Projections for Eric Desmarais" as Exhibit 63.
15	MR. DESMARAIS: Okay.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you wish to have
17	Mr. Moody ask you questions or would you like to begin
18	with your presentation?
19	MR. DESMARAIS: I'll give a brief statement
20	and kind of outlining my own personal situation and some
21	of these calculations I've gone through to estimate the
22	effect a federal marketing order would have on myself.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Can
24	everyone in the back hear Mr. Desmarais? If you
25	raise your right hand if you can or any hand. Okay,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	good. It's loud and clear. Thank you, Mr Mr.
2	Monahan?
3	MR. MONAHAN: Are there exhibits that are
4	being handed out? Did I miss that?
5	MR. MOODY: Reggie handed them out.
6	MR. MONAHAN: Oh, okay.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Boy, she sure
8	doesn't favor her own group. Five people and only one
9	copy. There we go. Now you've got two copies. All
10	right, Mr. Desmarais, you may proceed.
11	MR. DESMARAIS: Okay. What I'd like to do is
12	just give a little bit of brief background of my
13	situation as a grower. I am a fourth-generation hop
14	grower. In 1996, I formed my own corporation and me and
15	my wife started farming ourselves. And we do operate
16	within a family structure with two other uncles, but we
17	are corporately separate from them.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: In what year did
19	you and your wife begin?
20	MR. DESMARAIS: 1996.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: '96.
22	MR. DESMARAIS: Um-hum.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
24	MR. DESMARAIS: In 1996 I erected
25	approximately 50 acres of trellis and planted a York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
proprietary super alpha acid variety for a hop merchant.
1
       The second year, '97, I added 25 more acres, so I had 75
2
       acres of proprietary super alpha variety.
3
       Unfortunately, this variety also ended up being the most
4
       susceptible variety to powdery mildew and I only picked
5
       -- in '97 on my first full crop I only harvested a third
6
7
       of a crop that year. So right off the bat, second year
       in basically, I -- second year I lost $200,000 on 60
8
9
       acres of hops. That was in addition to the year before
       of approximately of another $250,000 of establishment
10
       costs the year before. So needless to say, I was in
11
        somewhat of a hole. The decision was made in late '97
12
        to pull that proprietary variety out and replace it with
13
14
        CTZ variety, which added another significant cost. So I
        was now planting my second baby crop in as many years.
15
16
        At this time, the debt structure that I had associated
        with 65 -- 75 acres of hops, excuse me, was so
17
        staggeringly large that I had two choices as a grower.
18
19
        I had no more access to financing through my family and
        I had no more access to financing through my -- through,
20
        you know, additional long-term financing through my
21
        bank. I worked with the hop merchant that we -- that
22
23
        had the proprietary variety and we came up with a
        solution to move forward and there -- for my situation,
24
        there was only one -- actually, there was [sic] two
25
                          York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	solutions. One was bankruptcy, which was not going to
2	be an acceptable solution to anybody nor to myself.
3	The only other solution was as to one of my fellow
4	opponent growers, I guess, indicated he he had a good
5	way of putting it. I won't use his exact terms, but
6	basically he said you had to get I had to get a
7	bigger piece of bread to spread the peanut butter over.
8	He had a little different word than peanut butter, but I
9	think we all get the idea of what we're talking about
10	here. So with a essentially, that forced me into an
i 1	expansion mode right off the bat, that that I'm not
12	saying I wouldn't have got to eventually, or I wouldn't
13	you know, I'm not sitting up here saying that's the
14	only issue, but that was what forced the issue right off
15	the bat. From there on out, I slowly and steadily
16	expanded my acreage and in 2001 I took over my father's
17	farm. I also purchased some additional hop ground from
18	another grower in the Moxee area and also during that
19	year, I, along with six other growers I purchased a
20	minority share in Hop Union, which supplies which I
21	think everybody here has heard over the last nine days,
22	is kind of a small craft brewery supply hop supply
23	business.
24	MR. BARTON: Can we take a break for a moment?
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Let's change
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	the tape, 2:44.
2	***
3	[Off the Record]
4	[On the Record]
5	***
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
7	record at 2:44. You may proceed.
8	MR. DESMARAIS: For me it's been a long, hard,
9	difficult road for the last seven years. Not because of
10	the general hop industry's conditions. I'm a little bit
11	of a different case, more so because I stubbed my toe so
12	bad coming out the gate, so that my situation's a
13	little different than I think of some of the other
14	growers, but needless to say it does point to one of the
15	major inequities of the marketing order. Since I have
16	grown, over the years, I've been what you would classify
17	as an expanding grower, which there doesn't seem to be
18	too many of those in the hop industry, but I am one of
19	them. When the federal marketing order was proposed,
20	one the tasks that I undertook because it was pretty
21	darn critical for me to understand what the potential
22	saleable would be and how I would get base, that was
23	pretty much a real critical issue, because I do not have
24	the resources to purchase additional base. I am
25	financially intolerant of purchasing base. It's taken
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l	seven years to finally did out the Symphony hole and
2	finally this year is the first year I feel like I've
3	climbed over that mountain. And it's taken a lot of
4	hard work and a lot of financial instability for me.
5	Not due to the hop market, but due to that stubbing of
6	my toe. So for me it was critical to come up with some
7	projections of how this order would affect me personally
8	and so I, along with Doug McKennon of Hop Growers of
9	America, me and him worked on a document and this was
0	presented back in December of 2002 to the industry.
1	Using the information that was given in the order we
12	attempted to, as accurately as we could, come up with
13	the projected total allotment and what I did it's a
14	little than Sparks, but what I did is I made the
15	assumption that under the order, each grower can take
16	their highest base allotment year. Now, reason would
17	follow that each state when I went and looked at each
18	state's total alpha production over that '97 to 2002
19	base period and went variety by variety and on the chart
20	the green represents Hop Growers of America actual
21	numbers, yellow represents my numbers that I estimated
22	for alpha levels, and pink represents the proponents
23	proposal. And what I did, I went through and I I got
24	HGA's numbers on exact poundages of each variety and I
25	estimated the alpha and got the total alpha pounds. And
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	I also on a roll of pound, anything under 10 alpha,
2	obviously that just gets lumped as a 10 alpha, so we put
3	that together and did and that was a fairly easy
4	calculation. What I came up with was Washington's base
5	year would be, in general, 2001, Oregon's base year
6	would be 1997, Idaho's base year would be 2001. In
7	addition, this will still probably understate the total
8	alpha production because individual growers within those
9	states are going to be able to pick their individual
10	highest year, so there's going to be even more
11	efficiency brought to it. So we plugged in a three a
12	seven percent addition to account for that situation.
13	In addition, I have to believe there is going to be base
14	granted to some people in the hardship clause I mean,
15	I have hard time in 2001, the same year, we had a
16	large hail storm that with quite a few pounds that
17	were destroyed, so I would imagine that there would be
18	some Washington growers who would apply for the
19	hardship. And I'm sure there's situations in other
20	states. I mean, we can't we haven't even we
21	haven't discussed the hardship, but to assume there
22	wouldn't be any base created from that, I think would be
23	false. So I estimated that at three percent, which
24	isn't much. So what I come up with as a projected total
25	allotment is about 9.874 million pounds of alpha for the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	industry, somewhere in that range. If you look on to
2	page two, initially, we built a chart that would assume
3	move in some inventory into the system. Since the
4	German crop is actually what I've done is completely
5	removed any inventory implications because I'm going to
6	assume, for my purposes, that we are somewhat of a
7	balanced state now and that the inventory from the
8	United States will be move into the system this year.
9	So from my calculations, I've completely removed any
10	inventory requirements. Okay, so what I did is I
11	then I had to figure out some way to deal with demand
12	because you can't really figure out what the long-term
13	saleable is if you don't have some kind of idea of what
14	your demand is. Third page is a worksheet that Mike
15	Smith presented at the Washington Hop Commission on
16	December 7, 2001, and in it in that presentation Mr.
17	Smith indicated that he believed the annual US demand to
18	be around 2.9 kilograms of alpha acid. So if you
19	multiply that out, you come up according to his
20	number an assumed United States demand of about
21	6,173,000 pounds of demand for United States alpha acid.
22	Another way to look at it would be what was produced in
23	the United States in 2002 for alpha acid and that number
24	is 6.9 million pounds. So what I did is I said okay,
25	let's look at it two ways. Let's tie the saleable to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
Mike Smith's -- Mr. Smith's numbers and let's tie it to
1
2
       just 2002 alpha production in the United States,
3
       assuming that those numbers are somewhat similar --
       those are close to the demand. So what I come up with
       is a number for Mr. Smith's twelve -- December of '01
5
       projection. That would equate to a 62.5 percent
6
7
       saleable and that -- and I don't know what Mr. Smith's
       most recent assumptions are in the United State's
8
       demand, so that number could be different, but that's
9
10
       the last number -- that's the only independent number
       that I've ever seen that's been put out like that in the
11
       United States, so what I did, to be on the safe side
12
       was, I just tied it to 2002 alpha production and so what
13
        I come up with is a 70.1 percent of saleable.
14
       move on to Exhibit 63, these are my -- pounds of alpha
15
        produced for the last five years on my farm from '97 to
16
        2002. Obviously, I would pick my highest year if I was
17
        subject to a federal marketing order and the --
18
19
        obviously, my highest year is 2002, 83,925 pounds of
        alpha. If I apply the 70.1 percent saleable from the
20
        worksheet, that gives me 58,831 pounds of alpha to
21
        market to the system. My projected 2004 alpha
22
        production is going to be 138,600 pounds; which will
23
        require me to purchase 79,769 pounds of alpha.
24
        you assume a 10 percent alpha across all my varieties,
25
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

```
that gives me, you know, roughly 790,000 pounds of hops,
1
       you know, based by. So needless to say, in my
2
3
       estimation, this proposal has the possibility to impact
       my operation pretty significantly. And we can quibble
4
       with the numbers. I'm sure Mr. Monahan and I'm sure Mr.
5
       Smith have some numbers here to show that. It could be
7
       90 percent, 85 percent. 95 percent. It doesn't -- the
       magnitude of it -- what I -- from my projections, I have
8
9
       to come up with something that I believed to be true and
       you know, maybe I'll learn something here in the next
10
       hour or so. We'll find out, but it's pretty financially
11
       intolerant for me to have to buy very much base.
12
       would be a fair statement and I'm sure we're -- you know
13
14
       -- I -- the proponents have the luxury to go in last, so
       I'm sure we have some other figures on that, but for
15
16
        right now that's what I came up with. So that's one
17
       obvious reason for me being against this proposal.
        Second reason...
18
19
                  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Just so the
       transcript is clear, you estimate that it would it cost
20
        you nearly $400,000 to buy the base you would need?
21
                  MR. DESMARAIS: Correct.
22
23
                  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, thank
24
              You may proceed.
        you.
                  MR. DESMARAIS: And I -- you know -- and I --
25
```

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	I'm not going I mean, obviously any base that would
2	be you know, you could lease base, which would be
3	significantly lower than that. You could also amortize
4	that .50. I don't think anyone when you purchase
5	base, obviously that would be amortized over a longer
6	run, so you know, that wouldn't be necessarily a one
7	year cost and I think as Mr. Monahan alluded to in some
8	of his questions of Mr. Riel, you know, the
9	recalculation or the I guess you have to redo the
10	vote every four years continuation referendum. And I
11	would agree that would have some probably a
12	destabilized effect on the price of base but that still
13	injects a whole lot of uncertainty into my operation and
14	for me, I can't tolerate uncertainty. I have to have
15	certainty. That's the key for me moving forward and so
16	anyway, other than the financial aspects of the proposal
17	that caused me to be against the hop marketing order,
18	over the last year I've also realized that I think
19	has been testified by a number of different opponents
20	it's become clear to me that a group of my peers making
21	decisions for me would be very difficult, if not
22	impossible, to achieve accurately and properly. I would
23	not want to have that responsibility. And I was
24	involved and I'm sure these questions will come out
25	here in a few minutes I was involved I was on the York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	hop production management oh, whatever team for a
2	while, I guess you'd call it. I went to a few meetings.
3	I did provide some input. I provided some input on the
4	set-aside program. And shortly after some of that I
5	gave that input, I became increasingly uncomfortable
6	with that program and I decided that it was completely
7	inappropriate for me to be sitting in a room with seven
8	or eight or nine other growers and to deciding things
9	that could be done legally that can cause financial harm
10	to people I don't even know. And at that time I didn't
11	know Kevin Riel that well, I didn't know Stacey
12	Puterbaugh that well, but I got to know them over the
13	last year pretty well, and for me to sit in a room and
14	devise a plan that could potentially cause them
15	financial harm or another grower in this room is
16	something that I'm not comfortable doing. There's [sic]
17	other reasons I became against the set-aside, but
18	have not a lot of bearing, but it's fair to say that I -
19	- it's fair to say that my belief system has firmed in
20	the last year and I do not believe that a marketing
21	order or central decision-making process by hop guards
22	is appropriate, nor moral. That's it.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
24	Desmarais. Mr. Moody, do you have questions for Mr.
25	Desmarais?

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	***
2	GEORGE ERIC DESMARAIS,
3	having first been duly sworn, according to the law,
4	testified as follows:
5	BY MR. MOODY:
6	Q. Just a few, Your Honor. Eric, you would
7	characterize yourself as one of a group of what we've
8	kind of collectively called expanding producers?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And is your was your decisions to
11	expand based on the desire to take advantage of those
12	setting aside acreage or on the basis of other factors
13	and if so, what were those factors?
14	A. It was not to take advantage of my fellow
15	growers, it was because there was what I saw as
16	opportunities, that's one reason. And also to help
17	continue the financial healing of my farm.
18	Q. And your expansion plans were put in
19	place long before the set-asides came along?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you ever sell hops at below the cost
22	of production?
23	A. Well, that's an interesting question that
24	keeps popping up and that's a pretty loaded question.
25	You know, I look at it as I guess an analogy that I'd
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

```
like to bring to some people is that of a mutual fund.
1
       I have what's considered -- in my mind I consider a
2
       portfolio of agricultural commodities. I grow nine
3
       different hop varieties and about seven different apple
4
       varieties and five different cherry varieties.
5
       lot of different varieties over three different
6
7
       commodities. And yes, in some given years, you know,
       there has been -- I've sold -- I've had apples that have
8
       sold for $35 a bin and that's been below the cost of
9
       production. I've had hops that at the end of the
10
       marketing season, some excess hops that I've sold for
11
        .50 or .60 a pound. But when you look at the totality
12
       of the farm budget, it's a positive and just like a
13
       mutual fund, you don't dump four loser stocks when maybe
14
        the overall mutual fund portfolio went up. So that --
15
        in my mind, that's the kind of analogy I draw and if we
16
        want to look at the most, you know, volatile segment of
17
        the hop industry, which is the CTZ segment, that one --
18
19
        it is -- it does have some instability in it and some --
        and a lot of different price rises in it -- price rises
20
        and price declines because it is the most global of the
21
        -- of the hop varieties and it can be interchanged
22
23
        freely amongst many different varieties, so that
        variety, in 2000, I believe -- after the fires in the
24
        United States, I sold spots, I sold excess hops for
25
                          York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	\$3.20 a pound. In some of the later years here I've
2	sold them for as low as .50 and I've sold them for every
3	price in-between them. So you know, that the selling
4	hops below the cost of production, that needs to be
5	taken into context with the larger with your larger
6	farm and what's going on.
7	Q. You grow both aroma and alpha varieties?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. And are your hops for '03 contracted?
10	A. They were.
11	Q. And do you have forward contracts going
12	out into the future?
13	A. I do.
14	Q. Speaking of cost, that figure you gave o
15	it was \$400,000.
16	A. Um-hum.
17	Q. That was based on a cost of base at \$5
18	A. Yeah, 50 I mean, you have to get
19	you know, it used to be priced in pounds of hops, so
20	I mean, there's a little bit of a you know, to get i
21	into pounds of alpha
22	Q. Okay. So you're looking at a hops base
23	it was .50 per pound of hops?
24	A. Yeah.
25	Q. So looking at the operation of the old
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	order, would it be correct to say that might be on the
2	low side, because didn't we have some testimony that the
3	price of base got up to 2 and \$3?
4	A. Yeah. I mean, I tried to pick something
5	out a conservative number.
6	Q. So this \$400,000 could be considerably
7	larger?
8	A. It could. Especially if the German crop
9	disaster causes a price fight, that and this a
10	marketing order went in, you could be looking at a much
11	higher cost for base.
12	Q. And your general agreement was
13	previous testimony that the world market for alpha is
14	globally competitive, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And that any effort on the US to have a
17	marketing order really is not going to have any effect
18	on that competition?
19	A. Repeat the question.
20	Q. Well, what would given the global
21	globalality [ph] of the market, what would you predict
22	would happen if the rest of the world in response to
23	the US supply control marketing order?
24	A. I would fully expect the German growers
25	to plant more. Their hop yards I've only been to York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Germany once and but I've met with lots of German
2	growers before and it's amazing how you can communicate
3	with fellow hop growers and not know the language. And
4	a hop grower here is the same as a hop grower there and
5	if over time, they will rise [sic] their production
6	to any response to us cutting. And also, the issue with
7	China I mean, sure, there's not a whole lot of I
8	don't exactly what they're exporting. You hear things
9	that there have been some exported. I don't know
10	exactly for sure if those are true, but what I do know
11	is there is no doubt. Once you open a door and you open
12	a door to them and let them get the facilities in place
13	to go, it's going to be much tougher dealing with them.
14	So there's an issue of opening the door and I think a
15	hop marketing order would definitely have the potential
16	to open that door wider than it already is today.
17	***
18	MR. MOODY: That's all for right now. Thank
19	you.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
21	Moody. Other questions for those who are in a position
22	against the marketing order? Mr. Carswell?
23	***
24	BY MR. CARSWELL:
25	Q. Mr. Desmarais, just real quick. You show
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	here a big jump in 2004 to 139,600 pounds from 83,000.
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. Is what percentage of that is
4	contracted for 2004?
5	A. About 75 percent.
6	***
7	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you very much.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
9	Carswell. Other questions from those who are against?
10	I'd now invite questions from those who are here in a
11	position in favor of the hop marketing order. Mr.
12	Monahan?
13	***
14	BY MR. MONAHAN:
15	Q. I'll start. Can you sir, tell me how
16	Hop Union works.
17	A. Let's say like Yakima Chief, consignment.
18	Q. There are partners in the organization,
19	is that right?
20	A. There are.
21	Q. You have you purchased an ownership,
22	is that right?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. And what the ownership entitles you to do
25	is to deliver a certain number of pounds of hops, is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	that right?	
2	A. It does.	
3	Q. So you invested money for the	right to
4	deliver to market a certain number or pounds	is it in
5	alpha or just gross pounds?	
6	A. Gross pounds.	
7	Q. What was the value of your in	vestment?
8	A. I'm not going to answer that	question.
9	Q. How many pounds did you purch	ase the
10	right to deliver?	
11	A. I'm not going to answer that	question.
12	Q. In your opinion, was that a w	orthwhile
13	investment for you to pay money for the righ	it to delive
14	pounds to market?	
15	A. It was.	
16	Q. That was where the actual inv	restment
17	carried value with it and you think it was a	wise
18	business decision?	
19	A. It was.	
20	Q. Do you think that's a good mo	odel for the
21	industry to follow?	
22	A. I have no idea it it's a good	d model for
23	the industry to follow. It works for Hop Un	nion.
24	Q. Works for you.	
25	A. Works for me. Works for Hop	Union.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.	

1	Q. Okay. What came first you're going to
2	have to help me out here the production management
3	team or the Hop Producers Alliance board?
4	A. Probably the alliance board, I guess.
5	Q. Okay. And describe we've heard some
6	description of it, but describe what the Hop Producers
7	Alliance board was intended to accomplish. What was its
8	mission, its purpose?
9	A. I'm not really exactly sure. They I
10	think they pooled hops. At that time my father was
11	still raising hops and I know I participated in some of
12	those meetings. He had put hops in one of the pools and
13	I think they pooled them and had different pricing
14	structures and were something along those lines.
15	Q. You were actually a board member of the
16	hop producer alliance board
17	A. Yeah, I believe I was.
18	Q. Okay. And so you actually went to
19	meetings and had access to were minutes taken?
20	A. Could be.
21	Q. Okay. The purpose of the Hop Producers
22	Alliance board was to come up with a plan to voluntarily
23	reduce alpha production, was it not?
24	A. I don't know. I can't I mean, yeah.
25	I know that was a part of it, but I think the other
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the reason I was there was I was representing my
2	father's farm and he had like I said, he they also
3	had a pooling arrangement where they took hops and put
4	them in pools and priced them in different structures,
5	and he had hops in one of the pools. That's what you
6	have to understand, my father farmed in 2000 and I took
7	his farm over in 2001, so there was that's there
8	was that was happening right at that interchange.
9	Q. So you took over in 2001?
10	A. My father's acreage, correct.
11	Q. Okay. How many acres were those?
12	A. I'm not going to say.
13	Q. When we're looking at we'll get there
14	in a minute, but when we're looking at Exhibit 63 so
15	then when we see the general increase from 1997 through
16	2000, that is just one farm's production, right?
17	A. Yeah, that's my farm. That's correct.
18	Q. And then in 2000-2001, that would be two
19	farms' production?
20	A. No.
21	Q. When did you acquire your father's
22	operation?
23	A. 2001.
24	Q. So 2001 would be both your operation and
25	your father's operation combined?

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. Just my operation. Because I because
2	I took no, my father's operation is not reflected
3	okay, in 2000 he was a separate entity farming on his
4	own, so those numbers aren't reflected in here. In 2001
5	I took over his farm.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. So 2001 is would be his in 2001 his
8	acreage would be included.
9	Q. And you're not inclined to tell us what
10	that acreage is?
11	A. No, I'm not.
12	Q. If we got from 2002 to your projections
13	in 2004
14	A. Um-hum.
15	Q. Are we adding more acreage again?
16	A. We are.
17	Q. How much?
18	A. I'm not going to tell you.
19	Q. Where did you get the acreage?
20	A. Out of a hat. From other farms.
21	Q. Going back to the Hop Producers Alliance
22	board.
23	A. Um-hum.
24	Q. You described these pools this is the
25	organization that had a board decide and had a board
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	resolve to engage in a voluntary reduction of alpha acid
2	for the entire industry. Isn't that right?
3	A. I don't know if its full intent I
4	don't know if its sole intent was that, or pool hops
5	I mean, there was a big pooling structure going on and
6	so I think as I recall, it was one, was to reduce
7	acreage and two, was to pool the hops into different
8	pricing categories and then allow the spot hops in the
9	industry to kind of meter into the system at a different
10	level. So that was the that's what I understood its
11	two functions as.
12	Q. Right. And so one of those functions was
13	to reduce acreage and alpha acid production, was it?
14	A. I wouldn't I recall that, yes.
15	Q. In fact, as a board member, you were
16	supportive publicly supportive of the industry
17	move to reduce alpha acids.
18	A. I can't personally remember that, but I
19	certainly could've been.
20	Q. Okay. And you participate in these board
21	meetings if you may have supported a reduction in
22	alpha acid, were you part of the group that committed to
23	a three percent reduction in order to match the larger
24	producers' seven percent reduction in alpha?
25	A. I do not believe I was.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q.	Is it possible you don't remember making
2	those statemen	ts?
3	Α.	I do not think I personally committed to
4	that. I do no	t recall that.
5	Q.	Okay. In any event, while the Hop
6	Produces Allia	nce developed a plan while you were a
7	board member	•
8	Α.	Um-hum.
9	Q.	to reduce acreage, you actually
10	increased acre	age?
11	Α.	I did.
12	Q.	Did you change your varieties to increase
13	alpha acid pro	eduction?
14	Α.	From two which years?
15	Q.	Tell me when the Hop Producers Alliance
16	board was maki	ng these efforts?
17	Α.	I believe it was in the fall of 2000
18	through 2001.	
19	Q.	Okay, and during that time frame, did you
20	change varieti	es to increase alpha production?
21	Α.	Change varieties no. I did not.
22	Q.	Okay. Did you do anything to increase
23	alpha producti	ion?
24	Α.	I did, I did. I purchased some land from
25	one of the pro	oponent board members, actually.
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q.	It was idle land, wasn't it?
2	Α.	It was.
3	Q.	And it had not been in production?
4	Α.	Well, I purchased two parcels, actually.
5	One was had	been in production and one wasn't.
6	Q.	Okay. And so you during the time that
7	you were a Hop	Producer Alliance board member and during
8	which the indu	stry was making voluntary efforts to
9	reduce alpha p	roduction, you took idle land and raised
10	alpha hops on	it?
11	Α.	I did.
12	Q.	Tell me about the HGA production
13	management tea	m task force.
14	Α.	I believe that was kind of a precursor to
15	the proponents	committee and I regrettably now,
16	attended a few	meetings about 22 months ago.
17	Q.	Okay. In fact, you were one of the
18	charter member	s, were you not?
19	Α.	I have no idea what the chart member
20	group list	how that would be comprised of.
21	Q.	Do you recall being identified by the Hop
22	Growers of Ame	rica as a member of the production
23	management tea	m?
24	Α.	Oh yes, I certainly do.
25	Q.	In fact, mailings went out with your name
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	on them as a member.
2	A. They did.
3	Q. Okay, and the mailings indicated that the
4	purpose of the task force, of which you were a member,
5	was to reduce the oversupply of alpha acids?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And that's something that you had
8	signed on for in support of the reduction of alpha
9	acids?
10	A. I didn't sign on in support of anything.
11	Q. Okay. You just had your name on the
12	letters and were a member of the team?
13	A. I made my position abundantly clear to
14	several board members. I made my position abundantly
15	clear to Doug McKinnon of the Hop Growers of America,
16	and this is as of early February. I also made my
17	position abundantly clear to Mr. Leslie Roy, I made my
18	position abundantly clear to Ken Desserault in fact,
19	I had a meeting with Ken Desserault in his office in
20	early February where he attempted to convince me of the
21	need for a hop marketing order and the set-aside program
22	in the United States and I told him at that time that I
23	did not support that. And so I think my track record of
24	changing my mind and being clear about that to several
25	people is pretty clear.

1	Q. When was the HGA task force production
2	management team put together?
3	A. I would say if I remember right, I
4	think it was late fall of 2001, something like that.
5	November I can't recall specifically.
6	Q. Well, you may have changed your mind, the
7	mission and purpose of the and stated goal of the
8	production management team always stayed the same,
9	wasn't it? That was to reduce
10	A. Yes.
11	Qoversupply of alpha acid?
12	A. Yes, I believe so.
13	Q. How long did you remain a member? Did
14	you ever resign?
15	A. I didn't know it was a formal committee.
16	I didn't understand I didn't know there was no
17	structure, that I was aware of formal structure.
18	Q. How did you become a member?
19	A. Well, I think the industry encouraged
20	people to show up and be a part of the meetings as they
21	saw fit.
22	Q. Okay. And you learned through these
23	meetings, did you not, that there was a concerted effort
24	by a number of growers in the community to reduce their
25	alpha acids?
	Vaule Change growthin Complete Tue

1	A. I did.	
2	Q. Okay. And with that information in hand,	
3	you went out and increased your alpha acid production,	
4	did you not?	
5	A. In what year?	
6	Q. When you were a member of the production	
7	management team.	
8	A. Let me think of that year. If I	
9	increased my overall alpha production from 2001 to 2002?	
10	Q. I don't know when the dates were, sir. I	
11	just want to know when you were a member of the	
12	production management team	
13	A. Well, I was a member, obviously, in late	
14	fall of 2001, okay, so the next year would be 2002, so I	
15	mean, there was a slight increase in my overall pounds	
16	of alpha produced, so that would you could	
17	characterize it as there was a slight increase in 2002.	
18	Q. Would you agree that while you were a	
19	member of the Hop Producer Alliance board and the	
20	production management team, that you agreed, did you	
21	not, that there was an oversupply of alpha acid on the	
22	market?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Okay. And you agreed that that was	
25	something that the industry really needed to address?	
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.	
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077	

1	A. At that time I felt at the time, I was
2	involved more and like I said, as I as time has gone
3	on, I've realized that I have no business sitting in a
4	room trying to decide what's best or not best for my
5	fellow growers, because when you do, it's like a
6	balloon. You push on one side, out pops the other side.
7	So what's good for this group and what this group thinks
8	hurts another group. So it doesn't you know, it
9	doesn't it's one issue or another. I mean, you've
.0	got to pick sides and that's the difficultness of trying
.1	to define this equitable and one big difference between
.2	Hop Union and our model, and what you guys are
13	proposing, is that Hop Union, we all went into it equal.
14	There was no it didn't matter if I was a small
15	grower, big grower, we all had X amount that we put in
16	the business and we got our own share. So there was an
17	equitable line drawn at the very first going in. This
18	is not an equitable line and so when one grower thinks
19	they have a plan, it invariably hurts another grower and
20	so what I realized is that I'm not in a position to
21	decide what is best for one, because it's going to hurt
22	another and I can assure you, Mr. Monahan, and I assure
23	the rest of the board members that I will never attend a
24	any kind of centrally planned committee meetings in
25	the near future, the medium future, probably the long-
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	term future. They will not have to worry about that.
2	Q. I probably know the answer to this, but
3	I'll ask it anyway. Did you participate to any degree
4	in the set-aside program?
5	A. I did not.
6	Q. At the start of the 2002 season
7	obviously, when we look at Exhibit 63, your actual alpha
8	was obviously less than the 83 9-2-5, right?
9	A. You mean my production?
10	Q. Yes, sir.
11	A. Well, it would've been because of the 10
12	percent aroma factor, correct.
13	Q. That's the adjusted alpha.
14	A. Right, that's the adjusted alpha.
15	Q. Your actual alpha would've been less,
16	right?
17	A. Sure.
18	Q. What was your actual alpha in 2002?
19	A. I don't have that number, but it would be
20	I'm roughly 50-50. I you know, so it would've
21	been a little bit smaller than that.
22	Q. What's the other 50?
23	A. Aroma hops.
24	Q. What kind of aroma hops?
25	A. I'm not going to tell you.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	2.4 % 7

1	***
2	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, at this point in
3	time we are dealing with a witness who is making a
4	specific dollar calculation and giving pounds of
5	adjusted alpha produced. I think I'm compelled to check
6	this math and that that's information that we need.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan, to the
8	extent the witness wisher to shield that information,
9	I'll honor that, but if it does come into play when you
10	give the weight to the exhibit. In other words, he need
11	not answer.
12	MR. MONAHAN: I understand.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: But it weakens his
14	presentation.
15	***
16	BY MR. MONAHAN:
17	Q. Do you grow Willamettes?
18	A. I do.
19	Q. And those are 3.5 percent alpha, about?
20	A. Mine are usually a little higher, four,
21	but it's roughly.
22	Q. Okay. Do you have an estimate, sir, of
23	what your actual alpha was in 2002?
24	A. I do not.
25	Q. Could it be less than 58,831 pounds?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. NOC a Chance.
2	Q. Okay. Do you know what the average alpha
3	is for the 50 percent of your hops?
4	A. I don't have that number off the top of
5	my head. I don't
6	Q. Okay. Do you have a ballpark for me?
7	A. Without looking at the you know.
8	Without looking at the exact numbers, I can't give you
9	that because I don't have that those numbers up here.
10	***
11	MR. MONAHAN: Would Mr. Moody wish to
12	MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I don't mean to, you
13	know, interrupt Brendan, but I want to respond to his
14	point you know, he's fair to ask his questions and
15	everything, but I want to challenge the connection
16	between his complaint that Mr. Desmarais doesn't give
17	some proprietary information and any affect on weight of
18	the exhibit, because the exhibit deals with his farm
19	we could just as easily put this in as a hypothetical
20	farm. In other words, it doesn't really matter which of
21	the varieties it is or how many acres it is under the
22	proposed order. This just an example of how the math
23	would work under the proposed order to figure out that,
24	you know, a person who needed to get base of that many
25	pounds, I have to pay that many dollars. And so I, with
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	all due respect, must challenge Brendan's comment that
2	it weakens his exhibit. I don't think it does at all.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. It was my
4	comment, but I take no offense and if it is meant as a
5	hypothetical, fine. I don't believe it was offered as a
6	hypothetical, however, but yes, it still is illustrative
7	and it shows how a person might be required to pay a
8	certain amount for base if all the presumptions are
9	true. Go ahead, Mr. Monahan.
10	MR. MONAHAN: Sure. I just to make a
11	record for those who might actually consider this
12	exhibit, I Mr. Moody, I with all due respect, I
13	don't think you could be more wrong about the weight in
14	that the actual alpha produced in 2002 has obviously
15	been adjusted upwards because of the included 10 percent
16	aroma factor. The actual alpha is going to give us a
17	real idea of how the saleable quantity actually affects
18	this person's whether hypothetical or real growers
19	need for base and to the extent that this 70.1 percent
20	figure is applied to the adjusted alpha, it may well be
21	tantamount to or equal to the actual alpha production.
22	That's why I think it's an absolutely important issue.
23	But I will move on.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, you may
25	respond, if you'd like.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. MOODY: It's just that you know,
2	whether al aromas produce less than 10 percent, whether
3	it's the three percent kind, the four percent, the five
4	percent, the nine percent, or the 9.9 percent is
5	completely irrelevant. They all get 10 percent.
6	MR. MONAHAN: I'm afraid I need to respond.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. They get 10
8	percent at one point, but not at market, and so you may
9	respond.
10	MR. MONAHAN: Yeah. That's the whole point.
11	If you have a number of aromas and nine percent
12	MR. MOODY: Well, let me see if I can clarify
13	it for you.
14	MR. MONAHAN: I'd like to finish, please.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Go ahead, Mr.
16	Monahan.
17	MR. MONAHAN: At nine percent alpha and
18	they're a given they're adjusted up to 10 percent
19	alpha, you haven't really improved that much and if
20	there's a 70 percent saleable, then you're going to be
21	below your actual alpha production. If the alpha is
22	three percent, three and a half percent, and there's a
23	70 percent saleable, you're going to have more base than
24	you know what to do with. You're going to have a
25	hundred percent more base than you need, so it's
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	absolutely essential what these actual alpha numbers
2	are. That's why I asked about the Willamettes.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Moody, do you
4	wish to respond?
5	MR. MOODY: Actually, Eric was about to and
6	he's the expert.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
8	you. You may proceed.
9	MR. DESMARAIS: Okay. You're correct in that
10	in what you're saying, but the way that the number
11	here that mitigates that is my projected 2004 alpha
12	production is my actual alpha production by variety of
13	what I anticipate I will get. It's not the higher
14	it's not the 10 percent added in, so when you go when
15	you're going to figure the amount of alpha pounds you
16	have available for sale, you apply whatever saleable
17	percentage to your highest year. That gives you the
18	pounds you have available for sale. Now, in 2004 I
19	scaled the Willamettes back down from 10 back down to
20	four and a half four three and a half to four by
21	variety. And I also have some Tettnangers. Those are
22	about five, four and a half, five. Scaled those back,
23	too. I also have some Cascades set at a different
24	price, so that's where that comes back into play. That
25	would reduce that those that reduces my
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	projected when I bring the lower alpha varieties back
2	in for 2004, that brings that reflected in that
3	number.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You stated a
5	variety that I didn't catch the name of. Started with a
6	T?
7	MR. DESMARAIS: Tettnanger,
8	T-e-t-t-n-a-n-g-e-r.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
10	MR. DESMARAIS: It's the projection where
11	that's where those numbers are brought back in. Every
12	farm needs to look at what they will produce in 2004,
13	multiply their expected production times their expected
14	alpha, the low alpha, their actual alpha. That will
15	give them, for projected 2004 alpha production. You
16	then take your highest year, '97 to 2002, apply the
17	saleable percentage that you think you're going to get
18	and that and then see where you're at. That's how
19	the math is done.
20	***
21	BY MR. MONAHAN:
22	Q. I understand. My question was just to
23	really relate what your actual what your calculated
24	alpha pounds available to sale for sale, actually
25	compared to the production you were achieving when this
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	hop marketing order was first introduced or brought up
2	as a concept when you were a member of the production
3	management team.
4	A. Well, I think, obviously it's pretty
5	obvious that my production has increased. Increased
6	through the time I was an alliance board member.
7	Increased through the time I was on the HGA production
8	management team. And has increased and it's
9	increased even when I've been an opponent. So I think
10	it's fairly obvious that I've increased my production no
! 1	matter what side of the fence I've been on.
12	***
13	MR. BARTON: We need to change.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right, we'll
15	change tapes at 3:29.
16	* * *
17	[Off the Record]
18	[On the Record]
19	* * *
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
21	record at 3:30. Mr. Monahan?
22	* * *
23	BY MR. MONAHAN:
24	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Of the 83,925
25	adjusted alpha strike that. Of your actual alpha for
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	2002, what percentage of it was contracted before
2	planting in the spring or before stringing in the
3	spring?
4	A. Well over 100 percent.
5	Q. You'd contracted over 100 percent?
6	A. I contracted up to my maximum amount that
7	I believed I could sell and I didn't one yard was a
8	little lower yielding, so actually when you look
9	backwards looking focus on it, I actually end up
10	being over-contracted for the year. I was actually
11	actually, I ended shorting some alpha contracts.
12	Q. Oh. So, okay, you couldn't fill your
13	contracts in '02?
14	A. Yeah. Yeah, because I sold I didn't
15	want to have any spots for the 2002 fall, so I sold the
16	maximum amount of production I thought I could possibly
17	achieve.
18	Q. What was the price on those contracts?
19	A. I'm not going to answer that.
20	Q. Was the below the cost of production?
21	A. No, it wasn't.
22	Q. What was your cost of production in 2002?
23	A. I'm not going to answer that.
24	Q. What's the difference in acres in the
25	ground between 2002 and 2004?

1	A. A	bout 150.
2	Q. Y	ou've acquired 150 acres since 2002?
3	A. E.	xcuse me. Since 2002. Yeah. About 150
4	sorry, more t	han that. A little over 200.
5	Q. A	nd how many of those 200 acres were
6	producing hop ya	rds when you purchased them?
7	A. A	bout 200.
8	Q. A	ll of them?
9	A. N	o, that's not correct. About 60 of it
10	isn't, so I gues	s it'd be 140. I'm kind of pulling
11	these numbers of	f the top of my head, so
12	Q. O	kay.
13	Α	excuse me for you know, I'm not
14	trying to be eva	sive, I'm just
15	Q. S	ure.
16	Α	trying to get the numbers right.
17	Q. S	o you've purchased 140 acres since 2002?
18	A. J	ust a second. Let me write this stuff
19	down so I can tr	y and answer your question. It's
20	approximately 20	0, but 140 that was planted.
21	Q. F	lanted when you purchased it?
22	Α. Ο	Correct.
23	Q. D	oid it have base?
24	Α. Ν	lo.
25	Q. W	Jould there be base associated with that
		York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	140 acres?
2	A. I have no idea.
3	Q. Can you tell us who you bought it from?
4	A. No, I cannot.
5	Q. Is it on a contract or is title passed?
6	A. That information's proprietary.
7	Q. Might that affect your base?
8	A. No, because the expansion came in 2003.
9	Between the 2003 and 2004 growing season, so it wouldn't
10	haven't affect on my base at all. In fact, if anything,
11	probably many in this room would argue that I was pretty
12	stupid to do it in the face of a marketing order,
13	because I could potentially buy land I have no base for.
14	Q. Did you think 2003 was going to be the
15	basis for base allotments?
16	A. I thought that would be highly unlikely.
17	Q. You thought it'd be highly unlikely that
18	2003 would be the base year?
19	A. I thought it would be highly unlikely
20	that the proponent committee would propose that. Now, I
21	don't know about the USDA folks. I think testimony has
22	came out that 2003 sure sounds like a reasonable base
23	year, because there hasn't been any set aside alliance
24	or any of that other kind of stuff. So my what I'm
25	saying is highly doubted that. What I meant by that is
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	7 4 K 1 - 40 4 2

1	I highly doubted the proponent committee would include
2	2003 as a base year.
3	Q. Is the purpose of acquire the 200 acres
4	an effort to improve your base?
5	A. No, because it came after. It came after
6	the base the 2002 growing season. How could it have
7	been?
8	Q. Well, we didn't know then when the base
9	year would be, did we?
10	A. Well, then it was '97 to 2001. And on
11	the department forced you guys to add another year, you
12	didn't do it yourself.
13	Q. So you were aware of copies and prior
14	drafts of the marketing order when you were making these
15	decision to increase
16	A. Well, I think any with the magnitude
17	of impact this could have for me, I think that would be
18	fair to say that I was aware of the document.
19	Q. Let's look at Exhibit 62. Is that the
20	right one with the charts and graphs?
21	***
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, sir.
23	***
24	BY MR. MONAHAN:
25	Q. Okay.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	A. If anything, my purchasing hops in the
2	latter in 2003 into the 2004 growing season would
3	maybe would especially in a situation would probably
4	want me to vote for a marketing order to try and protect
5	my position.
6	Q. I note that the bottom of the first page
7	of Exhibit 62, that in coming up with your projected
8	total allotment you've gone ahead and added about
9	900,000 pounds of alpha to the actual alpha, is that
10	right?
11	A. That would be correct.
12	Q. Dr. Jekanowski did a little factor
13	upwards. He chose five percent, do you remember that?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. Why did you chose to add twice as much as
16	Dr. Jekanowski?
17	A. Well, Dr. Jekanowski didn't I don't
18	think he understood. I think he was too conservative.
19	I don't think he understood the hardship clause and I
20	don't think he understood the situation that occurred in
21	Washington in the year 2001 with the hailstorm.
22	Q. Okay. So there's three percent of it.
23	What he had a five percent efficiency factor, is that
24	what you'd call it?
25	A. Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q. And you chose seven percent?
2	A. I did.
3	Q. Why is that?
4	A. That was a number that me and Doug
5	McKinnon [ph] at BC [ph] came up with. And that you
6	know, that was that's we had to apply some
7	percentage, because there's going to be each grower
8	gets to pick their individual highest year. So there's
9	as like in Washington, as we've heard testimony,
10	we've seen some growers that have gone way down and
11	we've seen that some have gone way up. And so there's
12	going to be even a greater every there's going to
13	be even a greater amount alpha pounds wrung out of the
14	wet towel, so to speak.
15	Q. Any other
16	A. So
17	Q. Any other mistakes in Dr. Jekanowski's
18	presentation you can point out for me?
19	A. This is just meant to be this is
20	***
21	MR. MOODY: Wait a minute. The fact that the
22	two
23	MR. MONAHAN: I'll
24	MR. MOODY:model makers
25	MR. MONAHAN: I'll withdraw the question.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. MOODY: Thanks, Brendan.
2	***
3	BY MR. MONAHAN:
4	Q. I have in your Exhibit 62 does not
5	show actual alpha production for Oregon. Have you taken
6	the time to consider one way or the other whether this
7	projected alpha allotment for Oregon would increase the
8	market share of those aroma growers?
9	A. What do you mean by having taken
10	restate the first part of the question?
11	Q. I didn't mean it to be a critique, it was
12	a question. I'm sorry.
13	A. Yeah, okay. Okay.
14	Q. Have you taken the time or considered
15	whether the manner in which this alpha adjustment
16	applies to excuse me the aroma adjustment works
17	would result in Oregon having a greater alpha allotment
18	than they actually produce?
19	A. Currently?
20	Q. Yeah.
21	A. I don't know, I didn't do that number.
22	Q. Okay. And in adding 900,000 pounds to
23	the actual production, you've essentially added a number
24	that's, well, about 80 percent more than all of Idaho
25	produced? Do I have that right?
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	A. Yeah. I'd also
2	***
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is it 80 percent
4	more?
5	MR. MONAHAN: Yes. Idaho produced, according
6	to these numbers and this is even adjusted upwards
7	for aroma 537,429 pounds of alpha. And Mr. Desmarais,
8	because of his 10 percent efficiency factor and hardship
9	factors would add 900,000 pounds.
10	THE WITNESS: I'd also note that, you know, I
11	was under my definition of when I did this I you
12	know, was the proponents committee definition producer
13	of the infrastructure. We've only needed an
14	infrastructure to apply for base and that could change
15	this and I would imagine that would change it in an
16	upward way.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I have to back up,
18	Mr. Monahan. You're talking about Idaho rather than
19	Oregon?
20	MR. MONAHAN: There I was talking about Idaho,
21	Your Honor.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.
23	MR. MONAHAN: And again, Mr. Puterbaugh may
24	correct my math, I thought it was I just thought that
25	900,000 was 80 percent higher than about 537.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. But I
2	thought you'd talked about Oregon.
3	MR. MONAHAN: That was a prior question, Your
4	Honor.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And did
6	you give a percentage with regard to Oregon?
7	MR. MONAHAN: No, I had asked a question as to
8	whether their market share would increased and, in fact,
9	whether their allotment of alpha was more than the alpha
10	they actual produced.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I remember now.
12	All right. Thanks. I didn't track you changing from
13	Oregon to Idaho and that's why I was confused.
14	MR. MONAHAN: Okay.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
16	MR. MONAHAN: Thanks for helping me clarify
17	the record.
18	THE WITNESS: Each individual Oregon grower
19	can take his own numbers. That would be the most
20	accurate way. And this chart was provided to all the
21	Oregon growers, the opponents. We provided it to them a
22	year ago. And so they've had a year to look at it and
23	figure out their own situation. So I assuming from
24	as many of them that are against the proposal, I'm
25	assuming that they don't seem to stand to gain a lot
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	from this, or at least what they perceive to gain.
2	MR. MONAHAN: I have nothing further, Your
3	Honor.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
5	Monahan. Any other question from those who are here in
6	a position in favor of a marketing order?
7	MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'd like to ask a
8	couple questions.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Mr.
10	Smith, would you come forward and find a microphone?
11	***
12	BY MR. SMITH:
13	Q. Thank you. Mr. Desmarais, is it okay if
14	I call you Eric? Thanks. Eric, I really don't to pry
15	into any of your personal business arrangements and
16	dealings. I think there's been way too much of that
17	done in these hearing so far. But I'm curious. You've
18	heard the testimony through the hearing about spot hops
19	versus contract hops and so forth, and the statement's
20	been several times by opponents not necessarily
21	opponents, but by growers, that hops shouldn't be grown
22	if they don't have a contract. Would you agree or
23	disagree with that statement?
24	A. I think each individual farmer needs to
25	make their own decision on whether to grow hops, whether
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	to contract it or spots.
2	Q. In the past, though, you I think you
3	testified earlier that you'd been sold, primarily.
4	A. I have. And just I have, because I've
5	chosen that as my mechanism to bring stability to my
6	farm. So I there's other growers who are financially
7	capable of raising lots of spots and potentially and
8	this is a good this year's a great example is I'm
9	not I don't have any inventory, and so I don't have
10	any inventory, I don't have any spots. So I'm going to
11	completely under perform, I guess, relative to, say, the
12	Yakima Chief market pool or one of the other
13	independents that has, you know, spot hops. So for me,
14	I've chose to stay well contracted just because it's
15	what I need to move the ball forward.
16	Q. Do you think that would be your strategy
17	going forward as well?
18	A. You know, it makes it the current
19	situation in the hop industry, there's going to be
20	it's going to be contracts for especially the generic
21	alpha type varieties. Those are going to be that model
22	if that's going to be more difficult to maintain.
23	Q. I see. So you stated, I think, earlier
24	that 75 percent of your 2004 crop is contracted?
25	A. It is.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

1	Q. Is that in 75 percent of the pounds of
2	production or the pounds of alpha?
3	A. No. I do it by acreage, because if you
4	do it by pounds, it doesn't the lower yielding
5	varieties, they completely underestimate your
6	versus the higher yielding varieties completely
7	underestimates the you got to do it by acreage got
8	get an accurate reflection.
9	Q. And so generally speaking, the aroma hops
10	are generally contracted more than the high alpha hops,
11	at least in today's environment.
12	A. Well, I would say every variety,
13	including every variety below the CTZ, Millennium,
14	Warrior, that kind of generic alpha-type hops, even the
15	specialty alphas, those are all those for a large
16	part have contracts associated with them.
17	Q. So then you could make the assumption
18	that while 75 percent of your production is contracted,
19	less than that of your pounds of alpha are contracted?
20	A. I'd have to go back and to the math, but
21	that might not I'd have to see.
22	Q. Well, if the aroma hops are contracted
23	and their the lower alpha
24	A. Yeah, yeah.
25	Q. So if you followed your past practices of York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	being fully contracted going into the next year and you
2	were not able to contract that additional 2004
3	production that's open, it's very possible you wouldn't
4	need anywhere need the 138,600 pounds of alpha, is that
5	correct?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. And if I was just running some quick
8	numbers 75 percent and that was of your alpha, you
9	would only need 104,000 pounds of alpha is what I came
10	up with, which would reduce your need to purchase alpha
I 1	from 79,000 pounds down to 45,000 pounds.
12	A. You're assuming I can't sell the other 25
13	percent.
14	Q. There's a little competition.
15	A. Oh, there is. I do not deny that.
16	Q. A couple other questions and then
17	A. All right.
18	QI'll let you go. You've purchased some
19	land recently, and I'm not really curious necessarily
20	about the individual transactions. Can you give us an
21	idea of the value of hop land today?
22	A. I'm not going to give you that number.
23	Q. Well, just a range. I mean, is it over a
24	\$5,000
25	A. Yes.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Qan acre value?
2	A. Yes, it's a very I think other growers
3	would term it a very favorable deal for that other
4	landowner.
5	Q. Is that if it's a very favorable deal,
6	it's not atypical, then, or it may be atypical that land
7	in the lower valley may be selling for something quite
8	less than that?
9	A. I don't know. All I know is all I
10	know is what I don't know. I'm not plugged into what
11	the lower valley hop land sells for, so I'm not really
12	sure what a number what the Moxee number is. So I
13	know what the Moxee has to be to out compete my
14	neighbors.
15	Q. The value that's been assigned in your
16	model, that's assuming what the value base is going to
17	be, has a tremendous impact, it would appear to me, on
18	what the total cost would be, do you agree?
19	A. Oh, you're right. You're right.
20	Q. So if that was 10 cents per pound, the
21	per pound of hops, bringing in to \$1 a pound for the
22	base, then effectively, the cost would be 20 percent of
23	what you've indicated, isn't that true?
24	A. That's correct. That's correct.
25	Q. And I was just going through some quick
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	numbers and because I've been trying to get an idea
2	if this marketing order came in what the value base
3	would be as well.
4	A. Um-hum.
5	Q. And on high alpha hops, can you give us a
6	rough estimation, just real quick, that total pounds of
7	alpha produced on super alpha?
8	A. Per acre?
9	Q. Yeah.
10	A. A range? I'd say from 4 to 600 pounds an
11	acre.
12	Q. Would you say 450 is kind of the number
13	that's gets thrown around? 3,000 pounds times 15
14	percent.
15	A. Yeah, yeah, that's marginal in today's
16	competitive environment, but I'll give you that.
17	Q. So if the figure that's been utilized
18	here, 50 cents per pound \$5 a pound, we're talking
19	about \$2,250 cost for base is the assumption that you're
20	making. Do you feel that that's a realistic price to
21	use in view of the fact that this industry isn't making
22	any money, that spot hops are below the cost of
23	production. We've had that testified to many times.
24	There is no future contract, so don't you think that's
25	kind of a high value to assign to base when the industry

l.	doesn't make any money?
2	A. Well, not necessarily, because not every
3	producer is buying base just for super alpha hops. Wha
4	if you have I know growers who grow all Galena and
5	Chinook, okay, and that in fact, that's an alpha
6	those are two alpha varieties. And that grower might
7	have to purchase, you know, on that basis. So that
8	might be a reasonable number. So to try and come up
9	what the price of base is going to be is an infinitely
10	complex deal. And I am sure you've been trying hard,
11	but I because everybody's situation's different,
12	because some people might need to buy a base for aroma
13	varieties, some might need to buy a base of alpha
14	varieties, some might need to buy a base for specialty
15	alpha varieties. So they all have a different cost
16	structure.
17	Q. I recognize that, but without getting
18	into the we've talked about before that if a grower
19	is primarily growing aroma hops, he's going to have
20	plenty of aches, would you agree?
21	A. It depends on you know, once again, i
22	depends on his past production history.
23	***
24	MR. SMITH: I have no further questions, Your
25	Honor. Thank you, Eric.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
2	Smith. Are there other questions from those who are in
3	a position in favor of a marketing order? There are
4	none. Are there neutral questions? I'll start with
5	USDA. Dr. Hinman?
6	***
7	BY DR. HINMAN:
8	Q. I think it's safe to say you have risen
9	to the ranks of a large grower?
10	A. That would be correct.
11	Q. I do have a question just to clarify your
12	example
13	A. Okay.
14	Qfor the total market. What each of
15	the examples here basically, if you could help me
16	with the term generic alpha versus specialty alpha.
17	What do those terms actually mean here in this case?
18	A. Okay. Let's see. Okay. Well, I guess
19	they're how I classify the hop market, I classify it
20	in three different classes. I think there's a generic -
21	- there's brewers out there that use generic alpha,
22	primarily overseas. And the only type of hops that can
23	compete in that arena is the ones that can produce the
24	most alpha pounds per acre. Then there is a second
25	range of alpha hops, which I'll refer to as specialty
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	alpha hops, and those are usually grown for a specific
2	brewer demand. And so just as a I just broke it out
3	that way just because that's how I look at. Then the
4	third category would be aroma hops and that would be
5	anything kind of below the this is in my mind 10
6	percent alpha or less. So that's how I break it down.
7	I just did it that way well, I did it that way really
8	because the other aspect of this order that has we've
9	all been talking about aroma and alpha. The alpha side
10	of the market is a little bit more complicated than just
11	saying the alpha side. The there's a whole realm of
12	alpha hop varieties that are special hop varieties, such
13	as Galena. Galena goes to a handful of brewers around
14	the world and is a very specifically demanded alpha
15	variety of hop. Chinook goes all to Coors. It's a very
16	specifically demanded alpha hop. So, you know, somebody
17	when they're saying they're raising alpha or aroma, they
18	could be when they say alpha, they could be growing
19	all Galenas and Chinook, which are every bit as
20	varieties that are every bit as independent of each
21	other than maybe some of the aroma hops. Now, there's a
22	degree of substitutability as you go higher up the alpha
23	chain, there's no doubt about that. But some of these
24	other varieties are highly these alpha varieties are
25	highly specialized.

1	Q. Could you name just a few of what you
2	include in high alpha other?
3	A. That's a line item on the HGA stat
4	packet, I believe, and it's for all proprietary
5	varieties and experimental varieties and stuff like
6	that. I think they break it out by high alpha, alpha
7	and aroma or something like that.
8	Q. In the estimated an alpha column, these
9	are interesting figures for us. Would it be could
10	you say in terms of your perception of industry views
1.1	I know this is a highly debatable topic we've heard.
12	A. Um-hum.
13	Q. If you put a certain percentage on either
14	side of those, one percent up and down, would that, in
15	your view, represent entry consensus on that? Two
16	percent on each
17	A. Yeah. I think all those numbers are plus
18	or minus one.
19	Q. That would represent many growers
20	would agree, many growers
21	A. Yeah, I think so. I think so. I mean,
22	you know, it's in the proponent's best interest to drive
23	the level of projected total on the down. It's in the
24	opponent's best interest to drive the projected total
25	allotment up. So you know I tried to come up with
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

something fairly reasonable and I think most growers in the room would agree that one percent on either side of those numbers is pretty close.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And then one final question for clarification. On page two of that the -- could you explain again the -- that's assumed inventory -- why there is nothing in that column?
- Well, when I first did this, there was -you know, one of the big reasons supposedly for the marketing order was -- and it was for the -- it was because there was so much inventory buildup. And so with the crop disaster it's been stated by numerous merchants in that a lot of that inventory's going to be able to move into the system in the next six to eight months. So for coming up with salable levels to be conservative, I guess, to come up with a conservative salable number, I just took it out of there, because we were -- that was one part of the projection where we were making some pretty large assumptions, because nobody has knowledge of what's actually out there. in the interest of keeping the numbers as clean as I could, I just got rid of it. Because it -- if you -basically, the I think of it is, is if you put some numbers in that column, it essentially just drags the salable down.

* * *
DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr.
Desmarais.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there other
questions from USDA representatives? There are none.
Are there any other questions from anyone for Mr.
Desmarais? Mr. Moody?
MR. MOODY: Yes. I'm going to hand out a
document, Your Honor.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right.
MR. MOODY: Or have one of my colleagues
hopefully do it.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Let's
go off record while you do that.
* * *
[Off the Record]
[On the Record]
* * *
MR. MOODY: It would be Exhibit 64.
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. Mr. Moody,
I've marked the exhibit as Exhibit 64 and it's on Hop
Growers of America letterhead.
MR. MOODY: Right. And what this is, Your
Honor, is we've looked some more in response to
Brendan's concern that other hop contract might've been
York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ı	a draft and this is the one that was illustry sent out.
2	And I have not analyzed it carefully to see if there
3	were any changes in it, but this is the one that was
4	this is concerning that commitment to vote on the
5	federal marketing order. Anyway, this is the one that
6	was finally sent out. And I'm going to ask there are
7	a couple questions about it.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may.
9	***
10	BY MR. MOODY:
11	Q. Eric, do you see your name on this letter
12	at the bottom?
13	A. I certainly do and that's probably what
14	Mr. Monahan was referring to. I would say it's the
15	same. It's probably safe to assume I hadn't resigned
16	yet.
17	Q. Okay. All right. But this is the group
18	these people are the group that you were part of that
19	he was mentioning?
20	A. I believe so.
21	Q. Okay. And this is the document that was
22	sent out?
23	A. I believe so. That's my understanding.
24	I didn't sign it, so I don't know, but I believe so,
25	yes.
	V-t Standardia Carriaga I-

1	Q. All right. And then from the information
2	you later saw posted on hopnet, which is it's a PNET
3	[ph], which is the, you know, industry computer base and
4	chat forum. Your the industry was kept up to date
5	regularly as to how many contracts were signed and sent
6	back and what percent that represented?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. Mr. Monahan cleverly attempted to
9	get you to agree that belonging to the Hop Union was
0	like base. Okay. So let's explore that for just a
11	second. Let's look at just a couple of differences.
12	First of all, you pay to get in the Hop Union, but
13	you're getting service back for that money, correct?
14	You paid it in effect to yourself, you're the owner
15	you're still the owner of that money, is that correct?
16	A. Sure.
17	Q. If you had to buy base from some other
18	grower, that'd be just, you know, transferring wealth to
19	somebody else.
20	A. Exactly.
21	Q. Okay. Isn't it also true that you're
22	allowed and permitted to sell the rest of your hops
23	anywhere you want?
24	A. I am and I do. I have several aroma
25	varieties that I deliver both to the Hop Union and to
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	outside sources.
2	Q. So this investment is just part of your
3	overall market strategy?
4	A. True.
5	Q. All right.
6	A. And, well, some of my expansion is due to
7	the purchase of this business, too.
8	Q. All right. Is there any reason you can
9	think of to equate your investment from not being in
10	with buying base and culling and both the right to
11	market hops?
12	A. I don't think there's any connection at
13	all.
14	Q. There was some testimony from another
15	witness this afternoon. I believe it was Mr. Hogue that
16	said that lenders were expressing some concern. I
17	gather you, you know, meet with bankers all the time.
18	Are you able to obtain necessary financing for your hop
19	operations?
20	A. I am, but it's a full-time job.
21	Q. You mentioned or maybe it was in
22	response to Brendan's questions. This alliance pool,
23	now, is that where several of you have pooled your hops
24	and then a committee set a price on that pool?
25	A. Yeah. I don't have specific
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	recollection, but if I remember right, it was there
2	was it kind of stratified or stratified spheres or
3	lines of and they were priced at certain levels and
4	people would put their hop their variety in and pick
5	the pricing level that they wanted, something along
6	those lines. I don't remember, specifically, though.
7	Q. Wasn't there some committee effort to set
8	a price?
9	A. That I don't specifically recall that.
10	Q. Do you recall whether they cleared out
11	the pool?
12	A. That I don't know, because I wasn't
13	intimately involved with it, so I don't know if they
14	cleared out the pool. I'm not sure.
15	Q. Okay. You don't deal with those that
16	group anymore?
17	A. No.
18	***
19	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thank you very much.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Did you ask him all
21	your questions about Exhibit 64? Did you
22	MR. MOODY: Yes. I don't know if we moved the
23	other one into evidence yet, the earlier the draft
24	version.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You did and that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	was objected to and I said I would reserve my ruling.
2	Are you willing to withdraw Exhibit 44?
3	MR. MOODY: I don't mind if it stays to
4	accompany the record. You know, that doesn't bother me.
5	But this is the one I wanted in as evidence.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would you have any
7	objection if I decided to reject Exhibit 44?
8	MR. MOODY: No, just as long as it accompanies
9	the record.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. I do
11	reject Exhibit 44. Is there any objection or does
12	anyone wish to voir dire this witness about Exhibit 64?
13	Let me start with if anybody wants to voir dire the
14	witness about 64 or ask any questions to follow up what
15	Mr. Moody has just covered?
16	MR. MONAHAN: I'll have some questions, Your
17	Honor, but I think they can wait for recross.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. All right.
19	You may begin your recross, Mr. Monahan. You're done
20	aren't you, Mr. Moody?
21	MR. MOODY: Yes, Your Honor.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. You may
23	begin, Mr. Monahan.
24	***
25	BY MR. MONAHAN:
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q. I just want to ask you about a couple of
2	the statements that I see in Exhibit 64. Again, this is
3	written from Hop Growers of America, signed by Douglas
4	McKinnon.
5	A. Um-hum.
6	Q. And you worked with Mr. McKinnon a fair
7	amount, have you not?
8	A. Yeah. Yes.
9	Q. The first sentence states, we have an
10	unhealthy industry and we must conquer our own
11	challenges as American hop growers. Sir, when you were
12	member of the production management team, was that a
13	sentence you agreed with a statement you agreed with?
14	A. Well, my name's on the document that I
15	think I believed at that time that I was willing to look
16	at ways to maybe conquer that challenge.
17	Q. Did you get a copy of Exhibit 64 when it
18	was
19	A. I did not.
20	Q. This is he first time you've seen it?
21	A. Yeah, at this hearing. Well, I don't
22	know. I don't know if they I'm sure they mailed it
23	out to everybody in the industry, so I'm sure I had
24	received it then.
25	O In the second paragraph it says you will

1	find below a list a growers who participate in the
2	development of this plan along with their phone numbers.
3	And please do not hesitate to contact any team member
4	with any questions or comments. Did anybody call you
5	about this?
6	A. I don't believe so or they got the wrong
7	phone number.
8	Q. Oh.
9	A. So
10	Q. So if they did call you, you wouldn't
11	know about it?
12	A. They wouldn't have known about it.
13	Q. The second paragraph states that HGA
14	production management team has devoted countless hours
15	to formulate a plan based on industry input and grower
16	comments. Were you part of that effort in devoting
17	those countless hours?
18	A. Oh, I think I've already testified that I
19	provided some input early in the process.
20	Q. And it says all the terms presented I
21	presume I'll take Mr. Moody for his word that the
22	three-page document attached to this letter is what was
23	enclosed with it. It says all the terms presented in
24	the attached document were analyzed and discussed at
25	length by the committee over the last two months. You
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	participated in those lengthy discussions and analyses,
2	did you not?
3	A. Well, I believe it says over the last two
4	months, so this was dated, you know, some time you
5	know, March, right at the beginning of March here. Or 1
6	don't know when this was dated, but, you know, certainly
7	I would've had some time you know, that would've
8	overlapped maybe some of the earlier you know, there
9	might be some overlap, sure.
10	Q. He indicates Mr. McKinnon does, that
11	the attached document has been analyzed and discussed at
12	length. And I should just ask you, the three-page
13	document that appears at pages two, three and four of
14	Exhibit 64, that was something that you had read,
15	review, analyzed, discussed at length, is it not?
16	A. I haven't. This document, I believe, was
17	if I understand it right, it was drawn up by someone
18	in your firm, and I was not at the meetings when they
19	formulated this language.
20	Q. When's the first time you saw this?
21	A. Like I said, I'm sure I saw it when HG
22	mailed it out to everybody in the industry, so that
23	would probably be the first time I saw it.
24	Q. Explain the last sentence of Mr.
25	McKinnon's letter. And I'll read it into the record.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	"Only once the 6,500 acre goal has been reached and the
2	contracts become binding will the USDA provide the HGA
3	with a copy of the actual contracts." Do you know what
4	that means?
5	A. I believe the goal the stated goal was
6	that you had to they had to reach 6,500 acres in the
7	industry the United States industry for this contract
8	I mean, it says to become binding. And we've heard
9	testimony that from what I understand, that that goal
10	was reached.
11	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to I'm
12	sorry. I referred to it as a three-page document, but
13	apparently there's printing on both sides of some pages.
14	What is marked as page two of the hop producer
15	agreement, paragraph four.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. Federal hop marketing order. Can you
18	read that into the record, sir?
19	A. "Producer does hereby irrevocably agree
20	to vote in favor of or otherwise affirmatively support a
21	commencement of procedures by the secretary of
22	agriculture to adopt a federal marketing under the
23	provisions of 7USCA-608C, as long as a proposed federal
24	hop marketing order includes substantially the following
25	features."
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Q. Unless someone would like you to read the
2	features, I just want you to concentrate on that part.
3	A. Okay. All right.
4	Q. Would you agree that this language was
5	what Mr. McKinnon and the other members of the
6	production management team inserted to see if there was
7	support for creating a proponents committee?
8	A. I have no idea why I don't have enough
9	information to answer that question.
10	Q. You don't remember discussing it and
11	analyzing it at length?
12	A. No. Like I said, I never discussed I
13	never saw this document until it was mailed to me by
14	Doug McKinnon.
15	Q. Did you sign it?
16	A. I did not.
17	* * *
18	MR. MONAHAN: That's all I have.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
20	you, Mr. Monahan. Are there any other questions from
21	anyone? Is there any objection to the admission into
22	evidence of Exhibit 62, which is the colorful bar graph
23	chart, a multi-page document? There is none. Exhibit
24	62 is hereby admitted into evidence. Is there any
25	objection to the admission into evidence of Exhibit 63,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	which is the one-page hop marketing order projections?
2	There is none. Exhibit 63 is hereby admitted into
3	evidence. Ms. Deskins?
4	MS. DESKINS: Just one question. Did Mr.
5	Desmarais want to add a correction here that his figures
6	do include additional acreage for the 2004 base year?
7	MR. DESMARAIS: I don't I mean, if the
8	department would like that for further clarification, I
9	can add that, if that's it doesn't make any
10	difference to me.
11	MS. DESKINS: We were just asking if you want
12	to do that, if not, that's fine with us.
13	MR. DESMARAIS: It's fine how it is, I think.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And is
15	there any objection to that being admitted? That's 63.
16	Mr. Carswell?
17	MR. CARSWELL: I just wanted to clarify,
18	though, that doesn't affect your base allotment,
19	correct? Because you acquired the acreage in 2003.
20	MR. DESMARAIS: Yeah. No, that has no bearing
21	on the calculation. It just indicates what I need to
22	fulfill my anticipated 2004, you know, base allotment.
23	MR. CARSWELL: Thank you.
24	MR. MONAHAN: I'm just going to object to the
25	foundation of the question.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. The
2	objection is noted. Thank you. Exhibit 63 is hereby
3	admitted into evidence. Is there any objection to the
4	admission into evidence of Exhibit 64? There is none.
5	Exhibit 64 is hereby admitted into evidence. Mr.
6	Desmarais, is there anything additional you'd like to
7	add?
8	MR. DESMARAIS: No.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thank
10	you very much. You may step down. I'd like to talk a
11	little bit about scheduling now that it's 4:11. The
12	court reported is going to need some time to break down
13	the equipment and remove it from the room and there may
14	be people here who are technologically advanced who
15	could help with that. Some of these microphones belong
16	to the hotel, some belong to him. I'd like to know how
17	many other witnesses we expect. Mr. Monahan, you have
18	two growers and rebuttal by Mr. Smith?
19	MR. MONAHAN: I have two growers and I'd like
20	a moment to confer with Mr. Smith.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. And
22	you'll confer with Mr. Smith. Mr. Moody, how many more
23	witnesses would you like to call?
24	MR. MOODY: Well, I guess I'm concerned that
25	we're willing to give up a couple, but I'm just
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	concerned that I thought there were three or four
2	more that had come that needed to be heard today from
3	Brendan's side.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Two more from
5	Brendan's side. How many from yours?
6	MR. MOODY: Nobody.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ah, we're going to
8	make it. That's great. Let me announce for the record
9	the time that was consumed on Wednesday 22, October,
10	2003. The time I had not yet reported for that day
11	began at 1:04 in the afternoon and ended at 8:26 that
12	evening. On that day, the time consumed by those
13	speaking in favor a marketing order was one hour and a
14	quarter. The time consumed by those in a position
15	against the marketing order was four and a half hours.
16	That was primarily cross-examination of Mr. Roy. All
17	right. Mr. Monahan, would this be a good time to take a
18	break?
19	MR. MONAHAN: My sentiments exactly.
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Let's
21	take a 10 minute break. Please be back and ready to go
22	at 4:23.
23	***
24	[Off the Record]
25	[On the Record]
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We're back on
3	record at 4:25. This is the last time I expect to
4	announce time consumed. Time consumed since 2:18 this
5	afternoon is an hour by those in favor of the marketing
6	order and 45 minutes by those against. All right. Mr.
7	Monahan, you may call your next witness.
8	MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The
9	proponents call Doug McKinnon. That was a practical
10	joke. I'm sorry. Proponents call Dan Newhouse. And
11	just, Your Honor, for planning purposes, the proponents
12	will call Dan Newhouse. Our final witness will be
13	Darren Gamache and we will present no rebuttal
14	testimony.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Mr.
16	Newhouse, I'm going to mark your exhibit as Exhibit 65.
17	And is there one that you consider the original?
18	MR. NEWHOUSE: Okay.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: This one? Would
20	you sign that for me and I'll make that the record copy?
21	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, while we're doing
22	procedural things, maybe it would be good to know for
23	the parties that they will have an opportunity to file
24	briefs, so they will have a chance to make more
25	arguments and it's not necessary to do it now.
 -	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

l	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. That's
2	good. Ms. Deskins, would you just briefly outline what
3	will happen once we conclude today?
4	MS. DESKINS: Okay. Once the hearing is
5	closed and the transcript is ready, a briefing schedule
6	will be set today and you'll have an opportunity to
7	review the transcript and to file a brief in which you
8	can state whatever conclusions or arguments that you
9	want. After that, the department will consider the
10	record, what you've filed and issue a recommended
I 1	decision. Once the recommended the decision is filed,
12	you'll have a chance to file what's called exceptions to
13	that. Now, depending on what the recommended decision
14	says will depend on what the next step if it does
15	find that there's evidence for a marketing order, then
16	the steps would be to consider whether to vote on the
17	order by the producers. If the department decides not
18	to issue an order, that would be the end of it.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ms. Deskins, where
20	will people find the transcript on a website?
21	MS. DESKINS: Okay. It's going to be on the
22	USDA website. If you go to www.usda.gov, and then if
23	you look on there, there's sections for different
24	agencies. This is being conducted by the Agricultural
25	Marketing Service. Find that site and if you click that
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	on, there's various sections and one is fruit and
2	vegetables, click that on and there should be a section
3	that'll say hops. If you click that on, the transcript
4	and exhibits will be available there. I can't say when,
5	because it depends on when the transcript comes in. And
6	also, Your Honor, for those locally, the transcript and
7	the exhibits will be available at the Portland office
8	the Agricultural Marketing Service and a copy will be
9	available in Washington D.C. at the hearing clerk's
10	office, which is located in the USDA building on 12th
11	and Independence Avenue, Southwest.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you very
13	much. Now, with regard to the schedule for any
14	proposals for changes to the transcript and briefs, I'd
15	like to tie that to the time beginning when the
16	transcript appears on the USDA website. Now, first, the
17	transcript has to be presented to the hearing clerk. My
18	experience in a lengthy hearing like this is that it
19	probably will not be there for a month. So it will
20	probably be at least a month before that transcript will
21	even get to the hearing clerk. The hearing clerk will
22	then forward to the Agricultural Marketing Service a
23	copy of it. Only then can the effort begin to put in on
24	the website. So the time for you to suggest corrections
25	to the transcript and submit briefs should be a
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

l	deadline, a certain number of days after the transcript
2	and exhibits first appear on the website. Does anyone
3	have a suggestion for how we might proceed? I can
4	estimate that and set a date certain if you would be
5	most comfortable doing that way. That probably is the
6	best. I would estimate that those documents will not
7	appear on the website until about six weeks from now.
8	So that would put in about the middle of December the
9	middle of December. So the briefs and the corrections
10	to the transcripts need to be due some date in January
11	where you have not had to work through your holidays.
12	Mr. Moody?
13	MR. MOODY: Well, I was going to say February
14	15, but since Your Honor has offered up January, I'll
15	say January 30 or 31, if that's a workday.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Good. Ms. Dec may
17	have a 2004 calendar. I do not. I don't have any
18	objection to February if it you know, if you need
19	that much time. I don't know it's going to get us
20	into a new growing season and we'll be in a whole
21	different marketing year, but I don't know if there's
22	any help for that. Mr. Deskins?
23	MS. DESKINS: January 30 is a Friday.
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. And that
25	would be a date that your briefs and your proposed
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ı	Corrections to the transcript should be fired with the
2	hearing clerk. And I would advise you to be aware that
3	if you use mail, even if you use next-day delivery
4	postal service, that will be diverted for irradiation
5	and will be delayed and will probably miss the filing
6	date. So I would suggest that you use a next-day
7	delivery such as FedEx or UPS, that way you can count on
8	it reaching the hearing clerk in time. So I will set
9	the filing date the filing deadline. You don't have
10	to wait until the deadline, you can send it early. I
11	would suggest that you use Friday, January 30, 2004. Is
12	there any objection to that? There is none. I would
13	suggest that you also distribute copies of your
14	suggested transcript corrections and brief to one
15	another. Those you who have who are counsel and will
16	be submitting those, you would have the addresses of
17	other counsel, and I would suggest that you do that. I
18	think it would be very helpful. All right. Anything
19	further on that? Mr. Monahan?
20	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, I would just ask Mr.
21	Newhouse to introduce himself and to if he has been
22	sworn to address the statement that he has prepared.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Newhouse has
24	been sworn. Let me refresh myself as to when that was.
25	MR. NEWHOUSE: That's a long time ago.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes, Mr. Newhouse,
2	you were sworn on 16 October, 2003. So if you would
3	again state your full name?
4	MR. NEWHOUSE: My name is Daniel M. Newhouse.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. And
6	spell your name.
7	MR. NEWHOUSE: D-a-n-i-e-l, the letter M,
8	N-e-w-h-o-u-s-e.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. You
10	remain sworn and I have marked your exhibit as Exhibit
11	65. Do you wish to begin by reading your statement into
12	the record?
13	MR. NEWHOUSE: In the interest of time, I've
14	just a brief statement and then I'll read my prepared
15	text.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.
17	MR. NEWHOUSE: I would just like to say that I
18	began in the hop growing business myself in 1979, lured
19	back to the farm by the prospect of a constant and
20	stable hop market and it's been a wild ride ever since.
21	And for the record, I'm of Dutch extraction, one of the
22	few in the industry, which isn't too far from the
23	country of France, I guess. I've been involved in the
24	industry over the last 24 years serving on the hop
25	growers Washington board, as well as the Hop Growers of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., Vork, PA 17401 (717) 854 0077

1	America. From my prepared text I II just take a minute,
2	since I know that we're pushing up against the deadline.
3	Thank you for the opportunity to express my support for
4	the proposed hop marketing order. I come from a large
5	hop growing family starting the 1940's. There were at
6	one time seven Newhouse families growing hops on 2,000
7	acres in the same area southwest of the city of
8	Sunnyside. Today there are three of us left, last year
9	raising lest than 600 acres. My own farm is reduced to
.0	less than half of what we formally raised. This is a
.1	shrinking industry. Total acres are shrinking, people
12	have left voluntary and involuntarily. An unstable
13	market has cost the industry tremendously, both in
14	financial and human terms. The current market system I
15	predict will continue to have the same affect until the
16	American hop industry is reduced from the 50 or so
17	growers today to just a handful of very large growers.
18	We have been our own worst enemy. Because of our
19	ability to respond to price fluctuations so rapidly
20	compared to other producing regions and the independent
21	nature of the American farmer, we generally have too
22	many hops chasing too few markets. Many in the industry
23	understand this as a problem. No one believes
24	everything is fine, we just have different opinions as
25	to the solution. Many of us have come to the conclusion
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	9 4 3 1 4 4 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

1	through years of experiencing these losses and
2	discussing with our fellow growers what can or should be
3	done that a new hop marketing order would give us the
4	tools necessary to return stability to our industry.
5	Has this been an easy conclusion? No, it hasn't. We
6	actually wanted something, anything but another order.
7	A voluntary solution without government involvement
8	would've been the best way to go. As you have heard, we
9	tried that. While many participated in a voluntary set
10	aside effort to reduce the surplus that plagued our
11	industry, others actually increased production. It has
12	become painfully obvious that as an industry we needed
13	the structure that a federal order provides. There have
14	been concerns that an order will restrict supply to
15	artificially increase prices and in the process lose
16	market share to foreign producers. I disagree with that
17	notion. First of all, the order will manage any over or
18	undersupply. More closely aligning supply with demand,
19	in my mind, is not restricting, but is balancing. If
20	that has a positive affect on prices, that would be a
21	good thing. But keep in mind that in an international
22	market our prices must reflect the worldwide situation.
23	If prices rise higher than the market, we will hear that
24	message. No one is suggesting we at any time produce
25	less than our consumers require. That would be foolish.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St. Vork DA 17401 (717) 954 0077

1	However, producing more than our markets can absorb does
2	not garner any extra market share is equally foolish.
3	As of our personal situation, we would be better off if
4	the period used to determine base would go back more
5	than five years. We started reducing our acreage 10
6	years ago, while others have increased or remained
7	constant. Even so, I believe we would be better off at
8	a reduced production position than our historical high
9	and be provided the opportunity to continue producing
10	than remain as we are. Many have expressed concerns of
11	government intervention in our industry. I respect that
12	concern. In my view, a federal marketing order is only
13	a tool that we can use and industry to manage our
14	oversupply. It will run by us, decision will be made by
15	us and we will be responsible to ourselves. Is it
16	perfect? No. Does it guarantee success of any
17	individual or the industry? No. Does it prevent the
18	possibility of us making mistakes? No. But I believe
19	it offers the best chance for us an industry to remain
20	competitive and survive. I'm asking not that you have
21	heard the cases presented for and against that you take
22	the next logical step. Allow us, the industry, to vote
23	on whether or not an order is the solution we need. A
24	referendum will decide this issue and we can then go
25	forward, whatever the result, together working for the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	future of any industrial mhank
1	future of our industry. Thank you.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
3	Newhouse. Does anyone object to the admission into
4	evidence of Exhibit 65? Exhibit 65 is hereby admitted
5	into evidence. Mr. Newhouse, is there anything further
6	you'd like to add before I open the floor to questions?
7	MR. NEWHOUSE: I don't think so.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Are
9	there any questions for Mr. Newhouse? Are there any
10	questions from anyone for Mr. Newhouse? Dr. Hinman, do
11	you need to ask yours?
12	DR. HINMAN: It came around so quickly this
13	time I guess I wasn't quite ready, but, yes. Dan Mr.
14	Newhouse, can you answer the question about the your
15	size relative to the \$750,000 threshold between small
16	and large grower according to the Small Business
17	Administration?
18	MR. NEWHOUSE: With respect to hops?
19	DR. HINMAN: Hop sales first and then total
20	sales of all agricultural commodities.
21	MR. NEWHOUSE: With respect to hops, we would
22	be consider a small grower. However, our overall
23	operation, we would fall into the large grower category.
24	DR. HINMAN: Thank you very much.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Are
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	there any other questions for Mr. Newhouse? This is
2	definitely the time of the proceeding to testify.
3	MR. NEWHOUSE: I would say so.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: You may step down.
5	I wish Mr. Roy had thought of that.
6	MR. ROY: I'll remember next time.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Monahan, you
8	may call you next witness.
9	MR. MONAHAN: Our last witness, as it were,
10	Your Honor. Proponents call Darren Gamache.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Gamache, while
12	those copies are being distributed, would you please
13	state and spell your name?
14	MR. GAMACHE: My name's Darren Gamache,
15	D-a-r-r-e-n, middle initial A, Gamache, G-a-m-a-c-h-e.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would
17	you raise your right hand, please?
18	***
19	[Witness sworn]
20	***
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Would
22	like to begin by reading your statement into the record?
23	Does it contain enough of background so we'll understand
24	where you're coming from?
25	MR. GAMACHE: I think it does.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. 2 proceed. 3 MR. GAMACHE: My name is Darren Gamache and I'm a fourth-generation Washington hop grower. I am 4 currently employed as a controller, quality control 5 6 supervisor and general jack-of-all-trades by Virgil 7 Gamache Farms, Incorporated, a company owned by my 8 father and his brothers. I have been around hops and 9 hop growing all of my life. However, it has only been 10 within the last five years that I have actively 11 participated at the industry level. Since I started participating it has become apparent to me that the 12 13 industry requires the stability of an industry-wide 14 marketing order. I justify this opinion based on the 15 following observations. Over the last 10 years a 16 certain level of industry consolidation has occurred. This consolidation is not limited to just the producer, 17 18 it also encompasses the handler and end-user. I cite 19 the recent merger of Hocking [ph] in USA with the John 20 Barth Company, and the recent purchase of Miller Brewing Company by South African Breweries. The significance of 21 22 these mergers to a producer and handler is that the amount of product necessary to fill a sale is much 23 greater, thus the investment in infrastructure must be 24 25 large enough to had the large increases of throughput. York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	However, conversely, if the sale is not made, large gaps			
2	in throughput also appear, thus affecting the health of			
3	those firms involved. A marketing order will slow down			
4	the number of large volume shifts within the industry.			
5	Secondly, the industry has experienced a severe loss of			
6	equity due to the current market instability. Two farms			
7	of very similar sizes, 212 acres and 208 acres,			
8	respectively, and production capacities similar			
9	production capacities were sold. The first was sold six			
10	years ago in 1997 and the second sold this last spring			
11	of 2003. The first farm sold to a local hop grower for			
12	\$2.4 million, while the second and most recent sale was			
13	to handler for \$850,000. The seller of the most recent			
14	sale felt that he should've received 40 percent more for			
15	his hop farm and equipment, but due to the recent			
16	overproduction of hops, he received substantially less.			
17	An active hop marketing order would've provided the			
18	secondary affects of equity stability. This is an			
19	important concept, especially when operations outweigh			
20	the benefits of reinvestment or recapitalization in the			
21	industry. And, of course, recapitalization is			
22	absolutely essential to the long-term survivability of			
23	any industry. Lastly, the hop industry has created what			
24	is known in the trade as downstream products. These			
25	products are raw hops that have been processed into			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			
	2.137 .1 (2) (3) 12.1 (3) 1.24(4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3)			

1	forms that are more stable, easier to handle and refined			
2	or have an enhanced potency. These products are not			
3	necessarily new to the industry, but they will have the			
4	same affect on the production acreage that the adoption			
5	of super high alpha hops did. An active hop marketing			
6	order gives the hop industry a tool to keep supply in			
7	balance with demand. Finally, I'd like to thank the			
8	USDA for providing a forum to debate and refine the			
9	concepts surrounding the proposed marketing order.			
10	Thank you.			
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you very			
12	much, Mr. Gamache. I'm going to mark Mr. Gamache's			
13	statement as Exhibit 66. Is there any objection to the			
14	admission into evidence of Exhibit 66? There is none.			
15	Exhibit 66 is hereby admitted into evidence. I would			
16	now open the floor for questions for Mr. Gamache from			
17	anyone. Dr. Hinman?			
18	DR. HINMAN: You've heard me ask the previous			
19	question about the size of the farming operation that			
20	you're involved in, about whether it has in a typical			
21	recent year more or less than \$750,000 of sales from			
22	hops.			
23	MR. GAMACHE: It's more than that.			
24	DR. HINMAN: More than that. Okay. Thank you			
25	very much.			
	37 - 1- OA 1- C 1 7			

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Are there any other
2	questions for Mr. Gamache? There are none. Mr.
3	Gamache, thank you. You may step down.
4	MR. GAMACHE: Thank you.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there any other
6	evidence to come before us in this rulemaking hearing?
7	MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, did you want
8	people have asked Dr. Hinman to provide additional
9	information. The government isn't authoring it. If
10	people want it put in the record, they should state so
11	now.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. He was asked
13	on one of our earlier days in Oregon to provide
14	additional data. And I believe it would be good if you
15	could do that for us now, Dr. Hinman. You remain sworn.
16	But if you'll again state your full name.
17	DR. HINMAN: What this is is a bit of an
18	adjustment to the original statistical package of US
19	hops statistical overview, Exhibit 5. And one matter I
20	want to note one correction, which is on table 5C on
21	page 12, it was noted early that a figure there, Idaho,
22	2002 production was not correct. It is 5519.6 of the
23	misstated figure. That is the correct production figure
24	at the bottom of page 12.
25	MS. DESKINS: Dr. Hinman, these pages that are
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	being handed out, these are to be added to Exhibit #5?
2	DR. HINMAN: Right. I had that one correction
3	to the original statistical package which I've noted.
4	And then what these pages are here will be these are
5	numbered so that they can be just be added to the
6	package, which was up to 22 pages. And so one page was
7	table eight. Basically, the intent was to introduce a
8	longer exhibit of parity prices and we have done so back
9	to 1945. And now we have a complete list from 1945 to
10	2002. And because we gave two pages, it is now labeled
11	16 and 16A, so you can just remove the previous table
12	eight all together, and this is substitute.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.
14	Hinman.
15	DR. HINMAN: And then there's one additional
16	page.
17	MS. DESKINS: Thank you, Dr. Hinman. What
18	we'd like to do for Exhibit 5, in the manner indicated
19	by Dr. Hinman, we'd like to replace page 16 with what's
20	been handed out, which is 16 and 16A, and add page 23.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Very fine. Thank
22	you. I will now do that with the record copy. While
23	you continue to discuss these, if you have anything to
24	add, Dr. Hinman.
25	DR. HINMAN: I'll just add, basically, the
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

ı	titles of the in one additional page, table 13, is		
2	new information, number of US hop farms. And I would		
3	note, you know, for discussion of number of producers,		
4	that this is farms, not producers, and we have here the		
5	biggest testimony that a producer may have more than one		
6	farm. This information from the last two agricultural		
7	censuses.		
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Dr.		
9	Hinman. Does anyone have any questions for Dr. Hinman		
10	with regard to these issues? There are none. I want		
11	to point out that just outside the door are apples that		
12	have been provided equally by proponents and opponents,		
13	so that each one of us here can feel to take as many as		
14	we'd like. And so please help yourselves and I thank		
15	very much those who contributed those for us. Is there		
16	anything further, then, before we Mr. Moody?		
17	MR. MOODY: Yes. I had a pending request for		
18	judicial notice of the record from the 1984 hearing.		
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there any		
20	objection to that?		
21	MR. MOODY: And I would only add to what I		
22	said before, that there's been so much discussion of the		
23	problems and the breakdown in 1984 that is further		
24	documented and explained on that record, that coupled		
25	with fact that, you know, the USDA, as a matter of law,		
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.		
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077		

```
would be to provide a greater than normal showing, given
1
       they terminated the old order, would have a greater than
2
3
       normal showing to reinstitute essentially a similar
       order. I think it is relevant that it be part of this
4
5
       proceeding.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
6
7
       Moody. Ms. Deskins?
8
                 MS. DESKINS: Your Honor, we have to object to
9
       that. Each marketing order, even on the same commodity,
       is based on the rulemaking record of that particular
10
11
       order. The rulemaking record of the previous order
12
       wouldn't be relevant here and we don't think it'd be
13
       appropriate to take judicial notice of it. To the
14
       extent people have testified regarding conditions under
15
       the previous order, we think that's relevant. But we
16
       don't think it would serve a useful purpose to take
       judicial notice of that. And I think the other issue
17
18
       might be -- I don't know how much of that record, the
19
        rulemaking record would be available at this point. I
       think some of it might've been destroyed as part of a
20
21
       document reduction, so I don't know if any of it is
       available.
22
                  MR. MOODY: Can I...
23
                  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Ms.
24
25
                 Mr. Moody?
        Deskins.
```

1	MR. MOODY: Yeah. Actually, the hearing clerk
2	was kind enough to go out to the archive facility to
3	retrieve it from the fire, so the transcript is there,
4	the exhibits are there, the briefs are there. And I
5	went over physically to see it myself and that's why I
6	can attest to the fact that it is there and available to
7	all in the hearing clerk's office.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. I
9	decline to take administrative notice of that record.
10	There is ample evidence before us. To the extent that
11	things have changed in the last 15, 20 yeas, we have
12	extensive discussion from witnesses who were able to
13	bring it into perspective. And so I do decline to take
14	administrative notice of that record and that will not
15	be part of the evidence here. Now, of course, you can
16	argue in your briefs whatever position you'd like to
17	argue with regard to the end of the order. There's one
18	other exhibit that I identified and have not taken into
19	evidence, because we never had the copies and that was
20	the curriculum vitae of Dr. Folwell. Mr. Monahan?
21	MR. MONAHAN: I was able to distribute copies
22	during one of the breaks today, Exhibit 36.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay. I need one
24	as a record copy. Well, I might already have it, but I
25	don't remember. I can check really quickly. Is there
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	any objection to the admission into evidence of Dr.			
2	Folwell's curriculum vitae? There is none. Dr.			
3	Folwell's curriculum vitae is hereby admitted into			
4	evidence. I'm being handed one by Ms. Deskins. Thank			
5	you so much, Ms. Deskins. This will be the record copy.			
6	And our last act will be the turning over of all of the			
7	exhibits from the court reporter to Ms. Dec, which we			
8	will do off record, but we should have exhibits through			
9	66, with the exception of those that were withdrawn.			
10	And I'll monitor to make sure that that's what we have			
11	before we leave here today. Ms. Dec, with regard to			
12	these record copy exhibits, I would like you to deposit			
13	those with the hearing clerk no later than the time that			
14	the hearing clerk has received the last volume of			
15	transcript, that way, by the time the transcript is			
16	available in the hearing clerk's office, the exhibits			
17	will be. And if you'd like if you'd prefer that I			
18	give you a date certain, I'd be happy to do that. But			
19	as I've indicated, I don't think they'll be available			
20	for at least a month.			
21	MS. DEC: I suspect I'll get them down there			
22	long before the transcripts get there.			
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: All right. Thanks			
24	very much. Mr. Moody?			
25	MR. MOODY: For my side of the room, I would			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

1	like to acknowledge the extraordinary passion and depth
2	and honor and thoroughness and even humor of the
3	testimony from the proponents' witnesses. I don't get
4	out much from the beltway and it's been a wonderful
5	education to come and visit with this industry again.
6	And even though that the record clearly demonstrates
7	some sharp disagreements, I think the heartfelt
8	presentations from both sides have been very, very
9	compelling.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well said and I
11	agree with you. Mr. Carswell?
12	MR. CARSWELL: I just want to add my agreement
13	to all of those sentiments and also to the civility in
14	which everybody acted, and I would include both the
15	opponents and the proponents, USDA and Your Honor in
16	that as well.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you, Mr.
18	Carswell. I appreciate all the good work and all the
19	thoughtfulness and the great deal of collective wisdom
20	here. It's interesting, even with this much collective
21	wisdom that there can be such sharp disagreement and I
22	do not envy the secretary in making the decision.
23	MR. MONAHAN: Your Honor, there were 29 five
24	to four decisions in the Supreme Court last year.

l		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Good point.	Thank
2	you all.	We'll adjourn at 4:57.		
3		***		
4	[End of E	Proceedings]		

1	CERTIFI	CALE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER AND PROOFREADER
2		
3		
4	IN RE:	HOPS PRODUCERS FOR WASHINGTON, OREGON, IDAHO,
5	IN IND.	AND CALIFORNIA
6		THE CHILL CHARLE
7	HELD AT:	Yakima, Washington
8	11000 111.	Takima, Mashington
9	DATE:	October 24, 2003
10	22.	0000002 21, 2000
11	We, the u	ndersigned, do hereby certify that the
12	•	pages, numbered 2173 through 2461, inclusive,
13	_	rue, accurate and complete transcript prepared
14	from the	reporting by the reporter in attendance at the
15	above ide	ntified hearing, in accordance with applicable
16	provision	s of the current USDA contract, and have
17	verified	the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing
18		ritten transcript against the reporting or
19	_	accomplished at the hearings, and (2)
20		the final proofed typewritten transcript
21	-	he reporting or recording accomplished at the
22	hearing.	
23		
24	Date:	- Karen D. Martini
25 26		Karen D. Martini, Transcriber
26 27		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
27 20		fork stemographic services, inc.
28 29	Date:	\mathcal{C}
30	Date.	Jarah Mondroe
31		Sarah Mowrer, Proofreader
32		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
33		/
34	Date:	M = M + M
35		Klainey Barlin
36		Kearney Barton, Reporter
37		York Stenographic Services, Inc.
38		
39		

0